

Official Gazette



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

EDITED AT THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, UNDER COMMONWEALTH ACT NO. 638
ENTERED AS SECOND-CLASS MATTER, MANILA POST OFFICE, DECEMBER 26, 1905

Vol. 71

MANILA, PHILIPPINES, JUNE 9, 1975

No. 23

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page		Page
OFFICIAL WEEK IN REVIEW	cxvii	DEPARTMENT, BUREAU AND OFFICE ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS AND REGULATIONS	
PRESIDENTIAL ORDERS, PROCLAMATIONS, DECREES, INSTRUCTIONS, ETC.		DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE—	
Presidential Decree No. 697, authorizing the exemption from payment of donor's tax and recognizing as deductible business expense irrevocable donations of American-owned private lands acquired under the Laurel-Langley Agreement	3315	Administrative Order No. 51	3363
Presidential Decree No. 698, authorizing the exemption from payment of gift taxes and recognizing as deductible business expense item irrevocable donations to the National Development Company of American-owned private land acquired under the Laurel-Langley Agreement	3316	Administrative Order No. 52	3363
Presidential Decree No. 699, amending Presidential Decree number four, entitled "Proclaiming the creation of the National Grains Industry Development Administration and providing funds therefor"	3317	Administrative Order No. 53	3363
Presidential Decree No. 700, amending Presidential Decree No. 470 converting the Visayas State College of Agriculture and for other purposes	3321	Administrative Order No. 54	3363
DECISIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT		Administrative Order No. 55	3363
Honorata de Luna vs. Honorable Union C. Kayanan, Judge of the Court of First Instance of Quezon, Branch IV, and Antonio Marasigan	3325	Administrative Order No. 56	3364
Consuelo P. Piczon, et al., vs. Esteban Piczon and Sosong-Lobos & Co., Inc.	3331	Administrative Order No. 57	3364
People of the Philippines vs. Bienvenido Caoile, et al.	3336	Administrative Order No. 58	3364
DECISIONS OF THE COURT OF APPEALS		Administrative Order No. 59	3364
El Pueblo de Filipinas vs. Hilarion Dizon	3342	Administrative Order No. 60	3364
Eastern Paper Mills Co., Inc., vs. Republic Warehousing Corporation, et al.	3348	Opinion No. 58, series of 1975	3365
Virgilio Basilio vs. Northern Assurance Company Ltd., et al.	3359	Opinion No. 59, series of 1975	3365
		Opinion No. 60, series of 1975	3367
		CENTRAL BANK OF THE PHILIPPINES—	
		Circular No. 465, series of 1975	3368
		Circular Letter, May 26, 1975	3368
		LEGAL AND OFFICIAL NOTICES	
		First Publication:	
		Court of First Instance	3369
		Land Registration Commission	3373
		Bureau of Lands	3395
		Metropolitan Waterworks and Sewerage System	3396
		Municipality of Malabon	3396
		Board of Investments	3397
		Second Publication:	
		Court of First Instance	3399
		Land Registration Commission	3402
		Bureau of Lands	3425
		Batangas City	3427
		Board of Investments	3428
		PRICE LIST	3429
		THE OFFICIAL GAZETTE	back cover

OFFICIAL WEEK IN REVIEW

April 27—

THE PRESIDENT acted to encourage families residing in urban areas to raise pigs, goats, rabbits and other small livestock as a means of meeting the high cost of food and solving nutrition problems. In Presidential Decree No. 672, he ordered the creation of a revolving fund for the purpose in each city or province, and said local ordinances or regulations will be modified to allow such backyard livestock projects subject to reasonable restrictions affecting nuisance and sanitation. In addition, three cities and 13 towns in Greater Manila have been selected as experimental areas for a rabbit project, while three cities and five towns in Mindanao will be sites for the milking goat program. In his decree, the President said it is the national policy to encourage every household to produce food for its own consumption and thereby improve the health and nutrition of the people.

INFORMATION Secretary Francisco S. Tatad said the destiny of developing countries like the Philippines lies in how their literature is written. Speaking at the opening of the first congress of the Kapulungan ng mga Literatura ng Pilipinas (KALIPI) at the University of the Philippines Law Center, Secretary Tatad stressed the need for the consolidation of regional literary works in Pilipino. The information secretary asked the officers and members of the organization to give more emphasis on writing in Pilipino so they could be understood by the people. He assured all-out support to the activities of the KALIPI.

April 28—

MALACANANG has authorized the Young Men's Christian Association of the Philippines (YMCA) to conduct a national fund campaign for its expansion program from May 1 to December 31, 1975. Under Proclamation No. 1422, the YMCA is encouraged to modernize its present buildings and facilities in line with the objectives of the Department of Youth and Sports Development.

April 29—

THE PRESIDENT, in line with his announced policy of transferring more responsibilities of government in the South to Muslim leaders, appointed the following officials: Nur Ja-afar as vice governor of Tawi-Tawi; Hocking Lim, mayor of South Ubian, Tawi-Tawi; Hadji Asrie H. Tanjawie, mayor of Tandubas, Tawi-Tawi; Hadji Asarab C. Mugong, mayor of Simunul, also of Tawi-Tawi; Abraham Earl Harpst, mayor of Balabagan, Lanao del Sur; Ugca Sampiano, vice mayor of Balabagan, Lanao del Sur; and Ustadj Jamjam Sali, mayor of Sibuco, Zamboanga del Norte. The President said the seven appointees were recommended by the four-man government panel, headed by Ambassador Liningding Pangandaman, and by rebel leaders themselves.

ALL health administrators should actively participate in the highest level of planning for economic and social development as medical programs affect and are affected by other development factors. This was

stressed by Dr. Pacifico E. Marcos, chairman of the Philippine Medical Care Commission, in an address recently at the ninth congress of the Confederation of Medical Associations in Asia and Oceania in Manila. Dr. Marcos said "there is no question that the maintenance of health depended on a number of non-medical factors and that medical programs in turn have an impact on the success of other development factors." The PMCC chairman cited economic and social development activities where health considerations were important ingredients for success. He emphasized that the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being. In view of this, he said, governments have a responsibility for the health of their people which could be fulfilled only by the provision of adequate health and social measures.

April 30—

THE PRESIDENT appointed Midpantao Adil of Cotabato district as judge of the Court of First Instance of Iloilo, in line with his policy of transferring more responsibilities of government to Muslim leaders. A former delegate to the 1971 Constitutional Convention, Adil has been in the government service since 1961, serving as special attorney in the Commission on Elections and the next year, as CFI clerk of court and provincial sheriff until 1970.

INFORMATION Secretary Francisco S. Tatad has urged professionals in the television industry to play a more effective, active role in national development by presenting a high degree of excellence in the performance of their craft. Secretary Tatad spoke at the presentation of the "Sinag Awards" during the first Pambansang Akademya ng Telebisyon sa Agham at Sining (PATAS) at the Cultural Center. In exhorting television professionals to upgrade their standards, he said "television—which is now an integral part of environment must give people what they want . . . because the public can't want what it does not know." He also said "workers of the industry must have the integrity and imagination that help reflect the quality of human life." Wooden trophies, in the shape of television transmitter, were presented to individuals and groups for outstanding achievements in 17 categories.

CENTRAL Bank governor Gregorio Licaros Sr., assured that the exchange rate of the peso vis-a-vis the American dollar would not deteriorate, contrary to expectations in the business community. At a press luncheon-conference, the CB governor allayed fears of a realignment in the value of Philippine currency in the face of a projected balance of payment deficit of from \$300 million to \$350 million by the end of the current year, as well as a shortage in the balance of trade double the BOP figure. He said he considered the expected BOP deficit to be "still manageable" under the prevailing circumstances in view of a comfortable international reserve position, existence of stand-by credit lines which have not yet been availed of and other financial resources at hand. He added that an expected deficit in the Philippine government budget of P5.8 billion (the difference of expenditures of P22 billion against receipts of only P16.2 billion) was below the total of the government's cash advances held by the CB, which amount to more than P6 billion at present.

May 1—

THE PRESIDENT expressed his determination to push through his program to democratize wealth, warning that if necessary, he would utilize the powers of the presidency in order to accelerate the democratic revolution in the Philippines. In a Labor Day speech delivered at the

Maharlika Hall of Malacañang, the President observed that there are still some elements belonging to labor and management which do not sympathize with this policy. He warned that the patience not only of the laboring class but of the political leadership as well, is getting "short", watching this attitude of the affluent. "Whatever any one says, we will proceed with the revolution of the poor in the Philippines and let no man seek to obstruct this," the President said. At the Labor Day rites, the President was presented with resolutions passed at the three-day National Tripartite Congress of Labor, Management and Government which was concluded at the Magsaysay Hall of the Social Security System building in Quezon City. The First Lady who was at the rites was also presented with a resolution of appreciation "for her untiring efforts and multifarious contributions towards the enhancement of the status of Filipino women; her laudable projects geared towards the promotion of the welfare of her countrymen, particularly the working women, and her significant achievements in the field of international relations."

May 2—

THE PRESIDENT received the credentials of two new ambassadors to the Philippines, one from Norway and the other from Yugoslavia. Received by the President in separate ceremonies at Malacañang were the letters of credence of: 1) Ambassador Fin Koren, as non-resident ambassador extraordinary and plenipotentiary of Norway, vice former Ambassador Olaf Tellefsen; and 2) Ambassador Miroslav Kreacic, non-resident ambassador extraordinary and plenipotentiary of the Federal Socialist Republic of Yugoslavia to the Philippines, vice former Ambassador Joze Smole.

DEPARTMENT of Public Information and Commission on Immigration and Deportation have finalized arrangements for facilitating the exits from Manila of foreign correspondents evacuated from Saigon and brought to Clark Airbase and Subic Naval Base. More than 100 newsmen who covered the Vietnam War up to the fall of Saigon are expected to be landed in Clark and Subic from American naval vessels which took them off from Saigon.

May 3—

PHILIPPINE export performance last year—which showed real figures of \$2.72 billion—registered a 48.3 per cent increase over the 1973 level (\$1.837 billion) and 4.3 per cent higher than the world export average of 44 per cent, the Board of Investments said. This involves exports of both traditional and non-traditional products, according to Conrado Sanches, BOI supervising governor for export development. The BOI statement which is based on statistics compiled by the National Census and Statistics Office (NCSO) showed that the improved export performance of the country was made despite the decline in volume during the third quarter of 1974.

May 4—

THE PRESIDENT gave assurance of all-out financial support to the improvement of the University of the Philippines "if it is to accomplish its mission of providing high quality, relevant, and forward-looking higher education for the nation." In a speech read for President by Secretary Gerardo P. Sicat of the NEDA at the cornerstone laying of the building of the Philippine Center for Economic Development for the U.P. School of Economics, the President also said that the development of the UP is a reflection of the development of the nation, and that to give it support is to strengthen the nation.

May 5—

EDUCATION, the President said, is part of the lasting solution to the Mindanao problem and that the government is taking steps to promote it. He made this statement during the call of Sheikh Hassan Abdullah Al-Sheikh, minister of education of Saudi Arabia, who arrived in the Philippines to lay the cornerstone of the King Faisal Institute of Islamic and Asian Studies at the Mindanao State University in Marawi City. The President assured the visiting minister that the Muslim problem in the South is now being resolved through a series of conferences between Muslim leaders representing the government and the rebel leaders themselves. The Saudi Arabian minister expressed confidence in the President's wise leadership and understanding of the problem, to find a speedy solution.

May 6—

THE PRESIDENT warned against the danger of a massive infiltration from the outside in support of any indigenous force which may seek to overthrow the government. He sounded the warning as he led the three-nation commemoration rites on the occasion of the 33rd anniversary of the fall of Corregidor to the Japanese forces in the last World War. United States Ambassador William H. Sullivan and Japanese Ambassador Masao Sawaki represented their respective countries at the ceremonies and paid tribute to the gallant dead on "The Rock" by placing wreaths on the marker. The President said that contemporary events indicate that this type of aggression is favored by most predatory countries, because, while subtle, covert and often difficult to prove, it has been effective not only in subverting the government and people, but in actual takeover and seizure of political power by force and violence. He urged the people to be prepared for this type of war, in terms of weapons, training and in the continuous updating of the concept of warfare. He warned, however, that "unless there is an intense motivation or at least a reason for sacrificing all, including life and honor itself, all other preparations will be for naught."

May 7—

THE PRESIDENT suspended the price increases on oil products and sugar ordered by the Oil Industry Commission and the Price Control Council, as well as the ban imposed by the secretary of agriculture on Australian beef imports. He issued the order pending a thorough review of the facts which are the basis for the increase in the prices of gasoline and sugar, by the National Economic and Development Authority. Before announcing the suspension orders, the President met informally with members of the Cabinet and the chairman of the OIC and the PCC. In the case of Australian beef, the President said he had been receiving numerous complaints against the secretary of agriculture's order suspending the importation of Australian beef because it is adversely affecting the local hog and poultry industry. The President decided to convene the NEDA to determine the justification for the price increases, if any.

May 8—

THE PRESIDENT ordered that public hearings be held on the decision of the Oil Industry Commission to increase the price of oil products on representations made by the oil companies. He also directed the National Economic and Development Authority to reexamine the proposed increases in the prices of sugar and try to limit them to "more tolerable levels." Pending these double-barrelled reviews, the President said the proposed hike in the prices of oil products and domestic

sugar remain suspended. He likewise decided to allow beef importation with the Food Terminal Inc. having exclusive control over its distribution at prices within reach of low-income families. In making this decision, the President also protected the local hog-raising industry by ordering the FTI to buy up the industry's excess inventories.

THE PRESIDENT issued Presidential Decree No. 694 increasing the capitalization of the Philippine National Bank from ₱1 billion to ₱2 billion. The decree, which amends the PNB charter, also authorizes an increase in the paid-in subscription of the government from ₱700 million to ₱1 billion. It calls for the reorganization of the bank's administrative structure to allow it to cope effectively with its expanded role in the economy.

DEFENSE Secretary and National Police Commission Chairman Juan Ponce Enrile warned that policemen who fail to file their statements of assets, liabilities and net worth on or before May 30 would be dismissed from the service. The warning was contained in a circular to police chiefs all over the country. The police chiefs were directed to "ensure compliance" with Letter of Instructions No. 262 which strengthened the Anti-Graft and Corrupt Practices Act.

DEPARTMENT of Trade moved for the suspension of the four percent export tax on abaca in view of the declining demand for abaca fiber in the world market. Making representations with the National Economic and Development Authority, Secretary Troadio T. Quiazon Jr. stressed the necessity for suspending the export duty on abaca to improve the competitive posture of abaca in the world market. Reports and studies submitted during the 8th Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) session of the Intergovernmental Group on Hard Fiber held at Manila confirmed the declining demand for abaca fiber of which 95 percent of world production is accounted for by the Philippines, according to the trade secretary.

May 9—

THE PRESIDENT received Olivier Giscard D'Estaing, president of the European Center for International Trade, and brother of the President of France, who paid his respects to the President. D'Estaing arrived in the Philippines in the course of a survey tour of Southeast Asia, to encourage various governments to participate in the ECIT, which is designed to serve as the channel of trade between members of the European Economic Community (EEC) and countries outside Europe. The President welcomed the proposed organization and endorsed Philippine participation, expressing the hope that it will lead to a greater volume of trade with EEC and stronger relations with European countries.

PROFESSIONAL Regulation Commission was ordered by the President to draft rules to effect integration of certified public accountants' organization. The President made the disclosure in a speech read for him by Revenue Commissioner Misael P. Vera at the 10th National Convention-Seminar of the Association of Government Accountants of the Philippines (AGAP), Inc. According to the President, under Section 26 of PD 672, or the Revised Accountancy Law, the commission will adopt rules integrating CPAs to raise the standards of the practice of accountancy and enable it to discharge its public responsibility more effectively. He also urged government accountants to improve their skills through creative accounting so they could keep abreast with the goals and objectives of the New Society.

DEVELOPMENT Bank of the Philippines (DBP) reported an increase of ₱106,847,712 in earnings during the first nine months of the current fiscal year. DBP's total income from its traditional lending program reaches ₱411,571,322 during the period as against ₱304,723,610 made during the first nine months of the previous fiscal year. The biggest increase was registered by its "other operating income" category which yielded ₱125,031,087 compared to the previous records of ₱17,433,653.

May 10—

THE PRESIDENT injected ₱30 million into the Industrial Guarantee and Loan Fund to augment its resources and boost its credit operations among small-scale industries. This will bring to a total of about ₱87 million the present resources of the fund which is under the National Economic and Development Authority but is administered by the Central Bank. The ₱30 million, appropriated through Presidential Decree No. 695, is the counterpart contribution of the government to match the proposed loan of \$12 million from the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

DEPARTMENT of Local Government and Community Development issued a new set of guidelines for the re-registration of sugar marketing cooperatives and sugar processing cooperatives. The guidelines provide that 75 per cent, or at least, 250 members of the cooperative should be small sugar producers or actual tillers, owners or lessees of not more than 24 hectares of land. DLGCD Secretary Jose A. Roño said the cooperative must, likewise, have a minimum unimpaired capital of at least ₱100,000 and that no individual member should own more than 20 per cent of the paid up capital. To avoid overlapping of areas of membership and operation, only one sugar cooperative shall be organized in one or a group of provinces and shall admit into membership all qualified members within its area of operation, he pointed out.

THE PHILIPPINES has been designated as one of the beneficiaries of the General System of Preferences (GSP) of Canada. The GSP is a system by which an industrialized country, like Canada, grants special treatment to imports originating from developing countries like the Philippines. Under the scheme, Philippine export products to Canada will henceforth be granted special treatment, which will either be in the form of duties or total elimination of the same. Also, the Philippines, under the Canadian GSP, will also be entitled to benefits of the most favored-nation tariff treatment. According to Trade Secretary Troadio T. Quiazon Jr. this development will help the Philippines increase substantially her export volume to that country, starting this year. The country has been exporting to Canada at an annual average value of \$15 million.

BERTHING and wharfage fees can only be collected by local governments when the wharves and port facilities are constructed and maintained by the local government concerned. This ruling was issued by Finance Secretary Cesar Virata in Local Tax Regulations No. 1-75. The ruling was issued after it was observed that some local governments have been collecting berthing and wharfage fees in violation of the provisions of the amended Local Tax Code. Secretary Virata said that any unauthorized payment made shall be deemed as payment made under protest.

**MGA KAUTUSANG TAGAPAGPAGANAP, PAHAYAG AT
KAUTUSANG PANGPANGASIWAAN**
(EXECUTIVE ORDERS, PROCLAMATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS)

MALACAÑANG

RESIDENCE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE PHILIPPINES
MANILA

PRESIDENTIAL DECREE No. 697

AUTHORIZING THE EXEMPTION FROM PAYMENT
OF DONOR'S TAX AND RECOGNIZING AS DE-
DUCTIBLE BUSINESS EXPENSE IRREVOCABLE
DONATIONS OF AMERICAN-OWNED PRIVATE
LANDS ACQUIRED UNDER THE LAUREL-
LANGLEY AGREEMENT.

I, FERDINAND E. MARCOS, President of the Philippines,
by virtue of the powers vested in me by the Constitution,
do hereby order and decree:

SECTION 1. The provisions of the National Internal
Revenue Code, as amended, and other laws to the con-
trary notwithstanding, irrevocable donations of American-
owned private lands acquired under the Laurel-Langley
Agreement shall be exempt from payment of donor's tax
provided that such donations meet the following require-
ments:

- a. Donations of private lands shall be at the fair market value
as evidenced by the amount established in 1974 as a basis
for real estate tax payment.
- b. Donations shall be made in favor of the following qualified
donees:
 - 1) The National Government or any entity created by any of
its agencies which is not conducted for profit or to any
political subdivision of the said Government.
 - 2) Any organization for whom donations made in its favor
are exempted by other laws from payment of donor's tax.
 - 3) Any charitable or scientific organization with a nation-
wide scope of activities and widely recognized as such,
i.e., Philippine Red Cross, Community Chests, Boy Scouts
of the Philippines, Philippine Heart Foundation.

SEC. 2. Donations not qualified. The exemption granted
herein shall not apply to donations made in favor of
family owned and/or controlled foundations or foundations
created specifically for the purpose of receiving donations
of lands or foundations created for a limited scope or
purpose.

SEC. 3. The provisions of the National Internal Revenue
Code, as amended, to the contrary notwithstanding qualified
donations shall be recognized as deductible business ex-

pense item for income tax purposes, provided that the donor shall expressly indicate in the donation proposal the period not exceeding four (4) years, including the year of donation, over which the expense deductions shall be taken in equal increments.

The incentives granted under this decree shall be available only to American private landowners who submit formal donation proposals to qualified donees before May 27, 1975.

SEC. 4. This Decree shall take effect immediately.

Done in the City of Manila, this 9th day of May, in the year of Our Lord, nineteen hundred and seventy-five.

(Sgd.) FERDINAND E. MARCOS
President
Republic of the Philippines

By the President:

(Sgd.) ALEJANDRO MELCHOR
Executive Secretary

MALACAÑANG

RESIDENCE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE PHILIPPINES
MANILA

PRESIDENTIAL DECREE No. 698

AUTHORIZING THE EXEMPTION FROM PAYMENT
OF GIFT TAXES AND RECOGNIZING AS DE-
DUCTIBLE BUSINESS EXPENSE ITEM IRRE-
VOCABLE DONATIONS TO THE NATIONAL
DEVELOPMENT COMPANY OF AMERICAN-
OWNED PRIVATE LANDS ACQUIRED UNDER
THE LAUREL-LANGLEY AGREEMENT.

I, FERDINAND E. MARCOS, President of the Philippines, by virtue of the powers vested in me by the Constitution, do hereby order and decree that:

SECTION 1. The provisions of any existing law to the contrary notwithstanding, irrevocable donations to the National Development Company of American-owned private lands acquired under the Laurel-Langley Agreement shall be exempt from all kinds of gift taxes.

SEC. 2. Abovementioned donations shall likewise be recognized as a deductible business expense item for income tax purposes, provided that the donor shall expressly indicate in the donation proposal the period not exceeding four (4) years, including the year of donation, over which the expense deductions shall be taken in equal increments.

SEC. 3. Incentives provided under this Decree shall be available only to donors who submit formal donation

proposals to the National Development Company before May 27, 1975.

This Decree shall take effect immediately.

Done in the City of Manila, this 9th day of May, in the year of Our Lord, nineteen hundred and seventy-five.

(Sgd.) FERDINAND E. MARCOS
President
Republic of the Philippines

By the President:

(Sgd.) ALEJANDRO MELCHOR
Executive Secretary

MALACAÑANG
RESIDENCE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE PHILIPPINES
MANILA

PRESIDENTIAL DECREE No. 699

AMENDING PRESIDENTIAL DECREE NUMBER FOUR, ENTITLED "PROCLAIMING THE CREATION OF THE NATIONAL GRAINS INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION AND PROVIDING FUNDS THEREFOR."

WHEREAS, it is declared policy of the state to promote the integrated growth and development of the grains industry so as to provide adequate and continuous food supply to the nation and contribute to the national economy;

WHEREAS, to carry out and implement the foregoing policy, Presidential Decree No. 4 was promulgated creating the National Grains Industry Development Administration, otherwise known as the National Grains Authority, hereafter referred to as the Authority;

WHEREAS, despite the various measures adopted to attain self-sufficiency in rice, corn and other grains, it appears that the private sector is somewhat lukewarm or slow to engage in the production of such grains, especially so in the light of the problems and difficulties arising from the large-scale production of such grains and the development of virgin and undeveloped lands;

WHEREAS, the government spends a big sum of money every year in the importation of rice and/or corn, which amount could be used to accelerate the integrated growth and development of the grains industry in the country;

WHEREAS, it is deemed necessary that the Authority take a more active and dynamic part in the current national effort to attain self-sufficiency in rice, corn and other grains; and

WHEREAS, recent developments and continuing scientific and technological studies on grains production, processing

and marketing have revealed that the foregoing policy will best be attained by vesting the Authority with supplementary responsibilities and powers;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, FERDINAND E. MARCOS, President of the Philippines, by virtue of the powers vested in me by the Constitution, do hereby order and decree that Presidential Decree No. 4 be amended as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 5, sub-section (b) paragraph (XI) is hereby amended to read as follows: "XI, Performs such other functions as may be necessary to carry into effect the provision of this Act, *including but not limited to, the development, culture or production of grains, and the establishment, acquisition and/or operations of grains processing, handling, storage and transport facilities.*"

SEC. 2. Section 6, subsection (a) paragraph III, is hereby amended to read as follows: "III. To own, lease, operate or otherwise hold buildings, equipment and such other immovable properties as may be necessary to carry out its functions."

SEC. 3. Section 6, subsection (a) is hereby further amended to incorporate the following new paragraphs:

"(XVIII) Whenever the Authority determines that to accomplish its production, processing, marketing and other incidental functions, it is necessary to contract indebtedness, it shall by a resolution, stating the purpose for which the indebtedness is to be incurred and citing the project study designed for the purpose, so declare and authorize the Authority's execution or issuance of, and establish the terms and conditions to be contained in such bonds, loan agreements, or other evidences of indebtedness necessary thereof. Such resolution shall become valid and effective upon approval by the President of the Philippines and prior recommendation of the Secretary of Finance.

"With respect to domestic indebtedness to be incurred by the Authority, the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued for the purpose shall contain such terms, conditions, privileges, exemptions and guarantees as are specified below.

"(1) Such bonds or other evidences of indebtedness a) shall be registered form and transferable at the Central Bank of the Philippines; b) shall not be sold at less than par; c) shall be payable ten years or more from date of issue, as may be determined by the Secretary of Finance before their issuance, but shall be redeemable, upon the election of the council, after five years from such date of issue; and d) shall bear interest at an annual rate to be determined before their issuance by the Secretary of Finance. The interest may be payable quarterly, semi-annually or annually, as determined by the Secretary of

Finance in consultation with the Monetary Board of the Central Bank of the Philippines before date of issuance, and both the principal and interest shall be payable in legal tender of the Philippines.

“(2) The bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall be exempt from the payment of all taxes by the Republic of the Philippines, or by any authority, branch, division or political sub-division thereof, which fact shall be stated upon their faces; and by virtue of this Decree are hereby made securities in which all public offices, political subdivisions, commercial banks, insurance companies and associations, investment houses, financing companies, savings banks and savings institutions, including savings and loan associations, executors, administrators, guardians, trustees and fiduciaries in the Philippines may properly and legally invest their own funds or the funds within their control, and the same shall be receivable as collateral in any transaction with the government, its agencies and instrumentalities, including government-owned or controlled corporations and government banking and financial institutions in which such collateral is required. Said instruments may be made payable both as to principal and interest in Philippine currency or any readily convertible currency;

“(3) A sinking fund shall be established by the National Grains Authority in such manner that the total annual contribution thereto, accrued at such rate of interest as may be determined by the Secretary of Finance in consultation with the Monetary Board, shall be sufficient to redeem at maturity the bonds issued under this sub-section. The sinking fund shall be under the custody of the Central Bank of the Philippines, which shall invest the same, subject to the approval of the council and the Secretary of Finance in consultation with the Monetary Board: Provided, That the proceeds thereof shall accrue to the Authority;

“(4) The Republic of the Philippines hereby guarantees the payment by the Authority of both the principal and the interests of the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, and shall pay such principal and interest in case the Authority fails to do so; and there are hereby appropriated out of the general funds in the National Treasury not otherwise appropriated the sums necessary to make the payments so guaranteed; Provided, That the sums so paid by the Republic of the Philippines shall be refunded by the Authority: and Provided, That the Authority, to assure such refunding, shall establish reserves or sinking funds and comply with such other restrictions and conditions as the Secretary of Finance may prescribe and establish for that purpose.

“With respect to foreign indebtedness to be incurred by the Authority, such may be contracted, in the forms of loans, credits convertible foreign currencies, or other forms of indebtedness, from foreign governments or any international financial institution or fund sources, including foreign private lenders, the total outstanding amount of such indebtedness, exclusive of interest, shall not exceed five hundred million United States dollars (US\$500M) or the equivalent thereof in other currencies. The President of the Philippines, by himself or through his duly authorized representative, is hereby authorized to negotiate and to so contract with foreign governments or any international financial institution or fund source in the name and on behalf of the Authority; and is further authorized to guarantee, absolutely and unconditionally, as primary obligor and not merely as a surety, in the name and on behalf of the Republic of the Philippines, the repayment of any indebtedness thereby contracted and the payment thereon of any due interest charge, up to the limited amount authorized by the foregoing, which shall be over and above the amounts which the President is authorized to guarantee under Republic Act No. 6142, and also to guarantee the performance of all or any of the obligations undertaken by the Authority in the territory of the Republic of the Philippines pursuant to loan agreements entered into pursuant to this paragraph. Any indebtedness contracted under this paragraph and the payment of the principal thereof and of any interest or other charges thereon, as well as the importation of machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and services by the Authority, paid from the proceeds of any such contracted indebtedness, shall also be exempt from all direct and indirect taxes, fees, imposts, other charges and restrictions, including import restrictions, by the Republic of the Philippines, or by any authority, branch, division or political subdivision thereof.

“Investment in bonds, promissory notes, debentures and other evidences of indebtedness of the Authority acquired by financial institutions, subject to the provisions of Republic Act No. 6389 as amended, including its implementing regulations, shall be considered sufficient compliance with the requirements of said Act and its implementing regulations.

“All funds so acquired and realized by virtue of this power to contract indebtedness, interests and other income incidental thereto and income from other operations, projects or activities of the Authority shall be administered by it except such other funds the administration of which is specifically provided for by other provisions of Presidential Decree No. 4.

“(XIX) To purchase, lease or otherwise acquire lands, whether public or private, for the cultivation and production of rice, corn and other grains;

“In the exercise of this power, the Authority shall have the authority to engage and retain any person, firm or corporation, private or public, to render technical services in the development, cultivation or operation of such lands as it may have acquired by purchase, lease, or other means. Moreover, the Authority shall have also the power to effect advance payment of the stipulated fees.

“(XX) To sell, lease, mortgage, pledge or otherwise dispose of the property, assets or undertaking of the Authority or any part thereof as the Authority may deem fit.

“(XXI) To adopt, alter, and use a corporate seal which shall be judicially noticed, to sue and be sued; and otherwise to do and perform any and all acts, and to exercise any and all powers as may be necessary to carry into effect the provisions of this Act or as are essential to the proper conduct of its operations.

“(XXII) In order to immediately implement the programs envisioned in this Decree, an initial sum of ten million pesos (P10,000,000) is hereby set aside from the Authority's corporate funds and for this purpose, the Administrator is hereby authorized to dispose the said amount as he may deem fit, subject to the usual accounting and auditing requirements.”

SEC. 4. Any provision of Presidential Decree No. 4 and of any law inconsistent with this Decree are hereby repealed, amended or modified accordingly.

SEC. 5. This Decree shall take effect immediately. ,

Done in the City of Manila, this 12th day of May, in the year of Our Lord, nineteen hundred and seventy-five.

(Sgd.) FERDINAND E. MARCOS
President
Republic of the Philippines

By the President:

(Sgd.) ALEJANDRO MELCHOR
Executive Secretary

MALACAÑANG
RESIDENCE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE PHILIPPINES
MANILA

PRESIDENTIAL DECREE NO. 700

AMENDING PRESIDENTIAL DECREE NO. 470 CONVERTING THE VISAYAS AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE INTO THE VISAYAS STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

WHEREAS, the Educational Project Implementing Task Force, Department of Education, has recommended that the Visayas State College of Agriculture be developed and given the necessary facilities and powers to serve the whole Visayas region; and

WHEREAS, there is a need to amend the said Presidential Decree to expand and strengthen the curricular programs in agriculture and applied sciences and make sure that the College shall have major thrusts in Rural Sociology and Development Communication, Agricultural Business Management and Cooperatives Education, Barrio Industries Training, Research and Development, Training and Research Programs in Coconut, Abaca and Rootcrops, and Technician Training in Forestry and in Animal Health and Production in line with the administration's educational development programs;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, FERDINAND E. MARCOS, President of the Philippines, by virtue of the powers vested in me by the Constitution, do hereby order and decree the amendment of Presidential Decree No. 470, as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 8 of Presidential Decree No. 470 is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 8. The College shall have the general powers set forth in Section 13 of Act 1459 the exercise of which are hereby vested exclusively in the Board of Trustees and the President of the College in so far as authorized by the Board. In addition to its general powers of administration, the Board of Trustees shall have the following powers and duties:

"* * * * *

(c) To appoint, upon recommendation of the President of the College, Vice Presidents and deans, heads of departments, professors, instructors, lecturers and other officers and employees of the College; to fix their compensation; to prescribe their hours of service, duties and the conditions of work as it may deem necessary and proper; to grant to them in its discretion, leave of absence under such regulations as it may promulgate, any provision of law to the contrary notwithstanding, and to remove them for cause after due investigation and hearing."

"* * * * *

SEC. 2. Section 8 of the same Decree is hereby further amended by adding another paragraph after letter (j) to read as follows:

(k) To undertake construction and/or repair of its buildings, machineries, equipment and other facilities, and print technical and educational materials in any printing establishment, any provision of law or regulations to the contrary notwithstanding.

SEC. 3. In addition to the amount already appropriated for the Visayas State College of Agriculture for the Fiscal year 1974-75, there is hereby appropriated out of any funds in the National Treasury not otherwise appro-

appropriated the following operating expenses for purposes of implementing new programs and carrying out immediately the provisions of this Decree:

Rural Sociology & Dev. Comm.	P0.5 million
Agribusiness & Coop. Educ.	0.5 million
Agro-based Barrio Industries Training, Research & Dev.	1.5 million
Training & Research Programs in Coconut, Abaca & rootcrops	1.8 million
Technician training in animal health & production	0.6 million
Agricultural Engineering technician training in Forestry	0.5 million
TOTAL	P6.0 million

Hereafter, such sums as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this Decree shall be included annually in the General Appropriations Decree.

SEC. 4. For the construction of buildings and laboratories, acquisition of additional experimental land, and for the purchase of equipment and library materials for agricultural science and technology, rural sociology and development communications, agricultural business management and cooperatives education, cottage industries training, research and development, training and research programs in coconut, abaca and rootcrops, technician training in forestry, animal health and production, and food processing and marketing, the sum of six million peso per year for the next two years is hereby appropriated out of savings of funds appropriated for constructions under Presidential Decree No. 475 or any funds in the National Treasury not otherwise appropriated.

SEC. 5. The Visayas State College, its assets, acquisitions, income and its operations and transactions, shall be exempt from any and all taxes imposed by the government or any of its political subdivision or taxing authority thereof.

All gifts, donations and financial contributions to the college shall be exempt from all taxes and shall constitute allowable deductions in full from the income of donors or givers for income tax purposes.

SEC. 6. The College is hereby authorized to operate semi-commercial agricultural and technological enterprises on a revolving fund basis with separate management and accounting to provide realistic conditions for its instructional programs, provided that the income will be used to support academic and research projects.

SEC. 7. This Decree shall take effect immediately.

Done in the City of Manila, this 12th day of May, in
the year of Our Lord, nineteen hundred and seventy-five.

(Sgd.) FERDINAND E. MARCOS
President
Republic of the Philippines

By the President:

(Sgd.) ALEJANDRO MELCHOR
Executive Secretary

MGA HATOL NG KATAAS-TAASANG HUKUMAN
(DECISIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT)

[No. L-29477. November 13, 1974]

SECOND DIVISION

HONORATA DE LUNA, petitioner *vs.* THE HONORABLE UNION
C. KAYANAN, JUDGE OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE
OF QUEZON, BRANCH IV, and ANTONIO MARASIGAN,
respondents.

Leovigildo L. Cerilla for the petitioner.

Edmundo T. Zepeda for the respondent.

ORIGINAL ACTION. Certiorari to annul the Order of
the Court of First Instance of Quezon (Gumaca
Branch). Kayanan *J.*

SYNOPSIS

The writ of execution obtained by petitioner's husband in a forcible entry case against Cenon Onabia was returned unsatisfied, because it turned out that private respondent who was not a party to the case was in possession of the lot. Said respondent claimed that he purchased the lot in good faith from Onabia who had previously obtained title thereto under a Cadastral Decree, and that from the date he purchased the lot he had been in actual, continuous and peaceful possession over the lot. For private respondent's refusal to surrender possession, petitioner moved to declare him in contempt. Meantime, private respondent filed an action to quiet title and prayed for a writ of preliminary injunction. Owing to this action, respondent court suspended the contempt proceedings and held the execution of judgment in abeyance. Hence, this petition.

Petition denied.

SYLLABUS

of the Ruling of the Court

1. JUDGMENTS; EXECUTION; MANDAMUS; PREVAILING PARTY ENTITLED AS OF RIGHT TO EXECUTION OF FINAL JUDGMENT; EXCEPTION.—Once a judgment has become final and executory, the prevailing party is entitled as a matter of right to a writ of execution, and issuance thereof is the court's ministerial duty, compellable by mandamus. The exception lies when, after a judgment has become final and executory, facts and circumstances transpire which render its execution impossible or unjust, in which case the interested party may ask the court to stay its execution or prevent its enforcement.

2. LAND REGISTRATION; TORRENS TITLE; DECREE OF REGISTRATION ENTITLES APPLICANT TO POSSESSION OF LAND.—After the registration of a parcel of land is decreed in favor of the applicant,

the latter, as well as any subsequent purchaser of the property, has the right to the title and possession thereof, and to that end he may ask the proper court for the issuance of a writ of possession, provided the same has not been issued before. As long as the Torrens title to the property is not cancelled or annulled it would be inequitable to deprive the applicant or the subsequent purchaser of the property of their possession over it.

3. EJECTMENT; WHEN ACTION FOR EJECTMENT MAY LIE AGAINST AN OWNER OF PROPERTY.—An ejectment proceeding may prosper even against the owner of the property, if by his own volition, he had previously divested himself of the right of occupancy over the property in favor of another.

OPINION OF THE COURT

ANTONIO, J.:

Original action of certiorari to annul the Order of respondent Judge of the Court of First Instance of Quezon (Gumaca Branch) in Civil Case No. 304-G, dated May 28, 1968, suspending the hearing for contempt of respondent Antonio Marasigan, and mandamus to direct respondent Judge to proceed with the contempt proceeding against respondent Marasigan and to compel said respondent Judge to enforce the writ of execution issued in the forcible entry case.

On October 10, 1967, Gerardo Ocampo, husband of herein petitioner Honorata de Luna, filed an action of forcible entry against Cenon Onabia with the Justice of the Peace Court (now Municipal Court) of Guinayangan, Quezon, claiming that although he was the owner and possessor of Lots Nos. 749, 762, 763 and 772 of the Guinayangan Cadastre since 1939, on September 25, 1957, said defendant and his men wrested from him the possession of said properties. Cenon Onabia denied that he was in possession of Lots 749 and 763, but averred that he was the owner and lawful possessor of Lots Nos. 762 and 772. After trial, judgment was rendered in favor of the plaintiff. Defendant Onabia appealed to the Court of First Instance of Quezon at Gumaca, where the case was docketed as Civil Case No. 304-G and tried *de novo*. The court rendered judgment in favor of the defendant. Plaintiff Ocampo appealed to the Court of Appeals, which in a decision dated November 12, 1964, found that plaintiff Ocampo had established prior possession over the said properties and consequently reversed the judgment of the court of first instance and directed "the defendant, his successors, lessees and privies to deliver possession of the aforementioned lots to the herein plaintiff."

No appeal having been made from the judgment of the Court of Appeals, the said judgment became final and executory, and the record of the case was remanded to the court of origin. Ocampo accordingly applied for a

writ of execution. Plaintiff Ocampo thereafter died, thereupon his surviving spouse, Honorata de Luna, was substituted in his place. The sheriff returned the writ unsatisfied insofar as Lot No. 772 was concerned, for it turned out that the said lot was in the possession of herein private respondent Antonio Marasigan. Petitioner de Luna obtained an *alias* writ of execution against respondent Marasigan, upon whom it was served on April 20, 1967. But Marasigan refused to vacate the premises, alleging that defendant Onabia obtained title over the lot on October 4, 1961 and was issued OCT No. O-10145 pursuant to Decree No. N-84397 in LRC Cad. Case No. 701, Cad. Case No. 34 of the same court; that the said title was transferred by defendant Onabia to respondent Marasigan and his wife Matilde Castillo by means of a deed of sale on November 28, 1961, free from any lien or encumbrance: that from the date of said purchase he and his wife have been in actual, continuous and peaceful possession of said property as absolute owners, and it was only on April 20, 1967 that they learned of petitioner de Luna's claim of possession over the lot.

On July 5, 1967, respondent Marasigan filed with the court a petition to lift the *alias* writ of execution. On September 6, 1967, the court, then presided over by Judge Amado del Rosario, denied Marasigan's aforesaid petition. Marasigan moved for a reconsideration of the court's denial order claiming that he was not a privy to the defendant, but his motion was denied by respondent Judge Union C. Kayanan in an Order of October 23, 1967, on the ground "that petitioner is included or embraced by said decision being a successor or privy to the defendant in this case * * *." Marasigan then, on November 21, 1967, came to the Supreme Court on certiorari, prohibition and mandamus in G. R. No. L-28331, claiming that Judge Kayanan committed grave abuse of discretion and acted in excess of jurisdiction when he ordered the issuance of an *alias* writ of execution and denied the petition to lift the said writ, for, according to Marasigan, he was thereby deprived of property without due process of law, because the action by virtue of which the *alias* writ was issued was *in personam*, to which he was not a party, and he acquired the property as a purchaser in good faith, there being no notice of *lis pendens* on the title. On January 12, 1968, this Court issued a minute resolution denying the petition on the ground that its allegations were "clearly insufficient to show a grave abuse of discretion."

Owing to Marasigan's refusal to surrender the possession of the property, petitioner de Luna filed on January 22, 1968 a petition to declare him in contempt of court. In an Order dated February 2, 1968, the court gave Mara-

sigan five days from receipt thereof within which to explain why he should not be held in contempt of court for disobedience of a lawful order. On February 14, 1968, Marasigan filed an answer to the petition for contempt, alleging that "the order of execution is null and void being contrary to law and facts, said property being adjudicated and titled in the name of the defendant predecessor of the respondent and said decision having been already final and executory," and that "respondent cannot be held in constructive contempt of court, not being within the jurisdiction of the court, the action being an action *in personam* and not an action *in rem*." On February 26, 1968, Honorata de Luna moved the court to resolve the petition for contempt on the basis of the pleadings, claiming that Marasigan's answer failed to tender an issue and impliedly admitted the material allegations of the petition for contempt for failure to deny the same. De Luna prayed the court to order Marasigan's imprisonment until he complied with the writ of execution.

On April 15, 1968, Antonio Marasigan filed with the same court of first instance (Civil Case No. C-319) against de Luna, an action to quiet title over Lots Nos. 772 and 749. Marasigan and his wife alleged, *inter alia*, in said complaint that they are the registered owners and possessors of Lots No. 772 and No. 749, as evidenced by TCT No. T-44940 and TCT No. 49620, respectively, which properties they purchased in good faith and for valuable considerations from the actual and registered owners and possessors thereof in 1961 and 1962; that the defendant Honorata de Luna, well aware that they are the owners and, therefore, entitled to possession of the lots, tried to wrest the possession thereof from them by filing against them a petition for contempt of court, asserting that the Court of Appeals had awarded the said possession in favor of de Luna's husband; and that assuming *arguendo* that the Court of Appeals awarded the possession *de facto* of the lots to de Luna's deceased husband, such decision is not valid and binding against them, for they were never aware of, nor made parties to the case. Plaintiffs Marasigan, et al. prayed, among others, for the issuance of a writ of preliminary mandatory injunction, and, after trial, for judgment "confirming and recognizing their absolute rights of ownership and possession thereof," and making final the writ of preliminary mandatory injunction.

On May 28, 1968, respondent Judge issued in Civil Case No. 304-G an Order suspending the previous Order to cite Marasigan in contempt of court "in view of the fact that a civil action by both parties was given due course which refers to the ownership of the property in question."

On June 3, 1968, petitioner de Luna filed a motion for reconsideration of the aforesaid Order, but this was denied by the court *a quo* in its Order of July 30, 1968. The court held that on equitable ground execution of the judgment must be held in abeyance, and the Order of October 23, 1967 must, therefore, be superseded. Hence this petition.

The settled rule is that once a judgment has become final and executory, the prevailing party is entitled as a matter of right to a writ of execution,¹ and issuance thereof is the court's ministerial duty, compellable by mandamus.² This rule is, however, subject to exceptions, as when, after a judgment has become final and executory, facts and circumstances transpire which render its execution impossible or unjust, in which case the interested party may ask the court to stay its execution or prevent its enforcement.³

In the case at bar, there are circumstances which bring it within the purview of the exceptions to the general rule governing execution of judgments. Foremost is the presence of title to the property in the name of respondent spouses Antonio Marasigan and Matilde Castillo, as evidenced by TCT No. T-44940, covering Lots Nos. 772 and 764. This Transfer Certificate of Title was issued to said spouses on November 28, 1961 upon the cancellation of Original Certificate of Title No. O-10145 in the name of Cenon Onabia, which original certificate was issued pursuant to Decree No. N-84397, in L.R.C. Cad. Rec. No. 701, Cad. Case No. 34 (Annex "I"). There cannot be any question that after the registration of a parcel of land is decreed in favor of the applicant, the latter, as well as any subsequent purchaser of the property, has the right to the title and possession thereof, and to that end he may ask the proper court for the issuance of a writ of possession, provided the same has not been issued before.⁴ As long as the Torrens title of the Marasigan spouses to the property is not cancelled or annulled, it would be inequitable to deprive them of their possession over the property.

¹ Sec. 1, Rule 39, Revised Rules of Court; *De Fiesta, et al. vs. Llorente, etc., et al.*, 25 Phil. 554, 565; *Lim vs. Singian, et al.*, 37 Phil., 817, 820; *Philippine Trust Co. vs. Santamaria, etc., et al.*, 53 Phil., 463, 467; *Eberc, et al. vs. Canizares, etc., et al.*, 79 Phil., 152, 155; *Manansala vs. Narvasa, etc., et al.*, 101 Phil., 1260, 1261; *De los Angeles vs. Victoriano*, L-13632, July 27, 1960.

² *Hidalgo vs. Crossfield, et al.*, 17 Phil., 466, 469-470; *Amor vs. Jugo, etc., et al.*, 77 Phil., 703, 706-707; *Buenaventura vs. Garcia, etc., et al.*, 78 Phil., 759, 762.

³ *De la Costa, etc., et al. vs. Cleofas*, 67 Phil., 686, 692; *Ocampo vs. Sanchez, etc., et al.*, 97 Phil., 472, 479; *Nazal vs. Belmonte, etc., et al.*, L-24410, May 23, 1968, 23 SCRA 700, 703, citing *Ocampo vs. Sanchez*, 97 Phil., 473; *City of Butuan vs. Ortiz, etc., et al.*, L-18054, December 22, 1961.

⁴ *Manlapas and Tolentino vs. Llorente*, 48 Phil. 298.

It must be noted that no notice of *lis pendens* was ever annotated on the title, and it is not disputed that said private respondents are purchasers of the property in good faith. In the premises, at the moment it would be unjust for the court to allow execution of the judgment, with the consequent ejectment of respondent Marasigan spouses from the premises pending determination of Civil Case No. C-319. True it is that, as claimed by petitioner, an ejectment proceeding may prosper even against the owner of property, but the principle that upholds this theory is founded upon the fact that the owner of the property had, by his own volition, previously divested himself of the right of occupancy over the property in favor of another. This is not the situation in the instant case.

WHEREFORE, the petition is denied, without pronouncement as to costs.

Fernando, J., Chairman, Barredo, Fernandez and Aquino, JJ., concur.

Petition denied.

[No. L-29139. November 15, 1974]

SECOND DIVISION

CONSUELO P. PICZON, RUBEN O. PICZON and AIDA P. AL-CANTARA, plaintiffs and appellants, *vs.* ESTEBAN PICZON and SOSING-LOBOS & Co., INC., defendants and appellees.

Vicente C. Santos for the plaintiffs and appellants.

Vicente M. Macabidang for the defendant and appellee Esteban S. Piczon.

Jacinto R. Bohol for the defendant and appellee Sosing-Lobos Co., Inc.

APPEAL from the decision of the Court of First Instance of Samar. Fernandez, J.

SYNOPSIS

The trial court sentenced defendants, Sosing-Lobos and Co. Inc., as principal, and Esteban Piczon, as guarantor, to pay plaintiffs the sum of ₱12,000 with 12% interest from August 6, 1964. From this decision plaintiffs appealed alleging that the trial court erred (1) in ordering the payment of interest from August 6, 1964, instead of from September 28, 1956, when the loan agreement was executed, (2) in considering defendant Piczon merely as guarantor and not as surety, and (3) in not adjudicating damages in favor of plaintiffs.

The Supreme Court modified the judgment so as to make defendants liable for the stipulated interest from September 28, 1956, instead of August 6, 1964, and affirmed in all other respects.

SYLLABUS

of the Ruling of the Court

1. CONTRACTS; INTERESTS; COURTS SHOULD ADHERE TO THE PLAIN TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT.—Where the terms of the agreement plainly provides that the debtor had obligated himself to pay interest from the date of the execution thereof, the trial court should adhere to said terms, instead of requiring the debtor to pay interest only when the creditor made the first demand. Under Article 2209 of the Civil Code “if the obligation consists in the payment of a sum of money, and the debtor incurs in delay, the indemnity for damages, there being no stipulation to the contrary, shall be the payment of the interest agreed upon, and in the absence of stipulation, the legal interest, which is six per cent per annum.”
2. *Id.*; *Id.*; STIPULATED TIME TO PAY INTEREST CONTROLS.—Article 1169 of the Civil Code specifying when the obligor incurs in delay is applicable only when the obligation is to do something other than payment of money. If the contract stipulates from what time interest will be counted said stipulated time controls, and, therefore interest is payable from such time, and not from the date of the filing of the complaint. Otherwise, there would be no basis for the provision of Article 2212 of the Civil Code providing that “interest due shall earn legal

interest from the time it is judicially demanded, although the obligation may be silent upon this point."

3. **ID.; GUARANTY MUST BE EXPRESS.**—A debtor cannot be held liable as a surety where he expressly bound himself only as guarantor, and there are no circumstances in the record from which it can be deduced that his liability could be that of a surety. A guaranty must be express (Art. 2055, Civil Code) and it would be violative of the law to consider a party to be bound as a surety when the very word used in the agreement is "guarantor."
4. **APPEAL; RELIEFS; SUBSTANTIAL MATTERS NOT INCLUDED IN GENERAL PRAYER FOR RELIEF IN THE LOWER COURT CANNOT BE ENTERTAINED ON APPEAL.**—A prayer in appellants' brief for payment of legal interest upon interest due from the filing of complaint cannot be entertained on appeal, where the claim had not been made an issue in the court below. Such a substantial matter cannot be deemed included in a general prayer for "any other relief just and equitable in the premises," especially where the pre-trial order does not mention it in the enumeration of issues to be resolved by the court.

OPINION OF THE COURT

BARREDO, J.:

Appeal from the decision of the Court of First Instance of Samar in its Civil Case No. 5156, entitled *Consuelo P. Piczon, et al. vs. Esteban Piczon, et al.*, sentencing defendants-appellees, *Sosing Lobos and Co., Inc.*, as principal, and *Esteban Piczon*, as guarantor, to pay plaintiffs-appellants "the sum of ₱12,500.00 with 12% interest from August 6, 1964 until said principal amount of ₱12,500.00 shall have been duly paid, and the costs."

After issues were joined and at the end of the pre-trial held on August 22, 1967, the trial court issued the following order:

"When this case was called for pre-trial, plaintiffs and defendants through their lawyers, appeared and entered into the following agreement:

1. That defendants admit the due execution of Annexes 'A' and 'B' of the complaint;
2. That consequently defendant *Sosing-Lobos and Co., Inc.* binds itself to be plaintiffs for ₱12,500.00, the same to be paid on or before October 31, 1967 together with the interest that this court may determine.

That the issues in this case are legal ones namely:

(a) Will the payment of twelve per cent interest of ₱12,500.00 commence to run from August 6, 1964 when plaintiffs made the first demand or from August 29, 1956 when the obligation becomes due and demandable?

(b) Is defendant *Esteban Piczon* liable as a guarantor or a surety? That the parties are hereby required to file their respective memorandum if they so desire on or before September 15, 1967 to discuss the legal issues and therewith the case will be considered submitted for decision.

WHEREFORE, the instant case is hereby considered submitted based on the aforesaid facts agreed upon and upon submission of the par-

ties of their respective memorandum on or before September 15, 1967.

So ORDERED.¹ (Record on Appeal pp. 28-30.)

Annex "A", the actionable document of appellants reads thus:

"AGREEMENT OF LOAN

KNOW YE ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That I, ESTEBAN PICZON, of legal age, married, Filipino, and resident of and with postal address in the municipality of Catbalogan, Province of Samar, Philippines, in my capacity as the President of the corporation known as the 'SOSING-LOBOS and Co., INC.,' as controlling stock-holder, and at the same time as guarantor for the same, do by these presents contract a loan of Twelve Thousand Five Hundred Pesos (P12,500.00), Philippine Currency, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, from the 'Piczon and Co., Inc.' another corporation, the main offices of the two corporations being in Catbalogan, Samar, for which I undertake, bind and agree to use the loan as surety cash deposit for registration with the Securities and Exchange Commission of the incorporation papers relative to the 'Sosing-Lobos and Co., Inc.,' and to return or pay the same amount with Twelve Per Cent (12%) interest per annum, commencing from the date of execution hereof, to the 'Piczon and Co., Inc., as soon as the said incorporation papers are duly registered and the Certificate of Incorporation issued by the aforementioned Commission.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto signed my name in Catbalogan, Samar, Philippines, this 28th day of September, 1956.

(Sgd.) ESTEBAN PICZON"

(Record on Appeal, pp. 6-7.)

The trial court having rendered judgment in the tenor aforequoted, appellants assign the following alleged errors:

"I

The trial court erred in ordering the payment of 12% interest on the principal of P12,500.00 from August 6, 1964, only, instead of from September 28, 1956, when annex 'A' was duly executed.

"II

The trial court erred in considering defendant Esteban Piczon as guarantor only and not as surety.

"III

The trial court erred in not adjudicating damages in favor of the plaintiffs-appellants." (Appellants' Brief pp. a to b.)

Appellants' first assignment of error is well taken. Instead of requiring appellees to pay interest at 12% only from August 6, 1964, the trial court should have adhered to the terms of the agreement which plainly provides that Esteban Piczon had obligated Sosing-Lobos and Co., Inc. and himself to "return or pay (to Piczon and Co., Inc.) the

¹ Annex "B" is a document entitled "Mutual Quit Claims. Cessions and Amicable Settlement" under which the right of action of Piczon and Co., Inc. under Annex "A" was transferred to the heirs of Alejandro Piczon who are the appellants.

same amount (P12,500.00) with Twelve Per Cent (12%) interest per annum commencing from the date of the execution hereof", Annex A, which was on September 28, 1956. Under Article 2209 of the Civil Code "(i)f the obligation consists in the payment of a sum of money, and the debtor incurs in delay, the indemnity for damages, there being no stipulation to the contrary, shall be the payment of the interest agreed upon, and in the absence of stipulation, the legal interest, which is six per cent per annum." In the case at bar, the "interest agreed upon" by the parties in Annex A was to commence from the execution of said document.

Appellees' contention that the reference in Article 2209 to delay incurred by the debtor which can serve as the basis for liability for interest is to that defined in Article 1169 of the Civil Code reading thus:

"Those obliged to deliver or to do something incur in delay from the time the obligee judicially or extrajudicially demands from them the fulfillment of their obligation.

However, the demand by the creditor shall not be necessary in order that delay may exist:

- (1) When the obligation or the law expressly so declares; or
- (2) When from the nature and the circumstances of the obligation it appears that the designation of the time when the things is to be delivered or the service is to be rendered was a controlling motive for the establishment of the contract; or
- (3) When demand would be useless, as when the obligor has rendered it beyond his power to perform.

In reciprocal obligations, neither party incurs in delay if the other does not comply or is not ready to comply in a proper manner with what is incumbent upon him. From the moment one of the parties fulfills his obligation, delay by the other begins."

is untenable. In *Quiroz vs. Tan Guinlay*, 5 Phil. 675, it was held that the article cited by appellees (which was Article 1100 of the Old Civil Code read in relation to Art. 1101) is applicable only when the obligation is to do something other than the payment of money. And in *Firestone Tire & Rubber Co. (P.I.) vs. Delgado*, 104 Phil. 920, the Court squarely ruled that if the contract stipulates from what time interest will be counted, said stipulated time controls, and, therefore interest is payable from such time, and not from the date of the filing of the complaint (at p. 925). Were that not the law, there would be no basis for the provision of Article 2212 of the Civil Code providing that "(I)nterest due shall earn legal interest from the time it is judicially demanded, although the obligation may be silent upon this point." Incidentally, appellants would have been entitled to the benefit of this article, had they not failed to plead the same in their complaint. Their prayer for it in their brief is much too late. Appellees had no opportunity to meet the issue squarely at the pre-trial.

As regards the other two assignments of error, appellants' pose cannot be sustained. Under the terms of the contract, Annex A, Esteban Piczon expressly bound himself only as guarantor, and there are no circumstances in the record from which it can be deduced that liability could be that of a surety. A guaranty must be express (Article 2055, Civil Code) and it would be violative of the law to consider a party to be bound as a surety when the very word used in the agreement is "guarantor."

Moreover, as well pointed out in appellees' brief, under the terms of the pre-trial order, appellants accepted the express assumption of liability by Sosing-Lobos & Co., Inc. for the payment of the obligation in question, thereby modifying their original posture that inasmuch as that corporation did not exist yet at the time of the agreement, Piczon necessarily must have bound himself as insurer.

As already explained earlier, appellants' prayer for payment of legal interest upon interest due from the filing of the complaint can no longer be entertained, the same not having been made an issue in the pleadings in the court below. We do not believe that such a substantial matter can be deemed included in a general prayer for "any other relief just and equitable in the premises", especially when, as in this case, the pre-trial order does not mention it in the enumeration of the issues to be resolved by the court.

PREMISES CONSIDERED, the judgment of the trial court is modified so as to make appellees liable for the stipulated interest of 12% per annum from September 28, 1956, instead of August 6, 1964. In all other respects, said judgment is affirmed. Costs against appellees.

Fernando J., Chairman, Antonio, Fernandez and Aquino, JJ., concur.

Judgment affirmed.

[No. L-31104. November 15, 1974]

SECOND DIVISION

PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, Plaintiff-Appellee *vs.* BIEN-
VENIDO CAOILE, etc., Defendant-Appellant.

*Assistant Solicitor General T. Limcaoco and Solicitor
Eduardo C. Abaya* for the plaintiff and appellee.

Terrado & Fermin for the defendant and appellant.

APPEAL from the decision of the Court of First Instance
of Manila (Circuit Criminal Court) Pamaran, J.

SYNOPSIS

While with a group of persons decorating Quirino and Herbosa Streets, Tondo, Manila, preparatory to the barrio fiesta, Guido Recidoro was suddenly attacked and stabbed to death by at least three assailants. Informed of the incident, police operatives proceeded to the scene of the crime. Based on the testimonies of witnesses, the police sent a general alarm for the arrest of the suspects. Except for appellant, the other suspects eluded police dragnet and remained at large during the trial. Tried for the crime of murder, appellant endeavored to discredit the testimony of the state witnesses and interposed the defense of alibi. The trial court set aside the theory of the defense and sentenced the defendant to *reclusión perpetua* with the corresponding indemnity. The accused appealed raising the issue of credibility of the prosecution witnesses. The Supreme Court affirmed the decision.

SYLLABUS

of the Ruling of the Court

1. WITNESSES; SPONTANEOUS TESTIMONY AND LACK OF IMPROPER MOTIVE STRENGTHEN CREDIBILITY.—The absence of improper motive on the part of witnesses, who spontaneously and positively identified appellant and his companions as the assailants of the deceased immediately after the incident, strongly indicates their sincerity and veracity.
2. ID.; BEHAVIOR ON THE WITNESS STAND.—The demeanor of a witness on the witness stand is often a better evidence of his veracity than the answer he gives.
3. ID.; CREDIBILITY; FINDINGS OF TRIAL COURTS NOT TO BE DISTURBED ON APPEAL; EXCEPTION.—When the issue is one of credibility, appellate courts generally do not disturb the findings of the trial courts, as they are in a better position to decide the question, having heard the witnesses themselves and observed their demeanor and deportment during the trial, unless some facts of weight and substance had been overlooked which, if considered, might affect the result of the case.
4. EVIDENCE; ALIBI CANNOT PREVAIL OVER POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION.—Court should exercise great caution in accepting the defense of alibi because it is easily concocted and such defense cannot prevail over the positive identification of the accused by credible witnesses.

5. QUALIFYING CIRCUMSTANCE; USE OF SUPERIOR STRENGTH QUALIFIED THE OFFENSE TO MURDER.—Considering that the victim at the time of the attack was unarmed, he was, therefore, no match to his three (3) assailants who were all armed with bladed or sharp-pointed weapons. Under such circumstances, the assailants took advantage of their superior strength, which physical superiority qualifies the crime as murder.

OPINION OF THE COURT

ANTONIO, J.:

Appeal from the decision of the Circuit Criminal Court, Sixth Judicial District, Manila, dated June 20, 1969, convicting appellant Bienvenido Caoile *aliases* "Ben Caoile" and "Ben Commando", of the crime of murder and sentencing him to suffer the penalty of *reclusión perpetua*, to indemnify the heirs of the victim, Guido Recidoro, in the sum of P12,000.00 and to pay the costs.

At about 8:15 o'clock on the night of January 12, 1969, while several persons were putting up streamers and other decorations along Quirino and Herbosa Streets, Tondo, Manila, preparatory to the holding of the barrio fiesta in said locality, Guido Recidoro y Cortez, 26 years of age and resident of said neighborhood, was attacked and stabbed to death by at least three assailants. That same evening, the body of the victim was brought to the Jose R. Reyes Memorial Hospital by Tessie Recidoro, sister of the victim.

Acting upon information furnished them by Rodolfo Ibisate, who claimed to have witnessed the killing, police operatives led by Pat. Isagani Tolentino of the MPD, proceeded to the scene of the crime. Ibisate indicated to the police investigators the scene of the crime, which was in front of House No. 84-B, Quirino Street, Bo. Magsaysay, Tondo, Manila. At the place pointed to by Ibisate as the particular spot where the victim fell, the police investigators found splotches of blood. A certain Jose Co, whose wife is a cousin of the victim, informed the investigators that he witnessed the incident. On the afternoon of January 15, 1969, Rodolfo Ibisate, Jose Co and Arsenio Vega, gave written accounts of the incident to the police. In the meantime, on the morning of January 13, 1969, Dr. Camilo B. Casclang, Medical Examiner of the Manila Police Department, conducted an autopsy upon the cadaver of the deceased. His post-mortem findings are as follows:

"MISCELLANEOUS EXTERNAL WOUNDS AND EXTENSION INTERNALLY

- (1) Stab wound located in the right upper chest wall directed from front to back diagonally downwards to the right, piercing thru & thru the right diaphragm and the right liver lobe, causing profuse bleeding, shock death of victim (Fatal).

- (2) Stab wound located in the left lower lumbar region of back (non-penetrating).
- (3) Stab wound located in the right upper lumbar region of back (non-penetrating).

OTHER EXTERNAL FINDINGS:

- (A) Hacking wound located in the lateral right lower arm, cutting the skin and muscle only.
- (B) Hacking wound located in the upper right lumbar region of back cutting the skin and muscle only.
- (C) Hacking wound located at the mid occipital region of head, cutting the whole thickness of the scalp, but did not fracture the skull.
- (D) Spotty (4) abrasions in the left anterior chest.
- (E) Abrasions one below each knee.
- (F) Abrasion (1) located in the lateral right lower leg.

MISCELLANEOUS FINDINGS IN INTERNAL ORGANS:

RESPIRATORY SYSTEM:

Stab wound piercing thru & thru the right diaphragm (Fatal-supra).

DIGESTIVE SYSTEM:

- (1) Stab wound piercing thru & thru the right liver lobe (Fatal-supra).

BONES AND JOINTS:

Stab wound cutting the sternum at the level of the 4th right intercostal space (Fatal-supra).

CAUSE OF DEATH:

Profuse exsanguinating hemorrhage (1000 cc blood recovered in the right thorax; 1000 cc inside abdomen), shock, due to multiple hacking and stabbing wounds, one stab wound being fatal; piercing thru & thru the right diaphragm and the liver lobe (Fatal)."

As a result of this investigation, a general alarm for the arrest of Ben Caoile, *alias* "Ben Commando", Ibarra Gosim y Cañas, Sisong Monroy and one *alias* "Danny Palaka", as suspects in the commission of the said crime, was sent by the police to its various precincts. Only appellant, however, was apprehended on May 24, 1969, as the others succeeded in eluding the police dragnet and remained at large during the trial of the case. When investigated by the police regarding his involvement in the incident, appellant Ben Caoile refused to give any statement.

The issue posed in this appeal is the credibility of the two prosecution eyewitnesses who pointed to appellant as one of those who attacked and inflicted the mortal blows on the victim.

Rodolfo Ibisate, 24 years of age, married, driver, and residing at 72-C Herbosa Ext., Bo. Magsaysay, Tondo, Manila, declared that he knows appellant, being his neighbor for more than three years; that at about 8:15 o'clock

on the evening of January 12, 1969, while he was at Quirino Street, Herboasa, Tondo, Manila, his attention was directed to a commotion that occurred on the said street, wherein he saw from a distance of about fifteen (15) meters, appellant Ben Caoile armed with a hunting knife, together with Sisong Monroy holding an ice pick, and Ibarra Gosim with a bolo, attack and stab the victim, whom he learned later to be Guido Recidoro; that while he saw the said assailants stab the victim several times, he did not know the number of times each of the accused stabbed the victim; that the place where the crime occurred was sufficiently illuminated by the lights coming from the adjacent houses; that after the stabbing he saw a boy by the name of "Danny Palaka" pick up a piece of hollow block which he dropped on the head of the deceased, and thereafter, the four ran away; that in running out of Bo. Magsaysay, they passed near the place where he was standing.

Arsenio Vega, 55 years of age, married, carpenter, and residing at Cabili Street, Bo. Magsaysay, Tondo, Manila, corroborated Ibisate's testimony. He testified that on that same night, while he was walking along Quirino Street on his way home, he noticed, upon reaching Herboasa Ext., a commotion on Quirino Street and saw someone being stabbed and hacked by three assailants whom he was able to recognize later as appellant Ben Caoile, Sisong Monroy and Ibarra Gosim while they were running away from the scene of the crime and passed a place in front of him; that he knows the three accused; that appellant was then armed with a hunting knife, while his companions—Ibarra Gosim and Sisong Monroy—were holding a bolo and an ice pick, respectively; that he was able to recognize appellants and his companions because he had known them for more than three years; that he came to know the name of the victim later as Guido Recidoro.

Appellant insists that the aforementioned two eyewitnesses could not have actually recognized the assailants of the deceased "because they were quite far from the scene of the crime and it was dark," and that "even if it were true that appellant passed in front of said witnesses, it could not be concluded that he came from the scene of the crime and that he was one of the perpetrators." The infirmity of these contentions stems from the fact that both these witnesses had known appellant and his two companions for more than three (3) years prior to the incident, and could not possibly have been mistaken as to the appellant's identity, considering that when appellant and his companions fled, they passed only about a meter away from the place where the two wit-

nesses were then watching the incident, and appellant was seen still holding his hunting knife, while Ibarra Gosim had a bolo and Sisong Monroy was holding an instrument that looked like an ice pick. It must be noted that Ibisate declared that he saw appellant stab the victim with a hunting knife, while Ibarra Gosim and Sisong Monroy cooperated in the attack, and the place where the stabbing took place was quite bright because of the lights coming from the adjacent houses. The absence of any improper motive on the part of these witnesses, together with the spontaneity with which they positively identified appellant Ben Caoile, Ibarra Gosim and Sisong Monroy as the assailants of the deceased immediately after the incident, strongly indicates their sincerity and veracity. It has been observed that the demeanor of a witness on the witness stand is often a better evidence of his veracity than the answer he gives.¹ In the case at bar, the trial court noted that the testimonies of these two witnesses "were given in a clear, categorical and straight-forward manner which are the earmarks of truth." We must rely upon the trial court's observation, considering that when the issue is one of credibility, appellate courts generally do not disturb the findings of the trial courts, as they are in a better position to decide the question, having heard the witnesses themselves and observed their demeanor and deportment during the trial, unless some facts of weight and substance had been overlooked which, if considered, might affect the result of the case.²

As against the positive identification of the appellant as one of the perpetrators of the offense, appellant interposes the defense of alibi, claiming that he could not have committed the crime because he was inside a store about forty (40) meters away from the place, drinking Cosmos soft drink with his wife, when someone shouted that somebody had been stabbed. This caused the people to panic and scamper away, while he and his wife also ran towards their home.

Veronica Austria, another witness for the defense, claims that on the night of the stabbing incident, she was walking along Quirino Street when all of a sudden a boy who was hanging buntings and other decorations fell to the ground unconscious, and thereafter, a commotion ensued. In the commotion, she saw Sisong Monroy grappling with and stabbing at another man who then fell to the ground. Afterwards, she saw Ibarra Gosim approach the same victim and drop a cement hollow block on the latter's head. She insists that she did not see appellant

¹ *People vs. Solafia*, 6 SCRA 60, 68.

² *People vs. Juan Ancheta, et al.* L-29581-82, October 30, 1974.

at that place at the time of the aforementioned occurrence.

Appellant's mother, Flora Caoile, attempted to impute improper motives on the prosecution witnesses by stating that Jose Co admitted to her that his son was implicated because they wanted her to reveal the whereabouts of Sisong Monroy and Ibarra Gosim. The implausability of this claim of Flora Caoile is, however, evident from the fact that immediately after the commission of the crime and several days before appellant was arrested Rodolfo Ibisate and Arsenio Vega had positively identified appellant and his companions as the assailants of Guido Recidoro.

We find no cogent reason to depart from the well-settled rule that courts should exercise great caution in accepting the defense of alibi because it is easily concocted and such defense cannot prevail over the positive identification of the accused by credible witnesses. In the case at bar, appellant was positively identified as one of those who attacked and stabbed the deceased Guido Recidoro. The testimony of the aforesaid witnesses is further corroborated by medical findings to the effect that the victim was attacked and stabbed by more than one assailant, as indicated by the number, location and character of the wounds. As shown by Dr. Casaclang, Medical Examiner of the Manila Police Department, the "profuse exsanguinating hemorrhage" was due to multiple hacking and stabbing wounds; the presence of four (4) stab wounds, one of which was fatal, piercing thru and thru the right diaphragm and the right liver lobe; Wound No. 1 was caused by a double-bladed weapon because both extremities of the wound were sharp, while Wounds Nos. 2 and 3 were inflicted by single-bladed weapons and the other wounds by "rough instruments" indicating that at least three (3) kinds of weapons were used.

Considering that the victim at the time of the attack was unarmed, he was, therefore, no match to his three (3) assailants who were all armed with bladed or sharp-pointed weapons. Under such circumstances, We believe that the assailants took advantage of their superior strength, which physical superiority qualifies the crime as murder.

IN VIEW OF THE FOREGOING, We agree with the trial court that the guilt of appellant of the crime charged has been established beyond all reasonable doubt. As the decision under review is in accordance with law and the evidence, the same is hereby affirmed. With costs.

Fernando, J., Chairman, Barredo, Fernandez and Aquino, JJ., concur.

Decision affirmed.

MGA HATOL NG HUKUMAN NG MGA PAGHAHABOL

(DECISIONS OF THE COURT OF APPEALS)

RICARDO G. DE LEON, CHIEF, REPORTER'S DIVISION

[TA-R.G. Num. 10404-CR.* Febrero 8, 1974]**

EL PUEBLO DE FILIPINAS, demandante y apelado, *contra*,
HILARION DIZON, acusado y apelante.

1. PRUEBAS; TESTIGOS; CREDIBILIDAD; AUTORIDAD DE LOS TRIBUNALES DE APELACION.—En asuntos de esta indole en donde se presentan sencillas cuestiones de credibilidad debe de admitirse que los tribunales de apelacion por regla general se resisten a revocar los criterios de los inferiores, en razon a que son los mismos que han tenido plena oportunidad de bien observar el comportamiento de los testigos de una y otra parte, oportunidad de que estan privados los de apelacion, sin embargo, si detalles hay en los autos que demuestren lo contrario, detalles bien significantes, deber es del tribunal revisador adoptar su propio criterio en sustitucion y por consiguiente, revocar.
2. ID.; ID.; ID.; DOBLE RETRACTATION.—Si un testigo retracta y luego retracta la retractacion, jurando que la primera retractacion la habia hecho a instancia y por intimidacion ejercida por el abogado de la defensa con razon por consiguiente dice la defensa de que esta doble retractacion debe de tener el efecto de, "total extinction of the witness' credibility."
3. ID.; ID.; ID.; PROBABILIDADES; EN EL CASO DE AUTOS.—Cuando los tribunales se ven confrontados de testimonios contradictorios, el recurso a que se acuden es sencillamente el determinar cual de los dos mas concuerda con las probabilidades, y es porque es la presuncion de que las cosas suceden siguiendo el curso ordinario y las costumbres ordinarias de la vida, y como es hecho no discutido de que aquella tarde, los policias, casi una esquadra, habian venido en atencion a la directiva del Alcalde, "to investigate the authorship and identity of persons who sent the attached "Bukas na Liham", y sabiendo como sabian que el apelante era el jefe de la "Calamba for Good Government League", facil es creer que los policias todos armados se llevaban la ventaja, si esto es asi como los es hubiera sido insensatez de parte del apelante el haber iniciado la agresion.

APELACION contra una sentencia del Juzgado Municipal de Calamba, Laguna. Domingo M. Angeles, *J.*

Los hechos aparecen relativo la decision del Tribunal.

Emilio C. Capulong, Jr. en representacion de apelante.

El Procurador General Feix Q. Antonio, y sus auxiliares Antonio A. Torres y Guillermo C. Nakar, Jr. en representacion del pueblo.

* Vol 19 C.A.R. (2s), p.——.

** Este asunto fue sometido para el fallo del Tribunal el 21 de Oct., 1970, pero por jubilacion del designado ponente, se dejó no decidido, y fue uno de los 100 asuntos re-distribuidos el 25 de Oct., 1973 y asignados a esta Division.

GATMAITAN, J.:

RESOLVIENDO: Apelacion en Crim. No. 5859, Juzgado Municipal de Calamba, Laguna, por atentado contra agente de la autoridad, en donde presentada la denuncia el 21 de Junio, 1969 y cumplidos los tramite de rigor, se habia promulgado sentencia disponiendo,

"PREMISES CONSIDERED, and finding the accused guilty beyond reasonable doubt of the crime charged, as defined in Article 148 and penalized under the same provision and appreciating in his favor the mitigating circumstance provided for in Article 13, paragraph 10 of the same code, and there being no aggravating circumstance to offset the same, he is hereby sentenced to suffer an imprisonment of Four (4) Months and One (1) Day of ARRESTO MAYOR to One (1) year, One (1) Month and Ten (10) Days of PRISION CORRECCIONAL and to pay a FINE of P200.00, to suffer subsidiary imprisonment in case of insolvency and to pay the costs." p. 44 Appellant's Brief.

que el acusado há elevado a este Tribunal fundándose en los errores que apunta en su alegato;

RESULTANDO: Que no hay seria debate sobre ciertos antecedentes; el acusado-apelante, Hilarion Dizon es particular, pero teniente coronel jubilado del ejercito y reside en Calamba, y el denunciante es policía municipal del pueblo; poco antes del 20 de Junio, 1969, la "Calamba Citizen's League for Good Government" de que Dizon era Presidente, habia diseminado el folleto Exh. B en donde se atacaba al Alcalde Municipal, Severino Arambulo, el Tesorero Municipal, Buenaventura Perez, y el Consejo Municipal por ciertas alegadas anomalias de la administracion, y copia del mismo se facilitó a la *Palcom* que a su vez el 20 de Mayo, 1969 endoso el mismo al Alcalde para que este hiciera, su replica y el Alcalde en atencion, expidió su 2.º endoso, dirigido al Jefe de Policia.

"to investigate authorship and or identity of persons who sent the attached "Bukas na Liham" to the Police Commission.

"Attention is called to Paragraph 7 of the letter with regards to which a thorough investigation of facts, and of authorship, is deemed necessary to enable us to make the "comments requested of us by the POLCOM.", Exh. D;

estos son los antecedentes que dieron margen al incidente algo violento que tuvo lugar en la tarde del mismo día, pues segun relato del denunciante policía municipal Alfredo Barachina,

"At about 5:00 o'clock in the afternoon of June 20, 1969, he was at Lopez Jaena Street in search for the head of the Calamba Citizen's League for Good Government on order of his Chief to verify the author of the pamphlet being distributed in Calamba, Laguna, and referred by the Polcom to the Municipal Mayor; that it is Colonel Dizon whom he finds as the head of the League, that he saw Col. Dizon in the Barber Shop and greeted, "Good afternoon"

and immediately informed the latter about his mission; that he gave Colonel Dizon the pamphlet and the letter, after reading it for a while, returned the pamphlet to the former by throwing it; that Colonel Dizon stood up and answered him Barachina), 'Hindi mo ba alam na ako ang pinuno nito at ako ang nagkakalat sa taong bayan?; that Col. Dizon suddenly got angry and immediately pushed him on his breast, slapped him on his left cheek and struck his stomach with a karate blow, that immediately after this violent acts of Colonel Dizon his co-policemen arrived and pacified them; that even after the said pacification, Colonel Dizon was still very angry and tried to strike him again and told him, 'Magsumbong ka sa amo mo!'; that Patrolman San Valentin advised him not to mind Colonel Dizon; that even while waiting for the arrival of the police jeep, Colonel Dizon was still very angry and when the police jeep arrived he boarded it first then was followed by Colonel Dizon; that from Lopez Jaena Street, they were brought to the police headquarters. On cross-examination he also testified that he did not arrive at Lopez Jaena Street together with the other policemen on a police jeep that afternoon that he was alone when he saw Colonel Dizon at the Calamba Barber Shop, a place a little from the corner of Lopez Jaena and Burgos Streets, playing 'dama'; that when he approached Colonel Dizon, the latter was deeply engaged in the game of 'dama'; that when confronted with the pamphlet of the Calamba Citizen's League for Good Government, the latter got suddenly angry, threw the pamphlet back to him then pushed him on his breast, slapped him on his left cheek by his (Dizon) left hand and later struck him on his stomach by a karate blow; and, that he did not fight back because Colonel Dizon was an old man so he just blocked whatever the latter was doing against him."

contra el cual, el apelante en el banquillo testifical a su vez trató de convencer de que lo que tuvo lugar es,

"that between the hour of 4:00 o'clock and 5:00 o'clock in the afternoon of June 20, 1969, while he was inside the Calamba Barber Shop located at Lopez Jaena Street, Calamba, Laguna, and playing 'dama' with one Puti, and in the presence of two barbers, one of them being Federico Pimentel and a customer, he saw the police jeep of Calamba, Laguna, loaded with policemen arrived and parked beyond the Calamba Barber Shop; that policeman San Valentin alighted from the said jeep, approached him and asked him if they (referring to the policemen) could talk to him; that he said 'yes' to the request but when San Valentin insisted that he (Colonel Dizon) should go with him to his policemen companions, the latter refused prompting San Valentin to signal to his companions to come over; that Barachina and Tejada came over and approached him; that Tejada while already in front of him, arrogantly asked him if the leaflet he was holding was his (Colonel Dizon's); that he said it belongs to the Calamba Citizen's League for Good Government of which he was the president; that they (policemen) demanded that he signs the pamphlet only to be told by him that it is already signed Calamba Citizen's League for Good Government; that when he refused to sign the said pamphlet he was asked whether he would go along with the policemen and followed by some more verbal confrontations; that when he finally said 'I won't go', Tejada shouted 'Tira!' and immediately after that Barachina, who was at his left, slapped him on his face and because of the impact his eyeglasses were thrown away and he nearly stumbled down; that he was continuously manhandled by Patrolman Bara-

china, San Valentin and Tejada, dragged and while near the police jeep and still struggling hard to free himself, he was bodily lifted by all the policemen mentioned by him and was dumped inside the police jeep as if he were a pig and was brought to the police headquarters at the municipal building of Calamba, Laguna;"

y el Juez Sentenciador con vista de las pretensiones contradictorias, optó por creer al primero, y condenó, esta es la razon por que en ésta alzada, el apelante tilda de erroneo el fallo insistiendo que Su Honor habia errado,

I. . . . in holding that the evidence which the prosecution had tried to set up sufficiently constituted the crime charged;

II. . . . in according credence to the testimonies of each of the prosecution witnesses, replete as they were with phenominal contradictions, inconsistencies, and improbabilites;

III. . . . in totally disregarding the truth of the testimonies of the witnesses for the accused-appellant based both on the facts adduced and on the applicable law and jurisprudence;

IV. . . . in not granting a new trial, and in not reversing its judgment of conviction therefore, based on the "double retraction" made out by the prosecution star witness, Alfredo del Valle.

los cuales pueden discutirse conjuntamente toda vez que no presentan más que la sencilla cuestion de sí o nó a la luz de las pruebas y la ley, procede mantenerse la sentencia apelada; pero dígase que el Procurador General a su vez haciendo minucioso examen de los testimonios, ha hecho suyo el pedimento de absolucion;

CONSIDERANDO: Que si bien en asuntos de ésta indole en donde se presentan sencillas cuestiones de credibilidad, debe de admitirse que los tribunales de apelacion por regla general se resisten a revocar los criterios de los inferiores, en razon a que son los mismos que han tenido plena oportunidad de bien observar el comportamiento de los testigos de una y otra parte, oportunidad de que estan privados los de apelacion, sin embargo, si detalles hay en los autos que demuestren lo contrario, detalles bien significantes, deber es del tribunal revisador adoptar su propio criterio en sustitucion y por consiguiente, revocar, pues bien, este Tribunal después de haber hecho su propio examen, se ha visto precisado a concurrir con el Procurador General, por que,

1.o—De los tres testigos oculares que trataron de corroborar al denunciante, dos son colegas suyos, los policias, Rodolfo San Valentin y Melecio Tejada, solamente uno, Alfredo del Valle, camarero de la *Sunrise Nite Club*, es particular, desligado del cuerpo de policia, no tan dificil es suponer que Valentin y Tejada tuvieran sus simpatías a favor de Barachina, sobretodo porque vinieron para cumplir la misma directiva del Alcalde; el testigo Valle por consiguiente es él a cuyo testimonio debe de esperarse absoluta imparcialidad, pero despues de leer la transcripcion y el expediente este Tribunal no puede menos de abrigar graves dudas sobre su veracidad, no solamente porque en el banquillo testifical, cosa en algo peculiar es que en pre-

guntas directas, no hizo relato por medio de preguntas y respuestas, sino que despues de ciertas preliminares, se limitó a decir que habia prestado declaracion jurada con anterioridad sobre el incidente, tsn. II:66, luego y lo más importante, habiendo declarado como testigo el 3 de Julio, 1969, escasamente 3 meses después, retractó, el 16 de Septiembre, 1969, p. 72 expediente, aseverando que lo que habia declarado en corte abierta como testigo de cargo,

“ito’y walang katotohanan”

y que se vio impelido a hacer la retractacion por que

“hindi ako patulugin ng aking konsiensiya at ayaw kong magdusa ang isang walang kasalanan”, id;

para más tarde, un mes después, el 20 de Octubre, 1969, revolver la espalda otra vez, jurando que la primera retractacion la habia hecho a instancia y por intimidacion ejercida por el abogado de la defensa, p. 79,—con razon por consiguiente dice la Defensa de que esta doble retractacion debe de tener el efecto de,

“total extinction of the star witness’ credibility”;

2.o—Por otra parte, el relato del apelante viene fortalecido por el dueño de la barberia nada menos, el testigo, Federico Pimentel, y una lectura de su testimonio deja al lector el justo convencimiento de su sinceridad, sin duda, estuvo presente, no tenía motivos para favorecer al apelante aunque este era su parroquiano, por que debe de recordarse que de testificar en su favor, y en contra de los policias, para eso necesitaba de cierto valor, por esto que demostraba sinceridad cuando admitió haber sido solicitadá, su comparecencia como testigo por el apelante acompañado de otros y cuando tambien admitió que no dió inmediata aceptacion, sino que pensó un poco pero que minutos después, aceptó, su explicacion sobre esa prudente actitud adoptada de que,

“Siempre ho pinagiisipan ko muna at anong malay ko kung ang aking testiguhan ay may kasalanan o wala ay ako pa ang maiipit.” tsn. V:76,

suenan muy natural; y si bien el Juez Sentenciador en su fallo ha hecho la observacion de que,

“Observing the defense witnesses, while they testified, they were both restless, nervous and uncomfortable so much so that the trial court entertained grave doubts concerning the veracity of their testimonies and their credibility.”

pero desgraciadamente, en ningun rincon de toda la transcripcion se puede leer que Su Señora hubiese hecho ese reparo, para así, concederse a la Defensa oportunidad para interponer sus explicaciones, al contrario, tal vez, el que un testigo es,

“restless, nervous and uncomfortable”

no necesariamente quiere decir que no dice la verdad, posiblemente el que ocupa el banquillo testifical con frescura a este es a quien el tribunal debe de estar alerta, y como bien ha dicho el Procurador General,

"We venture to say that any normal person would have been nervous and uncomfortable testifying in the presence and/or about the abusive acts of policemen of the municipality where he resides. To incur the ire of the local police is a serious matter indeed." People's brief, p. 34,

y con mayor razon si se recuerda que el testigo Pimentel no es natural de Calamba, sino que es de Pangasinan, tsn. V:42;

3.0—Pero por encima de los dicho, como cuando los tribunales se ven confrontados de testimonios contradictorios, el recurso a que se acuden es sencillamente el determinar cual de los dos más concuerda con las probabilidades, y es porque es la presuncion de que las cosas suceden siguiendo el curso ordinario y las costumbres ordinarias de la vida, y como es hecho no discutido de que aquella tarde, los policias, casi una esquadra, habían venido en atencion a la directiva del Alcalde,

"to investigate the authorship and identity of persons who sent the attached "Bukas na Liham",

y sabiendo como sabían que el apelante era el jefe de la "Calamba for Good Government League", facil es creer que los policias todos armados se llevaban la ventaja, si esto es asi como lo es hubiera sido insensatez de parte del apelante el haber iniciado la agresion, y como por regla general, el que está resentido es quien pega primero, sin duda que el apelante habiendose negado a firmar como así se le pedia por los policias, aquella "Bukas na Liham", de ahí que los policias, especificamente el policia Barrachina se olera chasqueado, y de ahí el castigo que acto seguido impuso al apelante,—pues recuérdese que Barrachina no estaba sin sus resentimientos personales el Mayo antes del suceso, el apelante habia vendido cierta propiedad suya a la Feati Bank y desahuciado a sus tias y sobrinas de la casa en donde ellas habian estado viviendo por 15 años; de todo esto, este Tribunal ha llegado al firme convencimiento de que la acusacion contra el apelante de haberse atentado contra agente de la autoridad habiendo sido apoyado por testigos poco dignos de credito, por no decir otra cosa;

EN SU VIRTUD, revóquese la sentencia apelada, absolviendole al apelante, con las costas de oficio y la cancelacion de la fianza prestada para su libertad provisional.

ASI SE ORDENA.

Reyes L.B. y Plana, MM—, estan conforme.

Sentencia absolviendole.

[No. 45740-R. June 17, 1974]*

EASTERN PAPER MILLS Co., INC., plaintiff and appellant,
vs. REPUBLIC WAREHOUSING CORPORATION, ET AL.,
defendants and appellees.

1. WAREHOUSE RECEIPTS LAW; WAREHOUSEMAN'S LIEN; ENFORCEMENT; SECS. 32 AND 35 DO NOT AUTHORIZE SALE OF GOODS EXTRAJUDICIALLY UNDER THE CIVIL CODE.—Section 32 of the Warehouse Receipts Law, which provides that a warehouseman is entitled to all remedies allowed by law to a creditor against his debtor for the collection from the depositor of all charges and advances which the depositor has contracted with him, or Section 35 thereof, which provides that the remedy for enforcing a lien does not preclude any other remedies allowed by law, does not authorize a warehouseman to sell extrajudicially under the Civil Code the goods stored to satisfy his lien thereon.
2. *Id.*; *Id.*; SATISFACTION.—Under the law, a warehouseman's lien may be satisfied either: (1) extrajudicially, by selling the stored goods at public auction after due notice and advertisement of the sale should have been made; or (2) judicially, by suing for his unpaid charges (Commentaries and Jurisprudence on the Philippine Commercial Laws, 1971 Revised Edition, (3) p. 360, by Martin, T.C.). And a sale by a warehouseman of the stored goods made without the publication required and before the time specified by the Warehouse Receipt Law is void, and the purchaser of the good acquires no property in them (*Baum vs. Wm. Knabe, etc., Mfg. Co.*, 33 App. D.C. 237).

Per Plana, J., concurring:

3. *Id.*; *Id.*; SECS. 32 AND 35, THEIR APPLICATION.—Section 32 of the Warehouse Receipts Law refers and should be limited to remedies *other than enforcement of the lien*, otherwise there is no need for Section 35 of the same Law.
4. *Id.*; *Id.*; REQUIREMENTS OF SEC. 33 MUST BE OBSERVED.—Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 35 of the Warehouse Receipts Law, a warehouseman can not disregard the requirements carefully and meticulously prescribed in Section 33 of the same law and just follow the less stringent general rules embodied in Articles 2121 and 2122 of the Civil Code. The reason is that Section 35 makes available to the warehouseman "any other remedies" for the enforcement of a lien, but not the very same remedy (extrajudicial sale by warehouseman of deposited goods) provided for under the Warehouse Receipts Law. In other words, when the remedy to be pursued by the warehouseman is available under and specifically regulated by the Warehouse Receipts Law, the provisions of that law must be observed. (*Jewett vs. City Transfer and Storage Company*, 18 P. (2d) 351).
5. STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION; WAREHOUSE RECEIPTS LAW SHOULD PREVAIL OVER ARTS. 2121 AND 2122 OF THE CIVIL CODE.—Although the Warehouse Receipts Law antedates Articles 2121 and 2122 of the Civil Code, the former, being a special law, should prevail over the latter which is a general law.

* Vol. 19, C.A.R. (2s), p. —.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Court of First Instance of Manila. Francisco Geronimo, *J.*

The facts are stated in the opinion of the Court.

Joaquin G. Chung Jr. Law Office for plaintiff and appellant.

Lope E. Adriano for defendants and appellees.

REYES, L. B. *J.*:

By this appeal, plaintiff-appellant seeks to reverse the adverse decision of the Court of First Instance of Manila, Branch XII, dismissing its second amended petition for injunction. It prays *inter alia* that its ownership of the goods stored in the warehouse of the defendant-appellee corporation and/or preference over the proceeds of the sales thereof be upheld; that defendant-appellee corporation be declared without any right to the goods, except to collect storage charges and fees; and that the supposed sale at public auction of the goods to defendant-appellee Violeta Raymundo be declared null and void.

The appealed decision is predicated on the facts and issues stipulated by the parties at the pre-trial hearing, embodied in the pre-trial order of the lower court, which reads as follows:

“At the pre-trial hearing of this case this morning, Atty. Juanito Saavedra appeared for the plaintiff and Atty. Lope E. Adriano appeared for all the defendants. It appears that the plaintiff, sometime in 1964, deposited with the defendant Republic Warehousing Corporation 922 bales of paper pulp. In as much as the plaintiff failed to pay the storage fees on time the Republic Warehousing Corporation gave notice to the facts, with warning that the paper pulp would be sold at public auction if the storage fees were not paid. The storage fees were not paid and so the defendant Republic Warehousing Corporation proceeded with the sale of the paper pulp by following the provisions of the Civil Code instead of the provisions of the General Warehousing Law. The paper pulp was sold at public auction but it was delivered on two occasions. It is the contention of the plaintiff that the sale of the paper pulp in the manner stated above is improper and illegal for not being in accordance with the provisions of the General Warehousing Law. On the other hand, it is the contention of the defendant Republic Warehousing Corporation that the sale is proper and legal because the provisions of the General Warehousing Law are not applicable since defendant is merely engaged as a customs bonded warehouse. It is also contended by the counsel for the plaintiff that the storage fees were inflated and therefore, unjust and unreasonable. Furthermore, he contended that the delay in the payment of the storage fees was justified by a *force majeure* because for almost two years the laborers and employees of the plaintiff Eastern Paper Mills Incorporation were on strike.

“From the foregoing the following are the issues before the court:

‘(1) Whether or not the sale at public auction of the paper pulp in question to recover the storage fees due the defendant

corporation, although not in accordance with the provisions of the General Warehousing Law, is proper and legal;

'(2) Whether or not the storage fees were deliberately inflated and, hence, they are unjust and unreasonable; and

'(3) Whether or not the delay in the payment of the storage fees was justified by what the plaintiff claim to be a *force majeure* on account of the strike of its laborers and employees for almost two years. * * *'

The case was set for hearing on the merits on those issues and the following partial stipulation of facts submitted to the lower court by the parties, to wit:

"1. That the goods in question, consisting of 922 bales of pulp paper was part of the shipment of 1,032 bales for the plaintiff Eastern Paper Mills Co., Ltd., which arrived at the port of Manila on October 22, 1964 aboard the SS/Philippines, besides another shipment of 908 bales that came thru SS/President Roxas;

"2. That the collector of Custom designated the defendant Republic Warehousing Corporation, a customs bonded warehouse, to handle the above-stated shipments, transfer the same from the said boats in and out of the piers, and to receive the same deposit in its Customs Bonded Warehouse No. 194, in DBP Bldg., No. 3, at 18th St., Port Area, Manila;

"3. That the duties and other customs charges on the goods in question were thereafter duly paid;

"4. That per Exhibit A, on January 24, 1966 the plaintiff was billed by the defendant for P138,244.58 covering the payment of the storage fees of said 922 bales of pulp paper at the rate of P.50 a day per ton from October 27, 1964 to December 28, 1964 (a period of 63 days) and P5.00 per ton, per month from December 29, 1964 to January 24, 1966 (a period of 13 months) including transfer charge at the rate of P3.50 per ton and handling fees at the rate of P2.00 per ton plus the amount of P22,749.50, a balance of the charges for the 908 bales dated November 3, 1965, or a total of P160,944.08;

"5. That as per Exhibit A, (consisting of 2 pages) letter to the plaintiff, dated January 24, 1966, received by the latter on the day following, defendant in addition gave notice to the plaintiff to pay in full the billed account within three (3) days, otherwise plaintiff would cause said goods to be sold at public auction on Saturday, January 29, 1966, at 10:00 o'clock a.m., at the premises of its warehouse at the DBP Bldg., No. 3, 18th St., Port Area, Manila;

"6. That per letter Exhibit B, on January 27, 1966, plaintiff answered the defendant corporation (which Exhibit B was received by the latter on the same day) requesting among other things: (1) for 'more time within which to arrange for proper withdrawal and transfer to our Marikina Compound to those goods' for the reasons: (a) of the financial difficulties occasioned by the strike of its personnel in its Marikina Paper Mill Plant which had already lasted for fifteen months up to then; (b) that in spite of financial difficulties plaintiff had exerted its best efforts to satisfy its obligations and maintain cordial and sympathetic relationship with the defendant as it did by disposing of its SS/President Roxas Shipment referred to in Exhibit A and C and notwithstanding plaintiff's urgent need for the same in its projected resumption of operation; (c) that the sale of the goods in question would deprive it of such needed raw materials for plaintiff's projected operation resump-

tion; and (2) further requesting for a reduction of the storage rate from P5.00 to P3.50 per ton per month;

"7. That on January 28, 1966, plaintiff received from defendant Notary Public Enerio Rimalubo, the notice of sale at Public Auction, *Exhibit D*, giving notice of the sale of the goods in question on January 29, 1966, at 10:00 a.m. at the premises of the defendant's warehouse, mentioned in paragraph 5, *supra*;

"8. That under date of January 28, 1966, plaintiff, through its manager, Francisco P. Monge, sent Atty. Mauro T. Allarde, President and General Manager of the defendant corporation, a city-gram copy of which will be marked as Exhibit E-2;

"9. That on January 31, 1966, plaintiff, received from the defendant a letter of even date, advising the former of the results of the sale of the goods in question on January 29, 1966, photostat of which is proposed to be marked as Exhibit "I" to the effect that: (a) Violeta Raymundo offered to buy the whole lot of 922 bales at P.18/kilo; (b) she deposited in cash P10,000.00 on the purchase price, corresponding to 300 bales upon acceptance of the offer; (c) taking delivery of 300 bales within 24 hours with payment of balance of the corresponding purchase price upon said taking of delivery; (d) cash deposits of P25,000.00 on the purchase price corresponding to 622 bales to be made on or before the close of office hours of February 4, 1966 per FEATE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY CHECK No. 145650 (photostat of which is Annex 2 of defendant's opposition to motion for reconsideration dated February 24, 1966) and which is proposed to be marked as Exhibit I-1; and thereof photostatic copy of receipt of which (Annex 3, *ibid*), and which is proposed to be marked as Exhibit I-2; (e) taking delivery of 622 bales within 48 hours from posting of said deposit with payment of the balance of the corresponding purchase price upon taking said delivery; and (f) automatic recession of the sale upon failure to make deposit and pay purchase price as above stated;

"10. Defendant Republic Warehousing Corporation accepted from defendant Violeta M. Raymundo the amount of P10,000.00 in cash on January 29, 1966, and P25,000.00 under Receipt No. 3292 Exhibit I-2 of said defendant corporation dated February 4, 1964 purportedly as 'deposit on purchase price of 300 and 622 bales of pulp paper in question';

"11. That Atty. Juanito M. Saavedra, counsel for the plaintiff, advised defendant corporation, per letter dated February 1, 1966, (photostatic copy of which is proposed to be marked as Exhibit 'K' which was received by said defendant on February 2, 1966 (Exhibit K-1), gave notice that an injunction proceedings has already been filed in this case, and that all the legal issues were to be duly elevated by proper action.

* * * * *

The lower court dismissed plaintiff-appellant's petition for injunction, because it found that the sale at public auction of the latter's 922 bales of paper pulp, deposited in the warehouse of the defendant-appellee corporation to pay its storage fees, actually took place at 10:15 a.m. on January 29, 1966, as confirmed by defendant-appellee Enerio Rimalubo, the Notary Public who conducted the auction sale, and attested in his notarial book as Entry No. 73, Page 16, Book VII. Defendant-appellee notary public said that there were about fifteen or twenty per-

sons present during the auction sale, one of them being defendant-appellee Violeta Raymundo, the successful bidder.

The lower court held that the public auction conducted under the provisions of the Civil Code is valid, inasmuch as Section 35 of the Warehouse Receipts Law grants a warehouseman remedies other than those prescribed in said law to enforce his lien on the goods deposited in his warehouse.

The lower court found no evidence to show that the storage charge was inflated and unreasonable.

It rejected plaintiff-appellant's plea of *force majeure* because of the strike of its laborers which lasted for about two years, on the ground that a labor strike is a contingency which could be foreseen and, therefore, could not be a valid excuse for the non-performance of a clear and valid obligation.

Plaintiff-appellant has premised its appeal on the following assignment of errors:

"I

"The trial court erred in finding that there was a public auction sale conducted by notary public Rimalubo Enerio at 10:15 in the morning of January 29, 1966 of the pulp paper stored by plaintiff at Republic Warehousing Corporation.

"II

"On the assumption that there was such an auction sale, the court erred in holding that the auction sale was valid and in accordance with the requirements and formalities of law.

"III

"The trial court erred in not finding the charges for storage in the total amount of P160,994.08 inflated.

"IV

"The trial court erred in holding that the strike of plaintiff's laborers and employees which lasted for two years is not a valid excuse for delay in the performance of an obligation.

"V

"The trial court erred in not upholding plaintiff's ownership of the goods in the warehouse of defendant and not declaring defendants without any right to the goods except to collect storage fees before the expiration of the two years period from date of deposit."

We are persuaded that the auction sale in question actually took place as scheduled on January 29, 1966. Our conclusion finds supports in the following:

1. In its pre-trial order, the lower court stated that the paper pulp of plaintiff-appellant deposited in the warehouse of the defendant-appellee corporation was sold by the latter at public auction under the provision of the Civil Code. It can be assumed that the actuality of the auction sale in question is one of the facts admitted by the parties at the pre-trial hearing, especially so in view of the fact that plaintiff-appellant has not impugned in

this appeal the truth of that finding of the lower court. Judicial admissions made by the parties are conclusive and cannot be contradicted, except upon a previous proof that the same has been made thru palpable mistake (Sec. 2, Rule 129 of the Revised Rules of Court). No such proof was adduced in this case.

2. The minutes of the public auction sale (Exhibit 13), which was confirmed by Notary Public Enerio before the lower court, shows that such auction sale went through on January 29, 1966 at 10:15 in the morning, at the premises of Customs Bonded Warehouse No. 194, DBP Bldg., No. 8, 18th Street, Port Area, Manila, with four (4) persons actually submitting their respective bids.

3. As a notary public, defendant-appellee Enerio is a public officer (*People vs. Carreon*, 65 Phil. 588, 591). There is a presumption of regularity in the performance of his official duties (*Ramientos vs. Alvarico*, CA-G.R. No. 8872-R, March 26, 1956). His testimony, therefore, must be given more credence than that of ordinary witnesses who simply deny what he positively asserts (*Cabahug vs. Cinco*, 40 O.G. 550).

But the more important and decisive issue here is that which is raised by plaintiff-appellant in its second assignment of error, i.e., whether the auction sale of its 922 bales of paper pulp, conducted by defendant notary public, admittedly under the provisions of the Civil Code, to enforce the lien thereon of the defendant-appellee corporation, is valid or not.

The paper pulp of plaintiff-appellant was sold three (3) days after the date of notice and demand, or "within one month after such demand", as provided in Article 2122 of the Civil Code, not after 10-day notice as required by Section 33 of the Warehouse Receipts Law.

There is no question that under Section 27 of the Warehouse Receipts Law, a warehouseman, like the defendant-appellee corporation, has a lien on the goods deposited in his warehouse or on the proceeds thereof in his hands for all lawful charges for storage, preservation, handling, cash advances, insurance, transportation and all other reasonable expenses related thereto. To enforce and satisfy this lien, Section 33 of the same law grants the warehouseman the right to sell at public auction so much of the goods deposited in his bodega as may be necessary to pay his charges.

But Section 35 of the Warehouse Receipts Law provides that this method of enforcing and satisfying a warehouseman's lien "does not preclude any other remedies allowed by law" for the enforcement of the lien.

It is this provision of Section 35 of the Warehouse Receipts Law which the lower court invoked to sustain

its pronouncement, upholding the validity of the auction sale in question, conducted under the provisions of the Civil Code, more specifically Articles 2121 and 2122 in relation to Article 1994, which respectively read as follows:

"ART. 2121. Pledges created by operation of law, such as those referred to in articles 546, 1731 and 1994, are governed by the foregoing articles on the possession, care and sale of the thing as well as on the termination of the pledge. However, after payment of the debt and expenses, the remainder of the price of the sale shall be delivered to the obligor."

"ART. 2122. A thing under a pledge by operation of law may be sold only after demand of the amount for which the thing is retained. The public auction shall take place within one month after such demand. If, without just grounds, the creditor does not cause the public sale to be held within such period, the debtor may require the return of the thing."

"ART. 1994. The depository may retain the thing in pledge until the full payment of what may be due him by reason of the deposit."

We do not agree with the lower court. Section 32 of the Warehouse Receipts Law, which provides that a warehouseman is entitled to all remedies allowed by law to a creditor against his debtor for the collection from the depositor of all charges and advances which the depositor has contracted with him, or Section 35 thereof on which the lower court relied, does not authorize a warehouseman to sell extrajudicially under the Civil Code the goods stored to satisfy his lien thereon."

It is said that "under statutes permitting a warehouseman to sell stored goods for charges, the warehouseman may elect either to sell the goods under the statute or sue for his charges" (*Morgan vs. Murtha*, 40 N.Y.S. 376, 17 Misc. 292). If the warehouseman elect to collect from the owner of the goods the charges which the latter has expressly or impliedly contracted with him, he cannot simply resort to the remedy provided for in Article 2122 of the Civil Code, because he has to "sue for his charges." We share the view of Professor Teodorico C. Martin that under the law, "a warehouseman's lien may be satisfied either: (1) extrajudicially, by selling the stored goods at public auction after due notice and advertisement of the sale should have been made; or (2) judicially, by suing for his unpaid charges" (*Commentaries and Jurisprudence on the Philippine Commercial Laws*, 1971 Revised Edition, (3) p. 360, by Martin, T.C.). And a sale by warehouseman of stored goods made without the publication required and before the time specified by the Warehouse Receipts Law is void, and the purchaser of the goods acquires no property in them (*Baum vs. Wm. Knabe, etc., Mfg. Co.*, 33 App. D.C. 237).

In its third assignment of error, plaintiff-appellant assails the decision of the lower court in not finding that

the charges of defendant-appellee corporation for storage fees in the total sum of ₱160,994.08 have been inflated. Its entire argument under this assignment of error revolves around its claim that each bale of paper pulp it deposited with the defendant corporation weighed only 500 kilos, or at most 750 kilos. According to its own computation, the total sum which defendant-appellee corporation may recover, inclusive of storage fees, transfer and handling charges, and the admitted balance of ₱22,749.50, amounts to only ₱60,080.75 or, if the computation is based on 750 kilos per bale, ₱78,779.10; and not ₱160,994.08 as demanded by defendant-appellee corporation.

We cannot sustain the third assignment of error, in its petition, amended twice, plaintiff-appellant invariably admitted in paragraph 2 thereof that the weight of the 922 bales of paper pulp it deposited with defendant-appellee corporation was "1.47 tons per bale."

It is true that in the answer of defendant-appellees to the second amended petition they averred that each bale weighed 500 kilos. But this has been disproved by the evidence of record. Rather, the evidence confirms what the plaintiff-appellant has alleged in its petition. Thus, the letter of demand, dated January 24, 1966, (Exhibit "A" or Exhibit "5") of the defendant-appellee corporation to the plaintiff-appellant specifies that the weight of those paper pulps was 1.47 tons per bale. The notice at public auction, dated January 28, 1966, (Exhibit "D" or Exhibit "6") similarly states that the paper pulps weighed 1.47 tons per bale.

Hence, there is reason to believe that the computation contained in the letter of demand (Exhibit "A") is correct.

In view of the result, we find it unnecessary to consider the other assigned errors.

Plaintiff-appellant's claim that the reasonable value of the 922 bales of paper pulp is ₱171,783.60 has no evidence to support it. Its landed cost, however, is ₱141,174.00. But plaintiff-appellant must have suffered actual damages, although the exact amount is not shown. In fairness to plaintiff-appellant then it should recover for exemplary damages which we fix at ₱15,000.00.

WHEREFORE, the decision appealed from is reversed, and a new one shall be entered, upholding plaintiff-appellant's ownership of the 922 bales of paper pulp stored in the warehouse of defendant-appellee corporation or preference over the proceeds of the sales thereof, declaring defendant-appellee corporation without any right thereto except to collect storage charges and fees, and declaring the sale of the paper pulp in favor of defendant-appellee Violeta Raymundo null and void. Defendant-appellee corporation,

which caused the sale without the required publication and before the time specified by the Warehouse Receipts Law, should pay plaintiff-appellant exemplary damages in the amount of ₱15,000.00 and attorney's fees in the amount of ₱3,000.00, and the costs.

SO ORDERED.

Gatmaitan, J., concurs.

PLANA, J., concurring:

I concur in the ably written decision of Mr. Justice L. B. Reyes. I beg however to articulate on a few points relative to the scope and application of Sections 32 and 35 of the Warehouse Receipts Law vis-a-vis Articles 2121 and 2122 of the Civil Code.

1. Under Section 32 of Warehouse Receipts Law, whether a warehouseman has or has no lien on the goods, he is entitled to "all remedies allowed by law" to a creditor against his debtor. I think this refers and should be limited to remedies *other than enforcement of the lien*; otherwise, there would have been no need for Section 35. The caption of Section 32, while not controlling, is revealing: "Warehouseman's lien does not preclude *other remedies*."

2. On the other hand, Section 35 of the same law provides: "Other methods of enforcing lien.—The remedy for enforcing a lien herein provided does not preclude *any other remedies* allowed by law *for the enforcement of a lien* against personal property. . . "I do not believe however that by reason of this provision, a warehouseman can disregard the requirements carefully and meticulously prescribed in Section 33 of the Warehouse Receipts Law and just follow the less stringent general rules embodied in Articles 2121 and 2122 of the Civil Code. The reason is that Section 35 makes available to the warehouseman "any *other remedies*" for the enforcement of a lien, but not the very same remedy (extrajudicial sale by warehouseman of deposited goods) provided for under the Warehouse Receipts Law. In other words, when the remedy to be pursued by the Warehouseman is available under and specifically regulated by the Warehouse Receipts Law, the provisions of that law must be observed. The case of *Jewett vs. City Transfer and Storage Company*, 18 P. (2d) 351, is instructive:

"The defendant appeals from a judgment recovered by the plaintiffs in an action for conversion of certain personal property which belonged to the plaintiffs and which was stored by them with the defendant.

"It appears that because of the failure of the plaintiffs to pay certain storage charges on the said property, the defendant caused the same to be sold for the purpose of collecting said charges. It is admitted that in so doing the defendant failed to give the notice required by the provisions of Section 33 of the Warehouse Receipts Act (Stats. 1909, p. 437, as variously amended). On the

other hand, as a justification for the manner in which it proceeded in making a sale of the property, it is contended by the appellant that by the provisions of section 35 of the Warehouse Receipts Act it was authorized to adopt, and that it did adopt and pursue, the provisions outlined by section 3052 of the Civil Code. Said section 35 of the Warehouse Receipts Act is as follows: 'The remedy for enforcing a lien herein provided does not preclude any other remedies allowed by law for the enforcement of a lien against personal property, nor bar the right to recover so much of the warehouseman's claim as shall not be paid by the proceeds of the sale of the property.'

"The question, therefore, which is submitted herein for determination is whether on a sale by a warehouseman for the enforcement of a lien for charges on stored property he may adopt the procedure in the regard provided by section 3052 of the Civil Code.

"On examination of the section of the Warehouse Receipts Act to which reference herein has been had, it will be noticed that, by express provision therein, in enforcing his lien for charges on stored goods the 'remedy' therein provided for is not the exclusive remedy allowed the warehouseman. In the language of the statute, the remedy therein permitted 'does not preclude any other remedies allowed by law.'

* * * * *

"In *Stewart vs. Naud*, 125 Cal. 596, 598, 58 P. 186, it is said: 'At common law a warehouseman had a lien for storage charges, but such lien conferred no right to sell the property to which the lien attached, but only to hold it until his charges were paid. Jones, Liens, 676 [976]. Such common-law liens were enforced by obtaining judgment for the charges and levying an execution upon the goods. * * * By statute, as well as by authority, in the several courts of this state, it is established law that 'the common law of England, so far as it is not repugnant to or inconsistent with the Constitution of the United States, or the Constitution or laws of this state, is the rule of decision in all the courts of this state.' Section 4468, Pol. Code. Also, that where a right exists at common law a statutory remedy for its enforcement is but cumulative and ordinarily the common-law remedy, or that afforded by statute, may be pursued. 5 Cal. Jur., 253, et seq.

"By the terms of section 1856 of the Civil Code, which statute was in force and effect at the time, and ever since, the Warehouse Receipts Act became a law of this state, it is provided that 'a depositary for hire has a lien for storage charges,' and that 'the rights * * * to such lien are regulated by the title on liens' Although nowhere in the title to which reference is had in such statute may be found the outline of any procedure to be pursued in enforcing the lien of a warehouseman, the case of *Stewart vs. Naud*, 125 Cal. 596, 58 P. 186, contains an adjudication to the effect that the provisions of the statutes relative to the sale of pledged property, particularly sections 3010 and 3011, are appropriate to the sale of personal property for the enforcement of a lien by a depositary for hire.

"For the reason that the common-law remedy of enforcing a lien by order of court is there specially recognized, it should be noted that the provisions of section 3011 of the Civil Code are that "instead of selling property pledged, as hereinbefore provided, a pledgee may foreclose the right of redemption by a judicial sale, under the direction of a competent court; and in that case may be authorized by the court to purchase at the sale.' See also, 21 Cal. Jur. 360, and authorities there cited.

"It therefore becomes clear that, in addition to the remedy provided by the terms of the Warehouse Receipts Act for the enforcement of his lien, a warehouseman has not only the common-law remedy to which attention has been directed, but as well has the statutory remedy, including a foreclosure of the right of redemption and the right 'to purchase at the sale, 'suggested by the provisions of section 3011, which may be the 'other remedies' reserved to the warehouseman by the terms of the Warehouse Receipts Act.

"In the instant case, it should be remembered that in attempting to enforce its lien the defendant admittedly disregarded the provisions of the Warehouse Receipts Act; but that it here contends that the procedure adopted and pursued by it was and is authorized by the provisions of section 3052 of the Civil Code. However, it is doubtful that the provisions of sections 3051 and 3052 of the Civil Code are applicable to; or are legally permissible in, the foreclosure of a warehouseman's lien. Although not therein directly so decided, the indications in each of the following cited authorities are to the effect that any lien to which a warehouseman may be entitled does not arise from, nor is it created by, either of such statutes. *Johnson vs. Perry*, 53 Cal. 351; *First National Bank vs. Silva*, 200 Cal. 494, 254 P. 262; *Mortgage Securities Co. vs. Pfaffman*, 177 Cal. 109, 169 P. 1033, L.R.A. 19180, 118.

"But even assuming that such statutes assure to a warehouseman a right of lien, together with a right of sale thereunder, it is clear that the 'remedy' afforded thereby is *identical* with the remedy provided by the Warehouse Receipts Act. In each instance, the ultimate means authorized by law to be employed in preserving or enforcing the lien, to wit, a public sale of the stored property, is initiated and pursued throughout its course solely by the personal or authorized act of the warehouseman. In effecting his object under authority of such statute or statutes, he neither requires nor seeks the aid of any court, or public officer of any kind. In no sense does the enabling statute contemplate anything of that sort. In effect, the only essential difference between the modes of procedure provided by the Warehouse Receipts Act and that outlined in section 3052 of the Civil Code relates to the manner of giving notice of the intended sale of the stored property. Reverting to section 35 of the Warehouse Receipts Act, under the terms of which appellant contends that it was authorized to adopt the procedure required by section 3052 of the Civil Code, it will be noted that the language is that 'the remedy for enforcing a lien herein provided does not preclude any other remedies allowed by law for the enforcement of a lien against personal property. * * *'

"Since, as hereinbefore has been made to appear, the remedy sought by the defendant was not one of the 'other remedies allowed by law, but that it was *identical* with the remedy permitted by the Warehouse Receipts Act, it should follow, especially if the effect of the enactment of the later act was to supersede and repeal the former act as far as it possibly may have related to the creation of a warehouseman's lien and a right of sale of stored property for its enforcement, that the method employed by the defendant herein was unauthorized by law, and consequently was in derogation of the rights of the plaintiffs."

3. Although the Warehouse Receipts Law antedates Articles 2121 and 2122 of the Civil Code, it is believed that the former, being a special law, should prevail over the latter which is a general law.

Judgment reversed.

[No. SP-02676-R. June 21, 1974]*

VIRGILIO BASILIO, petitioner, vs. NORTHERN ASSURANCE COMPANY LTD., ET AL., respondents.

CITY OR MUNICIPAL COURTS; DEFENDANT IN DEFAULT MUST APPEAL FROM ORDER DENYING MOTION TO LIFT DEFAULT ORDER.—In the city or municipal courts, a defaulting defendant whose motion to lift the default order has been denied, has no right to appeal from the default judgment but only from the order denying the motion to lift the order of default.

PETITION FOR REVIEW from a judgment of the Court First Instance of Rizal, Quezon City Branch. Augusto L. Valencia, *J.*

The facts are stated in the opinion of the Court.

Antonio Gonzales, Jr. for petitioner.

Crispin C. Gonzales for respondents.

SERRANO, J.:

This is a petition for review involving an action for damages due to a vehicular accident.

On November 16, 1971, plaintiffs Northern Assurance Co., Ltd., and Alberto Ramirez, respondents herein, filed a complaint in the City Court of Quezon City (Civil Case No. II-21235) alleging that on August 1, 1970, plaintiff Ramirez' car (a Toyota Sedan with plate No. 28-87 [4A-L '70]), then parked on Santolan Road, San Juan, Rizal, was bumped from behind by the automobile (a Chevrolet Sedan with plate No. 27-90 [HI-L '70]) owned by defendant Virgilio Basilio, petitioner herein, and driven by his authorized driver-employee, Eleuterio Bargantin; and that as a result—

(1) Ramirez' car was badly damaged to the tune of ₱1,479.60 in repairs (Exhs. A and B) of which ₱1,279.60 was paid by a plaintiff-insurer Northern Insurance Company, Ltd., and the balance of ₱200 by Ramirez;

(2) Ramirez sustained physical injuries for which he spent ₱500 for medicine and doctor's fee;

(3) While his car was undergoing repairs Ramirez spent ₱140 for taxi fare in going to and from his office for 10 days at ₱1.40 a day; and

(4) Plaintiffs incurred litigation expenses of ₱500 and attorney's fees also for ₱500 in view of defendant's refusal to pay their valid claim.

Failing to answer the complaint within the reglementary period, defendant was declared in default on motion of the plaintiffs who were allowed to present their evidence *ex parte* (order dtd Jan. 13, 1972). Thereafter a decision was rendered for plaintiffs on January 26, 1972, by the City Court allowing the various claims except that for

* Vol. 19 C.A.R. (2s), p. —.

medical treatment, for which no convincing proof was adduced, and ordering defendant—

- "a) to pay the plaintiff, the Northern Assurance Co. Ltd. the sum of ₱1,449.70;
- "b) to pay plaintiff, Alberto Ramirez, the sum of ₱200.00;
- "c) to pay plaintiff, Alberto Ramirez, the sum of ₱140.00, representing transportation expenses as enunciated above;
- "d) to pay the plaintiff, Alberto Ramirez, the sum of ₱500.00, for attorney's fee; and
- "e) to pay the cost of this suit."

On February 2, 1972, defendant filed a motion to lift the order of default, claiming that he actually received a copy thereof only the day before from the personnel of the UERM Memorial Medical Center with which he was no longer connected in the same capacity as before but only as physician-consultant; that in the latter capacity he did not hold office nor attend in said hospital unless there was a special patient requesting his medical services therein, besides all the incidents of the case took place during the holidays of 1971; and that the above circumstances constituted accident and/or excusable mistake which are grounds for a motion to lift an order of default.

The motion to lift the default order was denied for lack of merit in an order dated February 7, 1972. On March 8 defendant appealed from the judgment by default to the Court of First Instance (CFI) of Rizal, Quezon City branch (Civil Case No. Q-16854).

The CFI Judge, pursuant to Republic Act No. 6031, directed counsel for both parties to file their respective memorandum within thirty days, which was done.

On September 21, 1973, the CFI after a thorough examination of the evidence presented, both oral and documentary, affirmed the decision of the City Court in all respects. Defendant has filed the instant petition for review of the decision of the CFI on the following grounds:

"I. That the Plaintiffs failed to establish with competent evidence who was the owner of the Chevrolet Sedan with Plate No. 97-0- (41-L70), which constitute a fatal defect;

"II. That the Lower Court was led to err by Exhibits 'I', 'I-1', and 'I-2', which are hearsay evidence and of no probative value;

"III. That the best evidence to prove the ownership of a vehicle is the Certificate of Registration with the Land Transportation Commission;

"IV That the defendant filed a timely Motion to Lift Order of Default and likewise perfected his appeal from the Inferior Court of Quezon City to the Court of First Instance of Quezon City within the reglementary period;

"V. That the petitioner stands to suffer deprivation of property on the basis of an alleged liability for damages without according him his constitutional rights to procedural due process;

"VI. That the plaintiffs do not stand to lose any substantial rights or irreparable damage if this case is remanded for new trial or is dismissed, for the reason that the proper party defendant can be impleaded and thus prevent a miscarriage of justice."

It can be gleaned from the issues raised, and the petition itself states, that defendant seeks a review of the default judgment against him. Can he legally do this considering that he has been declared in default and his motion to lift the default order has been denied by the City Court?

The answer is no.

When defendant's motion to lift the order of default was denied by the City Court, he lost his standing in court and, without having regained such standing, had no right to appeal to the CFI from the judgment by default but merely from the order denying the motion (*Lim Toco vs. Go Fay*, 80 Phil. 166; *Reyes vs. Roman Catholic Archbishop of Manila*, L-3507, April 20, 1951; and *Tecson vs. Melendres*, 88 Phil. 703).

The above doctrine was recently reiterated by the Supreme Court in *Luzon Rubber & Manufacturing Co. vs. Estaris* (L-31439, Aug. 31, 1973, 52 SCRA 391), which involved a similar case originating from the city court as the one at bar, holding as follows:

"... a defendant who has been declared in default loses his standing in court, and without having regained the same, shall not be entitled to notice of subsequent proceedings, nor to take part in the trial court. He shall not also be entitled to service of papers other than substantially amended or supplemental pleadings and final orders or judgments, unless he files a motion to set aside the order of default, in which event he shall be entitled to notice of all further proceedings regardless of whether the order of default is set aside or not. He cannot appeal from the judgment rendered by the court on the merits, unless he files a motion to set aside the order of default. He cannot file a notice of appeal, appeal bond and record on appeal nor claim the right to be heard or file a brief or memorandum on appeal. If he is not entitled to notice or to be heard in the suit, he cannot appeal as appellant nor appear and be heard as appellee because an appeal is a continuation of the same case or suit commenced in the lower court. A proper motion to set aside the judgment by default under Section 13 of Rule 5, when denied, may give the defaulted defendant the right to appeal, but the appeal in that case would be from the order denying the motion and not from the judgment by default itself. The defendant can appeal from such judgment only after he has regained his standing in court." (Pp. 398-399.)

In the present case, defendant appealed not from the order declaring him in default but from the default judgment itself, which cannot be done conformably to the cited decisions of the Supreme Court.

The CFI therefore erred in entertaining the appeal from the judgment by default of the City Court, since, as already stated, the defaulted defendant had no right to appeal from the judgment but only from the order denying the motion to lift the order of default. Such an appeal was ineffectual (*Reyes vs. Roman Catholic Archbishop of Manila, supra*; and *Manila Motor Co. vs. San Juan, supra*).

Besides, in appealing to the CFI defendant did so on the strength of the provision of Rule 41, Section 2, which reads:

"A party who has been declared in default may likewise appeal from the judgment rendered against him as contrary to the evidence or to the law, even if no petition for relief to set aside the order of default has been presented by him in accordance with Rule 38."

The above-quoted provision is inapplicable, as it refers to appeals from the CFI to the Court of Appeals and not from the inferior court to the CFI.

"It must be noted that Section 2 of Rule 41 of the Revised Rules of Court which allows a party who has been declared in default to appeal from the judgment rendered against him as contrary to the evidence or to the law, even if no petition for relief to set aside the order of default has been presented by him pursuant to Rule 38, is not applicable to municipal or city courts. (Sec. 19, Rule 5.)" (*Luzon Rubber & Manufacturing Co. vs. Estaris*, *supra*; emphasis added.)

As defendant continued to have no standing in court, the default order not having been set aside, neither may he appeal to this Court on the merits by way of petition for review, "because an appeal is a continuation of the same case or suit commenced in the lower court" (*Luzon Rubber case*, *supra*, p. 398, citing *Lim Toco case*, *supra*).

WHEREFORE, the instant petition for review is hereby dismissed, the decision of the Court of First Instance set aside and that of the City Court stands. With costs against petitioner.

SO ORDERED.

Martin and Gancayco, JJ., concur.

Petition dismissed.

**MGA KAUTUSANG PANGPANGASIWAAN AT ALITUNTUNIN NG
MGA KAGAWARAN, KAWANIHAN AT TANGGAPAN**
(DEPARTMENT, BUREAU AND OFFICE ADMINISTRATIVE
ORDERS AND REGULATIONS)

Kagawaran ng Katarungan
(DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE)

March 31, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 51

In the interest of the public service and pursuant to the provisions of existing laws, Mr. TEODORO P. MALABANAN, State Prosecutor, Prosecution Staff, this Department, is hereby designated Acting Provincial Fiscal of Occidental Mindoro in the investigation and prosecution if the evidence warrants, of Crim. Case No. 134 against Vicente Dusaño y Palma for the theft of large cattle, now pending before the Court of First Instance of Occidental Mindoro, effective immediately and to continue until further orders.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.
Acting Secretary of Justice

April 2, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 52

In the interest of the public service and pursuant to the provisions of existing laws, Second Assistant Provincial Fiscal LEON L. ACUBA, Third Assistant Provincial Fiscal, JOSE A. RAAGAS, Fourth Assistant Provincial Fiscal DAVID L. MENGOTE, Fifth Assistant Provincial Fiscal INOCENTES G. ABUDA and Assistant Provincial Fiscal ALMARIO S. MONTES of Eastern Samar are hereby designated as Acting First, Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Assistant Provincial Fiscals of Eastern Samar, respectively, with compensation provided by law for the positions, effective immediately and to continue until regular appointees shall have qualified and assumed the duties of the position.

By virtue hereof, they may qualify and enter upon the performance of the duties of their office, furnishing this Department and the Civil Service Commission with copies of their oaths.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.
Acting Secretary of Justice

April 3, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 53

In the interest of the public service and pursuant to the provisions of existing laws, Mr.

RAMON G. TUAZON, State Prosecutor, Prosecution Staff, this Department, is hereby designated to collaborate with the Provincial Fiscal of Agusan del Sur in the investigation/reinvestigation and prosecution, if the evidence warrants, of Criminal Cases Nos. 393, 454 and 455, all against Rogelio Sancho, et. al., and such other cases related thereto, effective immediately and to continue until further orders.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.
Acting Secretary of Justice

April 8, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 54

Series of 1975

As requested by the Secretary of National Defense, and pursuant to Sec. 79(c) of the Revised Administrative Code, Atty. MARTIN A. OCAMPO, Senior Counsel, Legal Staff, is hereby detailed temporarily with the Sugar Monitoring Operations Center at Camp General Emilio Aguinaldo.

It is understood that this will be in addition to Atty. Ocampo's regular duties as member of the Legal Staff.

This Order shall take effect immediately and shall continue until further orders.

CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.
Acting Secretary of Justice

April 7, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 55

In the interest of the public service and pursuant to the provisions of existing laws, Mr. LEONARDO GUIYAB, JR. State Prosecutor, Prosecution Staff, this Department, is hereby designated Acting Provincial Fiscal of Pangasinan in the investigation and prosecution, if the evidence warrants, of the charges filed by Rev. Fr. Salvador B. Raborar against Assistant Fiscal Dominador Fermin, effective immediately and to continue until further orders.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.
Acting Secretary of Justice

April 3, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 56

In the interest of the public service and pursuant to the provisions of existing laws, Mrs. CORONA IRAY SOMERA, State Prosecutor, Prosecution Staff, this Department, is hereby designated to collaborate with the Provincial Fiscal of Tarlac in the investigation and prosecution, if the evidence warrants, of the charges against Benito Milla, et. al., for alleged serious physical injuries effective immediately and to continue until further orders.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.

Acting Secretary of Justice

April 10, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 57

In the interest of the public service and pursuant to the provisions of existing laws, Mr. MARIANO COPUYOC, District State Prosecutor for the Fourth Judicial District, is hereby designated Acting Provincial Fiscal of Nueva Ecija in the investigation and prosecution, if the evidence warrants of the charges filed by Assistant Fiscal Emilio C. Gines against Atty. Jose C. Magat, for alleged libel, effective immediately and to continue until further orders.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.

Acting Secretary of Justice

April 21, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 58

In the interest of the public service and pursuant to the provisions of existing laws, Mr. VIDAL M. TOMBO, Special Assistant, on detail with the Prosecution Staff, this Department, is hereby designated Acting Provincial Fiscal of Pampanga in the investigation and prosecution, if the evidence warrants, of I.S. No. 6169 against Estefano Gepte, for robbery (extortion), effective immediately and to continue until further orders.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.

Acting Secretary of Justice

April 24, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 59

Pursuant to Section 1639 of the Revised Administrative Code, as amended, as recommended by the Solicitor General, Assistant Solicitor General, Hugo E. Gutierrez, Jr. is hereby designated Acting Solicitor General effective April 24, 1975 and to continue during the absence of the Solicitor General.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.

Acting Secretary of Justice

REPUBLIKA NG PILIPINAS
KAGAWARAN NG KATARUNGAN
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
MANILA

April 24, 1975

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER No. 60

Pursuant to the provisions of Republic Act No. 6407, Budget Circular No. 240, dated July 22, 1974, and authority granted by the President on January 6, 1975, the salaries (national share) of the following fiscals are adjusted, as follows:

Name	Position	Actual Salary (Nat'l share) under RA 6407	10% of Actual Salary	Total Adjusted Salary P/A
<i>Olongapo City</i>				
Crispin Laron (eff. 12-12-74)	5th Asst. City Fiscal	P13,200	P1,320	P14,520
Rolando Cainoy (eff. 1-2-75)	Asst. City Fiscal	12,000	1,200	13,200
Jesus Llamado (eff. 12-3-74)	Asst. City Fiscal	12,000	1,200	13,200
<i>Lipa City</i>				
Cesar Castor (eff. 2-1-75)	City Fiscal	15,300	1,530	16,830

Pampanga

Antonio Fausto	3rd Asst. Prv'l	11,400	1,140	12,540
(eff. 7-1-74)	Fiscal			

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.
Acting Secretary of Justice

OPINION No. 58, s. 1975

April 16, 1975

The Acting Commissioner of Customs
Bureau of Customs
Manila

Sir:

This is with reference to your request for opinion as to whether one of the lawyers in your legal department can be designated to appear as counsel for one of your police officers "who was criminally charged in connection with the performance of his official duties."

You refer to the case of a customs police officer at the port of Batangas who had apprehended a porter at the same port for disorderly behavior and with a RASAC agent had brought said porter to the Batangas City Police Headquarters where the latter was criminally charged for said disorderly behavior. Subsequently, the porter filed a counter-charged of slander by deed against the customs police officer. And it is with respect to this counter-charge that the question has arisen of whether a Bureau of Customs lawyer may appear as counsel for the customs police officer.

This Office has on several occasions ruled that "as a general rule, in the absence of specific legal authority, an employee who is charged with an offense allegedly arising from acts committed in the course of the performance of his official duties is not entitled to the services of a government lawyer," the reason being that "when a public official is charged with the commission of a crime, he is indicted *personally*, the government not becoming a party to the criminal action from the bare circumstance of the accused being in its employ" (Opinion, Sec. of Justice, No. 99, s. 1972; see also Opinions No. 186, s. 1950; No. 246, s. 1958; No. 142, s. 1960; No. 90 s. 1962; and Opinion dtd. Sept. 10, 1969). And I am not aware of any specific legal authority by which an attorney of the Bureau of Customs or, for that matter, of the government may be designated to act as defense counsel in criminal cases against employees of the Bureau.

I take it that you doubt the application of the prohibition against the appearance of a government lawyer as counsel for a co-employee

who is respondent in an administrative case to "criminal cases under the above circumstances," your position being that such an application of the prohibition would affect the efficiency and morale of your police officers in the performance of their duties "for fear of being subjected to expensive court litigations." But the avoidance of this possible evil certainly cannot prevail over the avoidance of another infirmity, basic and fundamental in character, which would result if the prohibition were not applied in criminal cases. As succinctly put in the above-cited 1972 opinion:

"Moreover, in every criminal prosecution, whether commenced by complaint or information, the action is instituted in the name of the People of the Philippines against the accused (Section 1, Rule 110, New Rules of Court). This is so because the offense is an outrage to the sovereignty of the state and its vindication must be in the name of the sovereign power. As party plaintiff in criminal cases, the People of the Philippines or the sovereign power of which the Government of the Republic of the Philippines is an instrument, is represented by the provincial or city fiscal. The Government 'would be placing itself in the ludicrous position of advocate and prosecutor at the same time were it to allow an attorney in its employ to act in defense of one who is being prosecuted in its name and under its direction' (Opinion, Sec. of Justice, dated Sept. 10, 1969; see also Ops. No. 142, s. 1960 and No. 246, s. 1958)."

Please be guided accordingly.

Very truly yours,

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.
Acting Secretary of Justice

OPINION No. 59, s. 1975

2nd Indorsement

April 18, 1975

Respectfully returned to the Civil Registrar-General, National Census and Statistics Office, Manila, his within request for opinion on whe-

ther the decree of adoption granted in favor of one Cynthia P. Antonio by the Municipal Court of Pasay City on September 6, 1958 may still be accepted for registration "it being more than 16 years delayed."

I am not aware of any provision of law prohibiting the registration of a decree of adoption on account of a delay in filing the same for registration. As a matter of fact, a careful examination of the pertinent provisions of the Civil Registry Act (Act No. 3753), the Civil Code, and the Rules of Court has failed to reveal any prescribing the period or the time limit within which a decree of adoption shall be recorded in the civil register and/or any for determining when a delay in filing such a decree for registration would be incurred.

All that is of paramount importance, as may be drawn from these provisions, is that the final decree of adoption be recorded in the corresponding local civil registry. Thus, the Civil Registry Act provides:

"SEC. 10. *Registration of Adoptions, Changes of Names, and Naturalizations.*—In cases of adoptions, changes of name, and naturalization it shall be the duty of the interested parties or petitioners to register the same in the local civil register of the municipality where the decree was issued. The names of the interested parties and such other data as may be required by the regulations to be issued shall be entered in the register." (Italic supplied).

"SEC. 11. *Duties of Clerks of Court to Register Certain Decisions.*—In cases of legitimation, acknowledgment, adoption, naturalization, and change of given or family name, or both, upon the decree of the court becoming final, it shall be the duty of the clerk of the court which issued the decree to ascertain whether the same has been registered, and if this has not been done, to have said decree recorded in the office of the civil registrar of the municipality where the court is functioning." (Italic supplied).

"SEC. 12. *Duties of Local Civil Registrars.*—Local civil registrars shall (a) file registrable certificates and documents presented to them for entry: * * *

And the Civil Code has these provisions of similar tenor:

"ART. 407. Acts, events and judicial decrees concerning the civil status of persons shall be recorded in the civil register." (Italic supplied).

"ART. 408. The following shall be entered in the civil register: * * *

"(8) adoptions; * * *

"ART. 409. In cases of legal separation, adoption, naturalization and other judicial orders mentioned in the preceding article, it shall be the duty of the clerk of the court which issued the decree to ascertain whether the same has been registered, and if this has not been done, to send a copy of said decree to the civil registry of the city or municipality where the court is functioning." (Italic supplied).

Likewise, a corollary provision is found in Section 8 of Rule 99 of the Rules of Court (on Adoption and Custody of Minors):

"SEC. 8. *Service of judgment.*—Final orders or judgments under this rule shall be served by the clerk upon the civil registrar of the city or municipality wherein the court issuing the same is situated." (Italic supplied).

I might add that while the period or time limit within which births, deaths and marriage shall be entered in the civil registry are provided for by law (see Secs. 5, 6 and 7, respectively, Civil Registry Law) and the procedure to be followed by local civil registrars in cases of late registration of birth and deaths is provided for in the Manual on Civil Registration prepared by the Office of the Civil Registrar-General, both said law and manual are silent in regard to the late registration of decrees of adoption. I see this silence as significant in that it shows the policy of the law of placing importance not so much on the time of registration but on the compliance with the requirement of registration.

Wherefore I am of the opinion that the registration of the decree of adoption in question may be allowed.

Parenthetically, I note further from the foregoing provisions that seeing to the registration of final decrees of adoption is incumbent not only upon the petitioners or the interested parties but also upon the clerk of the court which issued the decree whose duty it is to serve all final adoption orders or judgments upon the corresponding local civil registrar. Therefore, I fail to see how there could be any delay over a long period of time (such as 16 years) in the registration of such decrees unless either the clerk of court or the local civil registrar had been remiss in the performance of his respective official duty in this regard.

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.
Acting Secretary of Justice

OPINION No. 60, s. 1975

April 21, 1975

The Secretary of Agriculture
Manila

Sir:

This is with reference to your request for opinion on whether "a government official who has been administratively charged but who, during the pendency of the formal hearing against him, has reached the compulsory retirement age of 65 years" is "entitled to receive his retirement and other benefits after he is found guilty and is penalized accordingly, but not with dismissal."

I believe that the query should be answered in the affirmative.

The provisions pertinent to the disqualification of government officials and employees from receiving retirement and other benefits are:

Section 11(d), Commonwealth Act No. 186, as amended (Government Service Insurance Act)—

"(d) *Upon dismissal for cause* or on voluntary separation, he [the retiring officer or employee] shall be entitled only to his own premiums and voluntary deposits, if any, plus interest of three per centum per annum, compounded monthly." (Italic supplied).

Section 286, Revised Administrative Code—

"Sec. 286. When vacation leave and sick leave may be taken. Vacation leave and sick leave shall be cumulative and any part thereof which may not be taken within the calendar

year in which earned may be carried over to the succeeding years, but whenever any officer, employee, or laborer, of the Government of the Philippines shall voluntarily resign or be *separated from the service through no fault of his own*, he shall be entitled to the commutation of all accumulated vacation and/sick leave to his credit: . . ." (Italic supplied).

In other words, an officer or employee who is "dismissed for cause" is not entitled to retirement benefits pursuant to section 11(d), *supra*, and one who is separated from the service through his fault is not entitled to the commutation of his accumulated vacation and sick leave pursuant to section 286, likewise *supra*. It follows that where an officer or employee incurs an administrative penalty other or less severe than "dismissal" (which denotes removal from the service for cause provided or prescribed by law), such penalty would and could not result in depriving him of his right to retirement and other benefits.

I might also point out that this Office has on one occasion declared that suspension (which is lesser in degree than removal) does not preclude enjoyment of full retirement benefits if the offending employee is otherwise qualified thereto under the law, exhorting that retirement statutes should be construed not with unswerving rigor but with magnanimous liberality (Opinion No. 102, s. 1963).

Very truly yours,

(Sgd.) CATALINO MACARAIG, JR.

Acting Secretary of Justice

Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas
(CENTRAL BANK OF THE PHILIPPINES)

CENTRAL BANK OF THE PHILIPPINES

MANILA

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR DEPUTY GOVERNOR

CIRCULAR No. 465

Series of 1975

The Monetary Board, under Resolution No. 978 dated May 16, 1975, promulgated the following amendment to Section 7 of Circular 389, dated November 19, 1973, to read as follows:

"SEC. 7. *Report on Compliance.* Every non-bank financial intermediary shall make a WEEKLY report to the Central Bank of the Philippines of its daily required reserve to be submitted not later than the close of the FOURTH (4th) business day following the REFERENCE WEEK. This report shall be prepared on Central Bank Form No. 7-26-05."

This Circular shall take effect on June 16, 1975.

For the Monetary Board:

(Sgd.) AMADO R. BRIÑAS
Senior Deputy Governor

May 26, 1975

BANGKO SENTRAL NG PILIPINAS

(CENTRAL BANK OF THE PHILIPPINES)

MAYNILA, PILIPINAS

SUPERVISION AND EXAMINATION SECTOR

CIRCULAR LETTER

May 26, 1975

TO: ALL NON-BANK FINANCIAL INTERME-
DIARIES PERFORMING QUASI-BANKING
FUNCTIONS

This is to advise that the Monetary Board reiterated the policy closing the Greater Manila Area to quasi-banking functions for branches, extension offices, or agencies of non-bank financial intermediaries.

For purposes of this Circular Letter, Greater Manila Area shall comprise the following cities: City of Manila, Quezon City, Pasay City, Caloocan City; and municipalities: Makati, Malabon, Mandaluyong, Marikina, Navotas, Parañaque, Pasig, and San Juan.

Please be guided accordingly.

(Sgd.) JAIME C. LAYA
Deputy Governor

MGA PAHAYAG NA LEGAL AT OPISYAL
(LEGAL AND OFFICIAL NOTICES)

Hukumang Unang Dulugan
(COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE)

[FIRST PUBLICATION]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CEBU
FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH I

CASE No. 14, LRC RECORD No. 9470, Lot 3900,
Cadastral Survey of Cebu

THE DIRECTOR OF LANDS, Petitioner *vs.* ALFONSO
ABABA, ET AL., Claimants

TEOFILO REYES, Petitioner

NOTICE OF HEARING

To: Gregorio Abapo, Florencio Villarosa, all of Inayawan, Pardo, Cebu City; Teofilo Reyes, Inayawan, Pardo, Cebu City; the Register of Deeds, Cebu City, the City Engineer, Cebu City; the City Attorney, Cebu City; the City Inayawan, Pardo, Cebu City; Teofilo Reyes, for the petitioner at Medalle Bldg., Fuente Osmeña, Cebu City; and to all whom it may concern:

GREETINGS:

Please take notice that the petition filed with this Court by Teofilo Reyes thru Atty. Antonio S. Reyes, seeking the reconstitution of original certificate of title covering Lot No. 3900 of the Cebu Cadastre, is set for hearing on October 15, 1975, at 8:30 a.m., before the First Branch of this Court located at the Palace of Justice, Cebu City, Philippines.

Whereas, Lot No. 3900 of the Cebu Cadastre and situated in the City of Cebu and covered by Decree No. 106916 has an area of 998 square meters;

Wherefore, you are hereby ordered to appear at the date, time and place herein designated and to show cause if any you have why the prayer of said petition should not be granted.

Witness, the Honorable Juan Y. Reyes, Judge of this Court, this 14th day of April, 1975.

(Sgd.) LADISLAO S. CABAUG

[23, 24]

Branch Clerk of Court

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CEBU
FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH III

CADASTRAL CASE No. 12 LRC RECORD No. 9468
Lot No. 6319 Cebu Cadastre

THE DIRECTOR OF LANDS, Petitioner, *vs.* FRANCISCO
ABADINAS ET AL., Claimants

NOTICE OF HEARING

To: Messrs. Vitaliano Sabay, Antonia Abella Vda de Sabay, Valentin Gabutan and Jovito Lee, all of Barrio Guadalupe, Cebu City, Philippines; the Register of Deeds of Cebu City, Philippines; and to all whom it may concern.

GREETINGS:

Please take notice that the petition filed with this Court by Vitaliano Kabahar thru counsel, Atty. Ponciano H. Alivio, seeking for the reconstitution of the Original Certificate of Title in the aforementioned Lot No. 6319, is set for hearing on July 22, 1975, at 8:30 A.M. before the Third Branch of this Court located at the Palace of Justice, Cebu City, Philippines.

Lot No. 6319, Cebu Cadastre, is situated at Barrio Guadalupe, Cebu City, Philippines, and bounded by real properties of Vitaliano Sabay, Antonia Abella Vda de Sabay, Valentin Gabutan and Jovito Lee.

You are therefore ordered to appear at the date, time and place herein designated and to show cause if any you have why said petition should not be granted.

Witness, the Honorable Juan Y. Reyes, Vacation Judge of this Court, this April 24, 1975, at Cebu City, Philippines.

(Sgd.) MISS TEOFILA C. ALINO

[23, 24]

Branch Clerk, Branch III

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

BRANCH IV

CADASTRAL CASE No. 10, GLRO CADASTRAL RECORD
No. 201, Lots 2934 & 2936, Longos Cadastre.—
In Re: Petition for Reconstitution of Title.

MELECIO ACUESA, Petitioner

NOTICE

To: The Register of Deeds, Sta. Cruz, Laguna;
Atty. Nonia dela Peña, Sta. Cruz, Laguna;
Cirilo Salvania, Santiago Cabugazon, Vidal
Salvania, Mateo Lagumbay, Spouses Mateo La-
gumbay, Spouses Mateo Gallano and Maria
Sabijon, Lucena, Avila; all of Kalayaan, La-
guna; Bishop of Lipa City; and to all whom
these may concern:

Whereas, the above-named petition for reconsti-
tution of Torrens Title, filed with this Court, al-
leges that two Original Certificates of Title Nos.
(not available) of the land records of Laguna,
issued in the names of Aurea Avenir and Fausto
Asedillo, respectively, covered two parcels of land
particularly described as follows:

"A parcel of land (Lot 2934 of the cadastral
survey of Longos, Cad. Rec. No. 201), situated
in the Barrio of San Isidro, Municipality of
Longos (now Kalayaan), Province of Laguna,
Island of Luzon. Bounded on the N. by Lot
2935; on the NE. by Lot 2936; on the SE.
by Lot 2937; on the SW. by Lot 2930; on the
NW. by Lots 2931 and 2933, all of Cad. 76,
Longos Cadastre. * * * Containing an area of
two thousand eight hundred five (2,805) square
meters, more or less."

"A parcel of land (Lot 2936 of the Cadas-
tral survey of Longos, GLRO Cad. Rec. No.
201), situated in the Barrio of San Isidro,
Municipality of Longos (now Kalayaan), Prov-
ince of Laguna, Island of Luzon. Bounded
on the NW. and NE. by Lot 2935; on the SE.
by Lot 2937; on the SW. by Lots 2937 and
2934; all of Longos Cadastre. * * * Contain-
ing an area of six thousand six hundred
forty-two (6,642) square meters, more or less."

that the originals as well as the owner's duplicates
thereof were either lost or destroyed during the
last world war; and that the petitioner had ac-
quired ownership of the lands by purchase;

Therefore, you are hereby given notice that the
petition is set for hearing on October 17, 1975 at
8:00 a.m., before the Fourth Branch of this Court
in Sta. Cruz, Laguna, on which date, time and
place you should appear to file and establish your
claim or objection, if any you have, to the petition.

Witness, the Honorable Maximo A. Maceren,
Judge of the said Court on this 7th day of April,
1975.

(Sgd.) FRANCISCO S. ABELLA
Clerk of Court

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

BRANCH IV

CADASTRAL CASE No. 26, GLRO CADASTRAL RECORD
No. 748, Lot 8882, Majayjay Cadastre.—In
Re: Petition for Reconstitution of Title.

SPOUSES BENIGNO ARVESU and CRISPINA PISUENA
Petitioners

NOTICE

To: The Register of Deeds, Sta. Cruz, Laguna;
Atty. Nonia de la Peña, Sta. Cruz, Laguna;
Martina Coligado, Antonio Cologio, Antonino
Bruma and Aproniano dela Peña, all of Ma-
jayjay, Laguna; and to all whom it may con-
cern:

Whereas, the above-named petition for reconsti-
tution of Torrens Title, filed with this Court, al-
leges that Original Certificate of Title No. (N.A.)
of the land records of Laguna, issued in the
names of the spouses Gonzalo Branilla and Maria
Cordon of Majayjay, Laguna, covered a parcel of
land particularly described as follows:

"A parcel of land (Lot 8882 of the Cad.
Survey of Majayjay, GLRO Cad. Rec. No.
748), situated in the Barrio of Bucal, Munic-
ipality of Majayjay, Province of Laguna,
Island of Luzon. Bounded on the N. by Lot
8859; on the NE. by Lot 8883; on the SE.
by Lots 8884 and 8880; and on the SW. and
NW. by Lots 8880 and 9023, all of Cad. 188,
Majayjay Cadastre. Containing an area of
three thousand seven hundred thirty-two
(3,732) square meters, more or less."

that the original as well as the owner's duplicate
thereof were either lost or destroyed during the
last world war; and that the petitioners have ac-
quired ownership of the land by purchase;

Therefore, you are hereby given notice that the
petition is set for hearing on October 17, 1975 at
8:00 a.m., before the Fourth Branch of this Court
in Sta. Cruz, Laguna, on which date, time and
place you should appear to file and establish
your claim or objection, if any you have, to the
petition.

Witness, the Honorable Maximo A. Maceren,
Judge of the said Court, on this 7th day of April,
1975.

(Sgd.) FRANCISCO S. ABELLA
Clerk of Court

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH II

CADASTRAL CASE No. 15, GLRO CADASTRAL RECORD
No. 416, Lot 3773, Longos Cadastre.—In Re:
Petition for Reconstitution of Title.

JULITA VALDARIA, Petitioner

NOTICE

To: The Register of Deeds, Sta. Cruz, Laguna;
Atty. Nonia de la Peña, Sta. Cruz, Laguna;
Maria Asedillo, Gregorio Lafrades, Donato La-
ganas and Mateo Yamo, all of Kalayaan, La-
guna; and to all whom these may concern:

Whereas, the above-named petition for reconsti-
tution of Torrens Title, filed with this Court, al-
leges that Original Certificate of Title No. (N.A.)
of the land records of Laguna, issued in the name
of Julita Valdaria, covered a parcel of land partic-
ularly described as follows:

"A parcel of land (Lot 3773 of the Cadas-
tral Survey of Longos, Cad. Record No. 416),
situated in the Barrio of Lamao, Municipality
of Longos (now Kalayaan), Province of La-
guna, Island of Luzon. Bounded on the NW.
and NE. by Lot 3775; on the SE. by Lots
3774 and 3761; on the SW. by Lot 3761,
and on the NW. by Lot 3772, all of Cad. 76,
Longos Cadastre. * * * Containing an area
of fifty seven thousand three hundred fourteen
(57,314) square meters, more or less."

that the original as well as the owner's duplicate
thereof were either lost or destroyed during the
last world war;

Therefore, you are hereby given notice that the
petition is set for hearing on October 16, 1975 at
8:00 a.m., before the Second Branch of this Court
in Sta. Cruz, Laguna, on which date, time and
place you should appear to file and establish your
claim or objection, if any you have, to the peti-
tion.

Witness, the Honorable Gabriel V. Valero,
Judge of the said Court, on this 7th day of April,
1975.

(Sgd.) FRANCISCO S. ABELLA
Clerk of Court

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH IV

LAND REGISTRATION CASE No. 584, GLRO RECORD
No. 16404, Lot 8, Nagcarlan.—In Re: Petition
for Reconstitution of Title.

CLOTILDE CAPISTRANO, Petitioner

NOTICE

To: The Register of Deeds, Sta. Cruz, Laguna;
Atty. Romulo S. Brion, San Pablo City; Mar-
celino de Castro, Isidro de Luna, Sps. Andres
Consignado and Isabel Ortiz, Rufina de Castro,
Florencio Tabiera, Antonio Planillo, Mariano
Buensuceso and the Municipal Mayor, all of
Nagcarlan, Laguna; and to all whom these may
concern:

Whereas, the above-named petition for reconsti-
tution of Torrens Title, filed with this Court, al-
leges that Transfer Certificate of Title No. 14075
of the land records of Laguna, issued in the name
of Regino Capistrano, covered a parcel of land
particularly described as follows:

"A parcel of land (Lot No. 8, plan Psu-
14350), with all buildings and improvements
thereon, situated in the Barrio of Inlolopez,
Municipality of Nagcarlan, Province of La-
guna. Bounded on the W. by Barrio Road;
on the N. by property of Marcelino de Castro;
on the NE. by San Diego River; and on the
SE. and SW. and S. by property of Isidro
de Luna. * * * Containing an area of eight
thousand four hundred thirty-four (8,434)
square meters."

that the original as well as the owner's duplicate
thereof were either lost or destroyed during the
last world war;

Therefore, you are hereby given notice that the
petition is set for hearing on October 15, 1975 at
8:00 a.m., before the Fourth Branch of this Court
in Sta. Cruz, Laguna, on which date, time and
place you should appear to file and establish your
claim or objection, if any you have, to the petition.

Witness, the Honorable Maximo A. Maceren,
Judge of the said Court on this 7th day of April,
1975.

(Sgd.) FRANCISCO S. ABELLA
Clerk of Court

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
AND SAN PABLO CITY
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, BRANCH III
CITY OF SAN PABLO

CASE No. 1398 GLRO RECORD No. 31951—In Re:
Petition for Reconstitution of O.C.T. No. 9122
of the Office of the Register of Deeds of the
City of San Pablo.

FLORA FERNANDEZ and RUBY F. TICZON, Petitioners

NOTICE

To: The Register of Deeds, City Engineer, City
Fiscal, Atty. Victorino B. Javier, Mrs. Pacita
Catipon, Gen. Malvar St., and T. Azucena St.,
% City Engineer, all of San Pablo City and
Heirs of Pacita Ticzon % Gergorio T. Eleosida
at Chrysler Philippines Corp. No. 2306 Pasong
Tamo, Makati, Rizal; Judge Felipe T. Eleosida
at Kidapawan, Cotabato and the City Govern-
ment, City of San Pablo and to all whom it
may concern:

Whereas, a verified petition had been filed in
this Court under the provisions of Republic Act
No. 26 for the Reconstitution of Original Certificate
of Title No. 9122 issued in the name of Cristeto
Ticzon and Miguela Belarmino; that the owner's
duplicate as well as the original thereof filed in
the office of the Register of Deeds of Laguna and
San Pablo City was lost, destroyed or burned
during the last war; that no co-owner's mortgagee's
or lessee's duplicate have ever been issued; and that
no deeds or other instruments affecting the said
lands have been presented for registration with
the Register of Deeds of Laguna and San Pablo
City, covering the parcel of land more particularly
described as follow:

"A parcel of land (Plan Psu-53867), with
the improvements thereon, situated in the
Poblacion, City of San Pablo. Bounded on the
NE., by Jose Fernandez; on the SE., by
Teopisto Catipon; on the S., by Gen. Malvar
Street; and the NW., by T. Azucena Street,
* * * containing an area of 898 square meters
more or less.

Wherefore, you are hereby given notice that the
said petition has been set for hearing on August
15, 1975 at 8:30. A.M., before this Court at San
Pablo City; on which date time and place, any
person may appear and file his claims or objection
if he has any ground to the petition.

Witness, the Honorable Conrado T. Limcaoco,
Judge of this Court, this 2nd day of June 1975.

(Sgd) DOLORES AVANZADO-ENABORE

[23 24]

Sr. Deputy Clerk of Court

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF NUEVA
ECIJA

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH III

CADASTRAL CASE No. 68, GLRO CADASTRAL RECORD
No. 1553, Lot 314, Sta. Rosa Cadastre

THE DIRECTOR OF LANDS, Petitioner, *vs.* ENCARNACION
SINAGUINAN, ET AL., Petitioner-Claimants

ORDER

Notice is hereby given to all persons who might
have claims or interests to Lot 314 of the Sta.
Rosa Cadastre that the hearing on the merits
of the petition for substitution of answer and to
set date of hearing filed on May 27, 1975 by the
herein claimants is set on September 3, 1975, at
8:30 o'clock in the morning, so that those who are
interested or who may thereby be adversely af-
fected may have the chance to appear at the
hearing aforesaid and interpose their objection,
otherwise, their failure to do so will be considered
a waiver and/or relinquishment of their right.

Let copies of this order be posted on the bulletin
boards of the municipal building of Sta. Rosa,
Nueva Ecija, and of the provincial capitol of
Nueva Ecija. Likewise, let a copy of this order
be published in two successive issues of the
Official Gazette at the expense of the claimants.

So ORDERED.

Cabanatuan City, May 28, 1975.

(Sgd.) SALVADOR C. REYES

[23, 24]

Judge

Komisyon sa Patalaan ng Lupain (LAND REGISTRATION COMMISSION)

[FIRST PUBLICATION]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BATAAN
Land Registration Case No. N-273
LRC Record No. N-47051

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. III-5, Balanga, Bataan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Miguel Guiking, Rodolfo Casuayan, Rosviminda Bagalawis, Lazaro Canilao, Mariveles, Bataan; Francisco Sy-Changco, Presentacion Espinola, 1144 Hermosa Street, Manuguit Subdivision, Tondo, Manila; Luz Ilagan, 1420 G. Tuason Street, Manila; Arsenio de Guzman, 351-H F. Roxas Street, Grace Park, Caloocan City; Salve-Llora Mendoza, 351-G F. Roxas Street, Grace Park, Caloocan City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Isagani Maglaya, 1420 G. Tuason Street, Manila; Corazon Maglaya de Guzman, 351-H F. Roxas Street, Grace Park, Caloocan City; Belen Maglaya Herreros, 2527-A A. Figueroa Street, Pasay City; Armando Maglaya and Dante Maglaya, 351-G F. Roxas Street, Grace Park, Caloocan City; and Lilia Maglaya, Mariveles, Bataan, to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 266, Cad. 36, Mariveles Cadastre, plan Ap-03-001091), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Wiswis, Municipality of Mariveles, Province of Bataan. Bounded on the N. by a Public Land; on the NE. by the Camaya River; on the E. by the Mariveles Military Reservation; on the S. by property of Miguel Guiking & Rodolfo Casuayan and a Public Land; and on the W. by a Public Land. Point "1" is N. 10 deg. 25 min. E., 641.24 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 36, Mariveles Cadastre. Area one hundred fifty thousand nine (150,009) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bataan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Balanga, Province of Bataan, Philippines, on the 15th day of August, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said

application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed, and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Abraham P. Vera, Judge of said Court, the 22nd day of May, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 28th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BATAAN
Land Registration Case No. N-267
LRC Record No. N-45917

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. III-5, the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Balanga, Bataan; Apolonio Benitez, Arsenio Tampiz, or Tampis, Mariveles, Bataan; Rosario Casimiro, Orani, Bataan; Erlinda Rico, 888 Vinzon Street, Davao City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Rgelio Villanueva, 888 Vinzon St., Davao City, thru Atty. Amando D. Ignacio, Rm. 414 Madrigal Building, Escolta, Manila, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan (LRC) Psu-193), situated in the Barrio of Wain, Municipality of Mariveles, Province of Bataan. Bounded on the NE. and E., by the National Road; on the SE. by the National Road, property of Arsenio Tampiz or Tampis and a Barrio Road; on the SW. by property of Rosario Casimiro and a creek; on the W. by a creek; and on the NW. by a creek, property of Apolonio Benitez and the Aglaloma River. Point "1" is N. 76 deg 30 min. E., 4,633.81 meters from MBM 4, Mariveles Cadastre. Area six hundred sixty seven thousand seven hundred sixty (667,760) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bataan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Balanga, Province of Bataan, Philippines, on the 17th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Pedro T. Santiago, Judge of said Court, the 20th day of May, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 22nd day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

[23, 24]

Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BATAAN

Land Registration Case No. N-272

LRC Record No. N-46914

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. III-5, Balanga, Bataan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Patrocinio V. Cruz, Mariveles, Bataan; Dominga B. Garcia, 275 Deato, Tamarao Hills, Valenzuela, Bulacan; Patrocinio V. Cruz, C. Arellano St., Malabon, Rizal; Alfredo de Guzman and Eduardo de la Cruz, Alasasin, Mariveles, Bataan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Venancio Y. Reyes, Look Primero, Malolos, Bulacan, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan (LRC) Psu-1136), with improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Alasasin, Municipality of Mariveles, Province of Bataan. Bounded on the NE. by a Feeder Road; on the SE. by Lot 3, property of Alfredo de Guzman; on the SW. by a creek; and on the NW. by Lot 1, property of Patrocinio V. Cruz. Point "1" is S. 59 deg. 49 min. W., 2,632.82 meters from BLLM No. 10, Mariveles Cadastre. Area twenty thousand (20,000) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bataan, at its session to be held at Branch I, Municipality of Balanga, Province of Bataan, Philippines, on the 10th day of October, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Abraham P. Vera, Judge of said Court, the 4th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 19th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

[23, 24]

Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. N-410-SM

LRC Record No. N-45490

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reforms, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Guillermo Robes, Cirilo German, Miguel Casas, Leonor Tuazon, Maria Casas, Gregoria Chavez, Marcelino Antonio, Arcadio Zamora, San Jose del Monte, Bulacan; Lucila Diaz Hernandez, Dulong Bayan, San Jose del Monte; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by spouses Filemon Hernandez and Milagros Elfa, spouses Dionisio Pontilla and Salud H. Pontilla, spouses Pedro Pasco and Simeona H. Pasco, Aurea H. Cruz, Miguela H. Cruz and Benjamin H. Cruz, San Jose del Monte, Bulacan to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 776, Cad-352, San Jose del Monte Cadastre, plan As-1078, with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Dulong Bayan, Municipality of San Jose del Monte, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE. by properties of Guillermo Robes, Cirilo

German and Miguel Casas; on the E. by property of Miguel Casas; on the SE. by properties of Miguel Casas and Arcadio Zamora (before) Leonor Tuazon (now); on the SW. by properties of Maria Casas and Marcelino Antonio; and on the NW. by properties of Marcelino Antonio, Gregoria Chavez and the Sta. Maria River. Point "1" is N. 39 deg. 39 min. W., 2,244.43 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-352, San Jose del Monte Cadastre. Area thirty nine thousand seven hundred sixty-eight (39,768) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Sta. Maria, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 28th day of August, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed, and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Ambrosio M. Germaldez, Judge of said Court, the 19th day of May, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 22nd day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. B-117
LRC Record No. N-46084

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, and the Municipal Council, Bustos, Bulacan; Basilio Alvaro, Gertrudes Lopez, Valentin Fernando, Bonifacio Cruz, Agustin de Ocampo, Petra de la Cruz, Tomas Lopez, Josefa Buenaventura, the Heirs of Calixto Bartolome, Catacte, Bustos, Bulacan; Regina Domingo, Zacarias Duque, Mercedes Estanislao, and Regina Sarmiento, San Jose,

Montalban, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Pedro Sarmiento, Aquilina Sarmiento, Martiniano Sarmiento, San Jose Montalban, Rizal, thru Atty. Maximo Calalang, 560 Constanica, Manila, to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 2233, Bustos Cadastre, plan Ap-19131), situated in the Barrio of Catacte, Municipality of Bustos, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Valentin Fernando and Bonifacio Cruz; on the SE., by properties of Bonifacio Cruz, Valentin Fernando; and Gertrudes Lopez; on the SW., by property of Basilio Alvaro; on the W., by property of Agustin de Ocampo; and on the NW., by properties of Basilio Alvaro and Gertrudes Lopez. Point "1" is S. 37 deg. 20 min. E., 4,017.77 meters from BLLM 1, Bustos Cadastre. Area eleven thousand three hundred twelve (11,312) square meters, more or less;

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held at Branch IV, Municipality of Baliwag, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 19th day of September, 1975, at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Benigno M. Puno, Judge of the said Court, the 18th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. N-3284
LRC Record No. N-46157

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District

Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Teofila Safra, Ruben San Gabriel, Jose de Guzman, Pedro Nicolas, Ricardo Martin, Mario Batra, Jose San Pedro, Aurea Benedicto and Aurelio Estanislao, Bocaue, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Balagtas, (Bigaa) Bulacan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Aurora Z. Amada, 27 Banana Road, Malabon, Rizal, to register and confirm her title to the following properties:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 2414, Cad-332, Bocaue Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000714), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Taal, Municipality of Bocaue, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Teofila Safra and Ruben San Gabriel; on the E., and S., by property of Jose de Guzman; on the SW., by properties of Pedro Nicolas and Ricardo Martin; and on the NW., by properties of Aurea Benedicto and Mario Batra *vs.* Jose San Pedro. Point "1" is N. 31 deg. 57 min. W., 1,756.11 meters from BLLM 1, Cad 332, Bocaue Cadastre. Area three thousand four hundred seventy-one (3,471) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2365, Cad-332, Bocaue Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000715), with improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Taal, Municipality of Bocaue, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by property of Ricardo Martin; on the SE., by property of Pedro Nicolas; on the SW., by the National Road; and on the NW., by property of Aurea Benedicto. Point "1" is N. 34 deg. 00 min. W., 1,716.88 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-332, Bocaue Cadastre. Area one hundred seventy-four (174) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (Lot 3423, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000716), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of San Juan, Municipality of Bigaa, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Teofila Safra and Mario Batra *vs.* Jose San Pedro; on the SE., by property of Aurea Benedicto; on the SW., by property of Ricardo Martin; and on the NW., by property of Aurelio Estanislao. Point "1" is S. 40 deg. 09 min. E., 1,264.62 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre. Area one thousand one hundred ninety-six (1,196) square meters, more or less.

4. A parcel of land (Lot 3485, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000716), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of San Juan, Municipality of Bigaa, Province of Bu-

lacan. Bounded on the NE., by property of Ricardo Martin; on the SE., by property of Aurea Benedicto; on the SW., by the National Road; and on the NW., by property of Ricardo Martin. Point "1" is S. 39 deg. 29 min. E., 1,296.56 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre. Area fifty (50) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Malolos, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 9th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Jesus R. de Vega, Judge of said Court, the 7th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOS, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. N-3324

LRC Record No. N-46789

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, the Panginay Estate % Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Felipe Estrella, Malolos Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Balagtas, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, and Jose Palomares, Guiguinto, Bulacan; Felix Galvez, Emiliano Paguia, Agripina dela Cruz, Juan Miranda, Juana del Mundo, and Asuncion Arcellas, Panginay, Balagtas, Bulacan; Dominador Bernardo, Virginia Narciso-Bernardo and Nicolas Gatmaitan, Tuktukan, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Heirs

of Manuela Gatmaitan, the Heirs of Petra Gatmaitan and Geronimo Gatmaitan, Bulacan, Bulacan; Juan Miranda, Plaridel, Bulacan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the spouses Maria Vicenta Bernardo-Quila and J. Antonio M. Quila, No. 101 New York, Cubao, Quezon City, assisted by Atty. Remigio M. Kalalang, Balagtas, Bulacan, to register and confirm their title to the following properties:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 2510, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000688), situated in the Barrio of Panginay, Municipality of Balagtas, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the N and NE. by property of Felix Galvez; on the E. by property of Dominador Bernardo; on the S. and SW. by property of Juan Miranda; on the W. by a Barrio Road; and on the NW. by property of Emiliano Paguia. Point "1" is N. 73 deg 22 min. W., 1,813.90 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre. Area one thousand one hundred thirteen (1,113) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2512, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000688), situated in the Barrio of Panginay, Municipality of Balagtas, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the N. and NE. by property of Agripina dela Cruz; on the SE. and SW. by property of Juan Miranda; and on the W. by property of Dominador Bernardo. Point "1" is N. 73 deg. 00 min. W., 1,581.03 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre. Area three thousand five hundred ninety-four (3,594) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (Lot 2513, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000688), situated in the Barrio of Panginay, Municipality of Balagtas, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE. and NW. by property of Jose Palomares; on the SE. by the Panginay Estate; and on the SW. by the Panginay Estate and property of Juan Miranda. Point "1" is N. 73 deg. 30 min. W., 1,536.17 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-333, Bigaa Cadastre. Area two thousand two hundred ninety-one (2,291) square meters, more or less.

4. A parcel of land (Lot 1948, Cad-334, Guiguinto Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000995), situated in the Barrio of Tuktukan, Municipality of Guiguinto, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE. by the National Road; on the SE. by property of Geronimo Gatmaitan vs. the Heirs of Manuel Gatmaitan; on the S. by property of the Heirs of Petra Gatmaitan; and on the NW. by property of Felipe Estrella. Point "1" is S. 89 deg. 46 min. E., 1,326.52 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-334, Guiguinto Cadastre. Area nine hundred eighty-three (983) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Malolos, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 11th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Jesus R. De Vega, Judge of said Court the 7th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN
Land Registration Case No. N-183-V-75
LRC Record No. N-46939

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, Valenzuela, Bulacan; Simeona Marcos, Fortunata Pasco, Mila Marcos, Pilar Gregorio, Julia Calderon, Ursula Calderon, Tomas Ramos, Florentina Ramos, Olimpia de Vega, Manuel Sebastian, Eugenia Pascual and Francisca Bautista, Coloong, Valenzuela, Bulacan; Lucio Putulin, Longos Meycauayan, Bulacan; Aurora Lava or Leyva-Evangelista, Balangkas, Valenzuela, Bulacan; Librada Bautista-Ramos, Tagalog, Valenzuela, Bulacan; and the Director, Regional and Office No. III, San Fernando, Pampanga; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Ramon Ramos, Rosa Ramos, Pelagio Ramos, Raymundo Ramos, Anselmo Ramos and Hilarion Ramos, Coloong, Valenzuela, Bulacan, and Mariano Ramos, Tagalog, Valenzuela, Bulacan, assisted by Atty. Caesar R. Monteclaros,

Valenzuela, Bulacan, to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 225, Cad-338, Polo Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000903), situated in the Barrio of Caloong, Municipality of Polo (now-Valenzuela, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by property of Tomas and Florentina Ramos (before) Lucio Putulin (now); on the SE., by properties of Aurora Lava or Leyva-Evangelista, Manuel Sebastian (before) Olimpia de Vega (now), and the Heirs of Guillermo Pascual (before) Eugenia Pascual (now); on the SW., by a Barrio Road; and on the NW., by properties of Ramon Ramos and Simeona Marcos, Ramon Ramos and Simeona Marcos (before), Ursula Calderon (now) Agapita Pascual and Ursula Pascual (before) Ramon Ramos (now). Point "1" is N, 7 deg. 16 min. W., 2,097.04 meters from BLLM 1, Cad-338, Polo Cadastre. Area five thousand eighty three (5,083) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Valenzuela, Province of Bulacan, Philippines on the 23rd day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Eduardo P. Caguioa, Judge of said Court, the 15th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:
GREGORIO BILOG JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. B-120

LRC Record No. N-46968

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Lopez Cristobal, Margarita Alfonso, Leonila de Lara, Lope S. Cristobal,

Bustos, Bulacan; the Branch Manager, Development Bank of the Philippines, Quezon City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Primo Cruz, Bustos, Bulacan, to register and confirm his title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Bustos, Province of Bulacan. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 4525, Gad-344, Bustos Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000085), Bounded on the NE., by property of Margarita Alfonso; on the SE., by Lot 4526; and on the SW., and NW., by properties of Lope S. Cristobal. Point "1" is N. 58 deg. 02 min. W., 804.07 meters from BLLM No. 1, Cad-344, Bustos Cadastre. Area two thousand one hundred fifteen (2,115) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 4526, Cad-344, Bustos Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000085). Bounded on the NE., by property of Margarita Alfonso; on the SE., by the Provincial Road; on the SW., by property of Lope S. Cristobal; and on the NW., by Lot 4525. Point "1" is N. 60 deg. 47 min. W., 724.58 meters from BLLM No. 1, Cad-344, Bustos Cadastre. Area fifty-five (55) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held at Branch IV, Municipality of Baliwag, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 19th day of September, 1975, at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Benigno M. Puno, Judge of said Court, the 18th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:
GREGORIO BILOG JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. SM-435

LRC Record No. N-46986

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the

Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Primitiva or Primitivo Pascual, Ambrocio Castillo, Irene Ramos, Norzagaray, Bulacan; Conrado Andres, Paulina Palad, Feliciano Bernabe, Marcela Tolentino and Hilario Legaspi, Poblacion, Norzagaray, Bulacan; Celedonio Reyes c/o Dr. Francisco Reyes, Pulong Buhangin, Sta. Maria, Bulacan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Tiburcio Legaspi, Francisca Legaspi, Mamerto Correa and Gervacio Legaspi, Poblacion, Norzagaray, Bulacan, assisted by Atty. Amador C. de la Merced, 107 Wm. Shaw, Caloocan City, to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 2117, Cad. 350, Norzagaray Cadastre, plan As-03-000166), situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Norzagaray, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by property of the Municipal Government of Norzagaray; on the SE., by properties of Ambrocio Castillo, Celedonio Reyes and Irene Ramos; on the SW., by properties of Irene Ramos, Conrado Andres and a Barrio Road; and on the NW., by property of Primitiva or Primitivo Pascual. Point "1" is S. 53 deg. 18 min. W., 987.68 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 350, Norzagaray Cadastre. Area ten thousand six hundred ninety-six (10,696) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held at Branch V, Municipality of Sta. Maria, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 30th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Ambrosio M. Giraldez, Judge of said Court, the 24th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF
CAMARINES SUR

Land Registration Case No. N-1524
LRC Record No. N-45865

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City, the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the District Land Office No. V-2, Naga City; the Highway District Engineer, Baras, Canaman, Camarines Sur; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Canaman, Camarines Sur; Esteban Balmeo, Rosario Pante, Venancio Pante, Francisco Catimbang, the Barrio Captain, Dinaga, Canaman, Camarines Sur; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Eugenio P. Ragodon, Canaman, Camarines Sur, to register and confirm his title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Dinaga, Municipality of Canaman, Province of Camarines Sur. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-252157). Bounded on the NE., by a Callejon; on the SE., by Lot 2; on the SW., by property of Rosario Pante; and on the NW., by the Canaman River; Point "1" is S. 52 deg. 46 min. W., 2,364.17 meters from BLLM 19, Magarao, Camarines Sur. Area two hundred eighty (280) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-252157). Bounded on the NE., by a Callejon; on the SE., by the Provincial Road; on the SW., by property of Rosario Pante; and on the NW., by Lot 1. Point "1" is S. 52 deg. 16 min. W., 2,347.58 meters from BLLM 19, Magarao, Camarines Sur. Area seventy eight (78) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Camarines Sur, at its session to be held in the City of Naga, Philippines, on the 10th day of October, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Rafael de la Cruz, Judge of said Court, the 1st day of April, in the year 1975.
 Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG JR.
 Commissioner of Land Registration
 By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
 [23 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
 COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CAMARINES
 SUR

Land Registration Case No. T-97
 LRC Record No. N-46972

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the District Land Office No. V-2, and the Archbishop of Nueva Caceres, Naga City; the Highway District Engineer, Baras, Canaman, Camarines Sur; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, the Heirs of Angel Recto c/o Francisco Recto, Arsenio B. Natividad, Leelin and Company, c/o Pascual Leelin, Marciano Bongat, Antonio Baduria, Josefa Cea, Tigaon, Camarines Sur; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the spouses, Claudio M. Francisco and Nazaria Recto, Poblacion, Tigaon, Camarines Sur, thru Atty. Pedro D. Servano, Bello Building, Naga City, to register and confirm their title to the following properties:

1. A parcel of land (plan Psu-197292), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Tigaon, Province of Camarines Sur. Bounded on the NE., by Sta. Clara St.; on the SE., by property of the Heirs of Angel Recto; on the SW., by the Provincial Road; and on the NW., by Jacob St., Point "1" is S. 58 deg. 26 min E., 48.71 meters from BLLM 1, Tigaon, Camarines Sur. Area eight hundred sixteen (816) square meters more or less.

2. A parcel of Land (plan Psu-204501), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of San Francisco, Municipality of Tigaon, Province of Camarines Sur. Bounded on the NE., by an Irrigation Ditch and property of Arsenio B. Natividad; on the E., and SE., by property of Arsenio B. Natividad; on the SW.,

by properties of Leelin & Company and Marciano Bongat; and on the NW., by the Public Cemetery and a Road. Point "1" is N. 14 deg. 58 min. E., 564.00 meters from BLLM 1, Tigaon, Camarines Sur. Area thirty one thousand three hundred seventy one (31,371) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Camarines Sur, at its session to be held in the City of Naga, Philippines, on 18th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Alfredo S. Rebueno, Judge of said Court, the 15th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
 Commissioner of Land Registration
 By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
 [23, 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
 COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CEBU

Land Registration No. N-969
 LRC Record No. N-46873

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer and the District Land Office No. VII-I, Cebu City; the City Mayor, the City Council, the City Fiscal, the City Treasurer and the City Engineer, Mandaue City; Alfonso Judilla, Basak, Mandaue City; Juanita Perez, Pedro Dimpas, Gavino Diano, Gerardo Ouano and Severina Cortes, Pagsabungan, Mandaue City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the Hermag Development Corporation, represented by its President, Hermenegildo Trinidad, Cebu City, thru Atty. Roberto R. Palmares, Suite 108 Aboitiz Building, J. Luna St., Cebu City, to register and confirm its title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 848, II-5121 AMD-2, plan Swo-07-01-000050), with the building improvements thereon, situated in the City of Mandaue. Bounded on the NE., by property of Juanita Perez; on the E., by property of Gerardo Ouano; on the SW., by property of Pedro Dimpas and Gavino Diano; and on the W., by property of Severina Cortes. Point "1" is N. 6 deg. 46 min. E., 2951.33 meters from BLLM 1, Mandaue City. Area five thousand two hundred forty-two (5,242) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Cebu, at its session to be held at the Fifth Branch, Capitol Building City of Cebu, Philippines, on the 31st day of October, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Mariano A. Zosa, Judge of said Court, the 14th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF ILOCOS
SUR

Land Registration Case No. N-464
LRC Record No. N-46913

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. 1-3, the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Martin Arcano, Basilio Plete, Caridad A. Villareal, the Heirs of Bartolome Filamor, Matilde Alagar, Felisa Alagar, Concepcion Alagar, Maria A. Bravo, Manuel Alagar, Vigan, Ilocos Sur; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Nora Alagar Alafriz, assisted by Arturo Alafriz, 25 Pili Avenue, Forbes Park, Makati, Rizal, to register and confirm her title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 1391-B Csd-1-00020, plan Ap-1-00128), situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Vigan, Province of Ilocos Sur. Bounded on the NE., by Lot 1390; on the SE., by Rizal Street; on the SW., by properties of Basilio Plete and Caridad A. Villareal et al; and on the NW., by Lot 1392. Point "1" is S. 65 deg. 01 min. W., 372.52 meters from BLLM 1, Vigan Cadastre, Cad-313-D. Area six hundred forty-five (645) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Ilocos Sur, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Vigan, Province of Ilocos Sur, Philippines, on the 25th day of September, 1975, at 9:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Zoilo Aguinaldo, Judge of said Court, the 15th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
AND SAN PABLO CITY

Land Registration Case No. N-20
LRC Record No. N-46853

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. IV-5, Santa Cruz, Laguna; the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Deogracias de Leon,

Modesto Condenueno, the Heirs of Rev. Benedicto Valencia, Eliseo Castro, Simplicio Poblete, Onofre Ilaio, Silvestre Uriza, Nemedes or Hemedes de Leon, Deomedes Zorilla, Graciano Vicuña and Onofre Lao, Mabitac, Laguna; Esteban Recio, Bruno Rellenas or Releñas and Lope Cadapan, Siniloan, Laguna; Dr. Lauro G. Palileo, 1355 Corrillon Wooddrive, Conterville, Ohio, 45459 U.S.A.; Enrique Castro, Aniceto Madrigal and Juana Iyusa, Matalatala, Mabitac, Laguna; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Edna Valencia y Unson de Palileo, 1355 Corrillon, Wooddrive, Conterville, Ohio, 45459 U.S.A. thru Atty. Bernardo V. Cagandahan, Santa Cruz, Laguna, to register and confirm her title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Matalatala, Municipality of Mabitac, Province of Laguna. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (plan Psu-130933). Bounded on the NE., by properties of Modesto Condenueno, Eliseo Castro, Simplicio Poblete and Esteban Recio; on the E., by properties of Onofre Ilaio and Bruno Rellenas or Releñas; on the SE., by properties of Bruno Rellenas or Releñas, Lope Cadapan and the Matalatala River; on the SW., by property of the Municipal Government of Mabitac; on the W., by property of Juana Iyusa; and on the NW., by a creek and properties of Deogracias de Leon and Modesto Condenueno. Point "1" is S. 69 deg. 21 min. W., 3154.00 meters from BLLM 1, Mabitac, Laguna. Area one hundred eighty one thousand eight hundred fifty eight (181,858) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (plan Psu-130934). Bounded on the N., by property of Deomedes Zorilla; on the NE., by property of Bruno Rellenas or Releñas; on the SW., by property of Onofre Ilaio; and on the NW., by properties of Eliseo Castro, Silvestre Uriza and Nemedes or Hemedes de Leon. Point "1" is S. 68 deg. 46 min. W., 2,512.00 meters from BLLM 1, Mabitac Laguna. Area twenty nine thousand four hundred twenty four (29,424) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Laguna and San Pablo City, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Siniloan, Province of Laguna, Philippines, on the 13th day of October, 1975, at 9:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Florentino M. Villanueva, Judge of said Court, the 12th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
AND SAN PABLO CITY

Land Registration Case No. N-21

LRC Record No. N-46854

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Wrks District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. IV-5, Sta Cruz, Laguna; the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Valeriano Veridiano, Juan Joyosa and Norma de Guzman, Mabitac, Laguna; Demetrio Joyosa, Elpidia V. Vidanes or Vidanis, Niugan, Pililia, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Maria Baldemor Vda. de Cadapan and Felimon B. Cadapan, Mabitac, Laguna, thru Atty. Bernardo V. Cagandahan, Santa Cruz, Laguna, to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-228853), with improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Catmonan, Municipality of Mabitac, Province of Laguna. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Demetrio Joyosa and Valeriano Veridiano; on the SE., by the Catmonan Creek; on the SW., by property of Juan Joyosa and Elpidia V. Vidanes or Vidanis; and on the NW., by the Catmonan Creek; Point "1" is S. 77 deg 35 min. W., 5614.00 meters from Mabitac Bell Tower, Mabitac, Laguna. Area eighty two thousand six hundred thirty four (82,634) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Laguna and San Pablo City,, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Siniloan, Province of Laguna, Philippines, on the 13th day of October, 1975, at 9:00 o'clock in the

forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Florentino M. Villanueva, Judge of said Court, the 12th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:
 GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
 Commissioner of Land Registration
 By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
 Acting Chief, Docket Division
 [23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
 COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF MISAMIS
 ORIENTAL

Land Registration Case No. N-530
 LRC Record No. N-46076

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. X-1, Cagayan de Oro City; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Hilario Gonzaga, Benito Estrada, Eleno Tagom, Patricio Sabay, Ignacio Lomongo, Catalino Abadoy, Eustaquio Roxas, Antonia or Antonio Apus, Cristino Estrada, Bonifacio Omictin, Loreto Madula, Candelario Batotay, Demetrio Omongos, Perfecto Valle, Margarito Mabala, Anselmo Tagagam, Jose Estrosas, Laguindingan, Misamis Oriental; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Diamond Cement & Industrial Corporation, Makati Stock Exchange Building, Ayala Avenue, Makati, Rizal; represented by Antonio Y. Bangoy, thru Atty. Saturnino R. Galeon, Cagayan de Oro City, to register and confirm its title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land with the improvements thereon, situated in the barrio of Tubahon, Municipality of Laguindingan, Province of Misamis Oriental. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, portion of Lot 12514, Cad. 237, Cagayan Cadastre, plan SGS-

10-000022 Sheet 1). Bounded on the N., by a public land, properties of Hilario Gonzaga and Benito Estrada; on the E., by properties of Eleno Tagom, Patricio Sabay & Margarito Mabala; on the SE., by properties of Ignacio Lumongo, Catalino Abadoy, Antonia or Antonio Apus and a public land; and on the SW., W. & NW., by a public land. Point "1" is S. 79 deg. 14 min. E., 1,400.51 meters from BBM 39 Cad. 237, Cagayan Cadastre. Area six hundred fifty four thousand nine hundred ninety six (654,996) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, portion of Lot 12514, Cad. 237, Cagayan Cadastre, SGS-10-000022, Sheet 1). Bounded on the NE., by a public land (portion) Cad. 237 Cagayan Cadastre; on the SE., by properties of Cristino Estrada & a public land vs. Bonifacio Omictin; on the S., by properties of Loreto Madula and Candelario Batotay; on the SW., by a road and properties of Demetrio Omongas; Perfecto Valle, Margarito Mabala and Anselmo Tagagam; and on the NW. by property of Eleno Tagom. Point "1" is S. 81 deg. 38 min. E., 3,346.69 meters from BBM 39, Cad. 237, Cagayan Cadastre. Area three hundred fifty three thousand six hundred twenty three (353,623) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Misamis Oriental, at its session to be held in the City of Cagayan de Oro, Philippines, on the 26th day of August, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Benjamin K. Gorospe, Judge of said Court, the 21st day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:
 GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
 Commissioner of Land Registration
 By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
 Acting Chief, Docket Division
 [23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
 COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF NUEVA
 ECIJA

Land Registration Case No. Gp-132
 LRC Record No. N-46975

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Vi-

sayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. III-2, Cabanatuan City; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, Gapan Nueva Ecija; Catalina Arceo, Brigida Manio, Quintin Fernando, Anselmo Matias, Teodoro Alfonso, Rosario Sta. Maria, Angelo Matias and Myrna Samson, and Nicolas, Gagan, Nueva Ecija; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the Iglesia Ni Cristo, represented by Eraño G. Manalo, Executive Minister and Administrator, Corner Central & Commonwealth Avenue, Diliman Quezon City; thru Tafalla, Cruz and Associates, by Atty. Gagarin, Central Commonwealth Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City, to register and confirm its title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 2231, Cad-225, Gapan Cadastre, plan Ap-03-001040, situated in the Barrio of San Nicolas, Municipality of Gapan, Province of Nueva Ecija. Bounded on the N. by property of Angelo Matias; on the NE., by property of Catalina Arceo; on the SE., by Provincial Road; on the SW., by property of Brigida Manio; and on the W., by property of Quintin Fernando. Point "1" is N. 77 deg. 50 min. E., 754.82 meters from MBM 8, Cad-225, Gapan Cadastre. Area nine hundred thirty six (936) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Nueva Ecija, at its session to be held at Branch V, Municipality of Gapan, Province of Nueva Ecija, Philippines, on the 25th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Teofilo Guadiz, Jr. Judge of the said Court, the 18th day of April, in the year 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF NUEVA
VIZCAYA

Land Registration Case No. N-209

LRC Record No. N-47003

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. II-3, Bayombong, Nueva Vizcaya; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Juan Portillo, Alenjandro S. Peralta, Pantaleon Sinuldong, Tomasa Escobido, Garlina V. Naldo and Wilhelmina Madilar, Aritao, Nueva Vizcaya; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Guillermo Peros, Aritao, Nueva Vizcaya, thru Atty. Luis C. Rañon, Bambang, Nueva Vizcaya, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-2-03-000214), situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Aritao, Province of Nueva Vizcaya. Bounded on the NE., by property of Pantaleon Sinuldong; on the SE., by a Road; on the SW., by property of Tomasa Escobido; and on the NW., by properties of Juan Portillo and Alenjandro S. Peralta. Point "1" is N. 44 deg. 08 min. E., 154.70 meters from BLLM No. 1, Aritao, Nueva Vizcaya. Area two hundred twenty two (222) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Nueva Vizcaya, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Bayombong, Province of Nueva Vizcaya, Philippines, on the 16th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Jesus P. Arlegui, Judge of said Court, the 1st day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF NUEVA
VIZCAYA

Land Registration Case No. N-210
LRC Record No. N-47004

NOTICE OF HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. II-3, Bayombong, Nueva Vizcaya; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Liberato Oasay, Agustin Cardenas, Mariano Arellano and the Heirs of Francisco Valera, Bambang, Nueva Vizcaya, and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Cecilia Bautista Vda. de Valera, Bambang, Nueva Vizcaya, thru Atty. Vicente V. Duque, Bayombong, Nueva Vizcaya, to register and confirm her title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-2-03-000007), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Bambang, Province of Nueva Vizcaya. Bounded on the N., by San Fernando Street; on the E., by property of Liberato Oasay; on the SE., by property of Agustin Cardenas; and on the W., by property of Mariano Arellano. Point "1" is N. 35 deg. 45 min. E., 521.22 meters from BLLM 1, Bambang, Nueva Vizcaya. Area six hundred eight (608) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Nueva Vizcaya, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Bayombong, Province of Nueva Vizcaya, Philippines, on the 25th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Honorable Judge of the First Branch of said Court, the 7th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 19th day of May, 1975.

Attest:
GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[23, 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF PAMPANGA

Land Registration Case No. N-73-103-M
LRC Record No. N-46988

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, and the District Land Office No. III-1, San Fernando, Pampanga; the Municipal Mayor the Municipal Council, the Roman Catholic Church % the Parish Priest, Macabebe, Pampanga; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the spouses Baldomero V. Puno and Merced M. Santuungco, San Isidro, Macabebe, Pampanga, to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 643, Cad-378 D, Macabebe Cadastre plan Ap-22463), situated in the Barrio of San Isidro, Municipality of Macabebe, Province of Pampanga. Bounded on the N., by property of the Heirs of Urbano Leyson; on the SE., by the Roman Catholic Church; on the S., by property of Domingo Sangil; and on the NW., by a Barrio Road. Point "1" is S. 15 deg. 49 min. E., 448.96 meters from BLLM 1, Cad 378-D, Macabebe Cadastre. Area six hundred twelve (612) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pampanga, at its session to be held in the Old Court Building, Municipality of San Fernando, Province of Pampanga, Philippines, on the 29th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Lorenzo R. Mosqueda, Presiding Judge, Branch VII, of said Court, the 21st day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:
GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[23, 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF PAN-
GASINAN

Land Registration Case No. D-1430
LRC Record No. N-46721

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the 1st Pangasinan Highway District Engineer, Lingayen, Pangasinan; the District Land Office No. I-7, and The Branch Manager, Development Bank of the Philippines, Dagupan City; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, Sta. Barbara, Pangasinan; Sinfroso Padilla, Amado Mamaril, Rosita Abrigo, Juanita Tirao, Eduardo Capua and Prodenia Capua, Barrio Patayac, Sta. Barbara, Pangasinan; Jose Siapno, Lasip Grande, Dagupan City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Felipe Capua, Barrio Patayac, Sta. Barbara, Pangasinan; to register and confirm his title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land situated in the Barrio of Patayac, Municipality of Sta. Barbara, Province of Pangasinan. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-1-001375). Bounded on the NE., by properties of Rosita Abrigo and Juanita Tirao et al; on the SE., by property of Jose Siapno; on the SW., by a Barrio Road; and on the NW., by Amado Mamaril. Point "1" is S. 4 deg. 21 min. E., 3,229.49 meters from BLBM 2, Sapang, Sta. Barbara, Pangasinan. Area one thousand three hundred ninety five (1,395) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan-Psu-001375). Bounded on the NE., by a Barrio Road; on the SE., by property of Eduardo Capua; on the SW., by property of Prodenia Capua; and on the NW., by property of Amado Mamaril. Point "1" is S. 4 deg. 14 min. E., 3,238.00 meters from BLBM 2, Sapang, Sta. Barbara, Pangasinan. Area one thousand seventy-two (1,072) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pangasinan, at its session to be held in the City of Dagupan, Philippines, on the 18th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have,

why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Willelmo C. Fortun, District Judge of said Court, the 11th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[23,24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF PAN-
GASINAN

Land Registration Case No. N-3369
LRC Record No. N-46884

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the 1st Pangasinan Highway District Engineer, the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Filomena Sevidal and Ireneo Baltazar, Lingayen, Pangasinan; the District Land Office No. 1-7 Dagupan City; Josefina Estayo, Artacho St. Lingayen, Pangasinan; and Nerza C. la Torre, 28 Tirad Pass, Caloocan City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Jesus S. la Torre, 28 Tirad Pass, Caloocan City, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan-Psu-228230), situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Lingayen, Province of Pangasinan. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Filomena Sevidal and Ireneo Baltazar; on the SE., by the Provincial Road; on the SW., by property of Josefina Estayo; and on the NW., by Malvar Street. Point "1" is N. 57 deg. 07 min. E., 364.36 meters from BLBM 2, Lingayen, Pangasinan. Area four hundred sixty-two (462) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pangasinan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Lingayen, Prov-

ince of Pangasinan, Philippines, on the 19th day of September, 1975, at 8:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Romeo D. Magat, Judge of said Court, the 31st day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[23, 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF QUEZON

Land Registration Case No. G-207
LRC Record No. N-46973

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Lucena City; the District Land Office No. IV-6, Baler, Quezon; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Lupe Hervera, Ciriaca Barrantes; Ciriaco Tapan, Guillermo Tarraco or Tarranco, Bernardino Tapan, Melchor or Melher Rosas, Lucia Golondrina, Aniana Villabroza, the Minister, Methodist Church, Antonia Aumentado, R. Baldomino and Venancio Abcede, Alabat, Quezon; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Romualdo Luna, Alabat, Quezon, thru Atty. Angeles N. Hirang, Alabat, Quezon, to register and confirm his title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land, situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Alabat, Province of Quezon. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-251207, Sheet 1). Bounded on the NE., by property of Ciriaca Barrantes; on the SE., by property of

Ciriaco Tapan; on the SW., by Caparros Street; and on the NW., by property of Lupe Hervera. Point "1" is N. 48 deg. 30 min E., 175.32 meters from BLLM 2, Alabat, Quezon. Area fifty nine (59) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-251207, Sheet 1). Bounded on the NE., by property of Bernardino Tapan; on the SE., by Calderon Street; on the SW., by Caparros Street; and on the NW., by properties of Guillermo Tarraco or Tarranco and Melchor or Melher Rosas. Point "1" is N. 43 deg. 44 min. E., 185.14 meters from BLLM 2, Alabat, Quezon. Area two hundred sixty four (264) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Quezon, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Gumaca, Province of Quezon, Philippines, on the 16th day of September, 1975 at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Juan B. Montecillo, Judge of said Court, the 21st day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[23, 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF QUEZON

Land Registration Case No. G-208
LRC Record No. N-46974

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Lucena City; the District Land Office No. IV-6, Baler, Quezon; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Valentin Tolentino, Gerarda Luna the Heirs of Eduardo Tolentino and Antonio

Ursolino, Alabat, Quezon; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the spouses Roito Hirang and Angeles Nera-Hirang Alabat, Quezon, thru Atty. Angeles Nera-Hirang, Alabat, Quezon, to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-43187), situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Alabat, Province of Quezon. Bounded on the NE., by Mabini Street; on the SE., by Burgos Street; on the SW., by the Pacific Ocean; and on the NW., by property of Gerarda Luna. Point "1" is N. 79 deg. 57 min. W., 160.72 meters from BLLM 1, Alabat, Quezon. Area two hundred twenty three (223) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Quezon, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Gumaca, Province of Quezon, Philippines, on the 16th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Juan B. Montecillo, Judge of said Court, the 21st day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[23, 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF QUEZON

Land Registration Case No. 205-G
LRC Record No. N-46978

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Lucena City; the District Land Office No. IV-6, Baler, Quezon; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Pedro Carabit or Carabot, Fausto

Lorio and Iluminada Gutierrez, San Narciso, Quezon; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Marcos Rellesiva, San Narciso, Quezon, thru Atty. Natalio T. Paril Jr., Gumaca, Quezon, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-226495), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of San Narciso, Province of Quezon. Bounded on the N., by property of Pedro Carabit or Carabot; on the E., by property of Fausto Lorio; on the S., by Aurora Street; and on the W., by San Jose Street. Point "1" is S. 47 deg. 07 min. E., 57.69 meters from BLLM 2, San Narciso Public Land Subdivision, PLs-413-D. Area one hundred eighty one (181) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Quezon, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Gumaca, Province of Quezon, Philippines, on the 16th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Mapalad A. Nañadiego, Judge of said Court, the 21st day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[23, 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8624
LRC Record No. N-46500

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works Dis-

strict Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, and the Municipal Council, Antipolo, Rizal; Isidro Sierra, Santiago Marquez, Lourdes Guevarra % Exequiel San Juan, Esperanza Carreon, Atilano de Jesus, M. L. Quezon St., Antipolo, Rizal; Pedro Pedragoza dela Virgin Ext., Antipolo, Rizal; Marta Beltran, C. Lewis St., Antipolo, Rizal; Miguel Lindo, Sto. Niño St., Antipolo, Rizal; Cornelio Maricaban, Saguimsim St., Antipolo, Rizal; Apolonio Olayvar and Rodolfo Zapanta, A. Bonifacio St., Antipolo, Rizal; Carmen Medina, Benigno Santos, Manuel Guevarra, Belen Aldava, Carigma St., Antipolo, Rizal; Francisco Lindo, Valentin Jocsen and Jorge P. Ahern, Sta. Cruz, dela Paz, Antipolo, Rizal; Gervacio Sierra, General Luna St., Antipolo, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Agapito Tuazon, Carigma St., Antipolo, Rizal, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

1. A parcel of land (plan Psu-04-001014), situated in the Barrio of Sta. Cruz, Municipality of Antipolo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the N., by property of Agapito Tuazon; on the E., by property of Pedro Pedragoza; on the S., by property of Marta Beltran; and on the SW., by property of Gervacio Sierra (before) Isidro Sierra (now). Point "1" is N. 23 deg. 57 min. W., 1,845.99 meters from BLLM 1, Antipolo, Rizal. Area six hundred forty eight (648) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-171041 Amd.), situated in the Barrio of Sta. Cruz, Municipality of Antipolo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the N., by properties of Miguel Lindo and Cornelio Maricaban; on the E., by Lots 5, 2, 3 and 4; on the S., by property of Agapito Tuazon; and on the SW., by property of Gervacio Sierra. Point "1" is N. 21 deg. 13 min. W., 1,939.55 meters from BLLM 1, Antipolo, Rizal. Area ten thousand six hundred forty seven (10,647) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (plan Psu-001628), situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Antipolo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the N., by Carigma Street; on the E., by properties of Santiago Marquez, Esperanza Carreon and Atilano de Jesus; on the S., by properties of Lourdes Guevarra and Santiago Marquez (before) Agapito Tuazon (now); and on the W., by property of Santiago Marquez (before) Agapito Tuazon (now). Point "1" is N. 27 deg. 08 min. W., 209.61 meters from BLLM 3, Antipolo, Rizal. Area four hundred seventy-seven (477) square meters, more or less.

084978—6

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held at the Fifth Floor, Margarita Building, J. P. Rizal Avenue, corner Cardona St., Municipality of Makati, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 15th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Floreliañ Castro-Bartolome, Judge of said Court, the 18th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8688
LRC Record No. N-46502

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, San Mateo, Rizal; Vicente del Rosario, Leon Manuel Brigida Manahan, Ismael Amado, Benedicta Alberto, Teofila Santos, Conrado San Andres, Matias Manuel, Francisco Manuel, Felix Agustin, Sixto Angeles and Felix Santos, Poblacion, San Mateo, Rizal; Feliciano Amado, Jose Cruz, Lope Cruz and Domingo San Andres, Ampid, San Mateo, Rizal; Flaviana Salamat, Adriana Atanacio, Venancio de la Cruz, Benito de la Cruz, Gavino de Leon, Eduardo de la Cruz, Antonino San Pedro, Jose Antonio, Placido Cruz, Julian del Rosario, Vicente Santiago and Ismael Amado, Sta. Ana, San Mateo, Rizal; Vicente Santiago, Felix Santos, Martin

Angeles, Victoriano Mateo, Pedro Fernando, Dulong Bayan, San Mateo, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, Montalban, Rizal; Isabel Manahan, Vicente Santiago, Ismael Amado and Miguel Cristi, Burgos, Montalban, Rizal; Jose Cordero, 68 4th Street, New Manila, Quezon City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Celsa M. Santiago, 1477 Peñafrancia, Paco, Manila and Isabel Lilia S. Cordero, 68 4th Street, New Manila, Quezon City; assisted by Atty. Mamerta A. Abano, Meycauayan, Bulacan; to register and confirm their title to the following properties:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 575, Cad. 375-D, San Mateo Cadastre, plan Ap-04-000372), situated in the Barrio of Ampid, Municipality of San Mateo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by property of Feliciano Amado; on the SE., by a creek; on the SW., by a creek and property of Domingo San Andres; and on the NW., by a creek and properties of Lope Cruz, Jose Cruz and Feliciano Amado. Point "1" is S. 11 deg. 40 min. W., 1,938.16 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 375-D, San Mateo Cadastre. Area forty six thousand six hundred thirty-two (46,632) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (plan Psu-114080), situated in the Barrio of Sta. Ana, Municipality of San Mateo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by property of Flaviana Salamat; on the E., by properties of Adriana Atanacio, Venancio de la Cruz, Benito de la Cruz, Gavino de Leon, Eduardo de la Cruz, Antonino San Pedro, Jose Antonio, Placido Cruz and Julian del Rosario; on the SW., by properties of Julian del Rosario and Antonino San Pedro; and on the NW., by property of Ismael Amado. Point "1" is N. 86 deg. 34 min. W., 362.89 meters from BLLM 2, San Mateo, Rizal. Area fifteen thousand five hundred fifty eight (15,558) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (Plan Psu-144559) situated in the Barrio of Burgos, Municipality of Montalban, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by property of Vicente Santiago; on the SE., by properties of Vicente Santiago and Ismael Amado; and on the S. and W. by property of Miguel Cristi. Point "1" is S. 44 deg. 37 min. W., 1,512.63 meters from BLLM 2, Montalban, Rizal. Area five thousand eight hundred thirty six (5,836) square meters, more or less.

4. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-114078), situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of San Mateo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Leon, Manuel, Brigida Manahan, Ismael Amado & Benedicta Alberto and Teofila Santos; on the E., by properties of Teofila Santos,

Conrado San Andres, Matias Manuel and Francisco Manuel; on the SE., by the Provincial Road; and on the SW., by property of Felix Agustin and the Maly River. Point "1" is N. 37 deg. 14 min. E., 1,607.56 meters from BLLM 2, San Mateo, Rizal. Area five thousand four hundred ninety seven (5,497) square meters, more or less.

5. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-114078), situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of San Mateo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., and SW., by the Maly River; on the SE., by the Maly River and property of Felix Santos; and on the NW., by properties of the Municipal Government of San Mateo and Sixto Angeles. Point "1" is N. 35 deg. 09 min. E., 1,591.74 meters from BLLM 2, San Mateo, Rizal. Area twenty two thousand five hundred eighty (22,580) square meters, more or less.

6. A parcel of land (plan Psu-184698), situated in the Barrio of Dulong Bayan, Municipality of San Mateo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Vicente Santiago; on the E., by property of Felix Santos; on the SW., by property of Vicente Santiago (claimed by Pedro Fernando et al; and on the W., by property of Victoriano Mateo. Point "1" is N. 31 deg. 32 min. E., 1,498.66 meters from BLLM 2, San Mateo, Rizal. Area five hundred seventy four (574) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held at Branch XI, Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 30th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Ricardo L. Pronove, Jr., Judge of said Court, the 15th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 19th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8758
LRC Record No. N-46970

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Candido Voluntad, Basilio Pasay, Cardona, Rizal; Zoilo Estrella, the Heirs of Candido Voluntad, Benedicto San Juan, Cresencio San Jose, Leon de los Santos, Eduardo Pantaleon, % Estelita Pantaleon, Dalig, Cardona, Rizal; Placida Ocampo, Kababan, Concepcion St., Dalig, Cardona, Rizal; Agustin Umali, Liwanag St., Cardona, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Lope Jota, Kababan, Concepcion St., Dalig, Cardona Rizal, assisted by Atty. Apolinar R. Mangahas, 52-D Rosario, Pasig, Rizal, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan (LRC) Psu-425), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Dalig, Municipality of Cardona, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Cresencio San Jose and Agustin Umali; on the SE., by property of Candido Voluntad; on the SW., by property of Zoilo Estrella; and on the NW., by the Barrio Road. Point "1" is S. 32 deg. 02 min. E., 611.48 meters from BLLM No. 2, Mun. of Cardona. Rizal. Area nine hundred fifteen (915) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held at Branch XXI, Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 11th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Gregorio G. Pineda, Judge of said Court, the 10th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8767
LRC Record No. N-46984

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Pablo Fernando, Araceli Lorenzo, Felisa Baltazar, San Mateo, Rizal; Josefina Torres, 7 M. H. del Pilar Street, San Mateo, Rizal; Ceferino Torres, Valentin del Rosario and Ceferino Lorenzo, M. H. del Pilar Street, San Mateo, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Iluminado Mariano, 7 M. H. del Pilar Street, San Mateo, Rizal, thru Atty. Ernesto V. Encarnacion, 1502 Mendoza, Makati, Rizal, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 1362, Cad. 375-D, San Mateo Cadastre, plan Ap-04-000232), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of San Mateo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the N., by M. H. del Pilar Street; on the E., by property of Pablo Fernando; on the S., by property of Araceli Lorenzo; and on the W. by property of Felisa Baltazar. Point "1" is S. 76 deg. 26 min. E., 87.31 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 375-D, San Mateo Cadastre. Area two hundred forty five (245) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held at the Hall of Justice, Provincial Capitol Compound, Municipality of Pasig, Province of

Rizal, Philippines, on the 29th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Guardson R. Lood, Presiding Judge Branch VI of said Court, the 21st day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8772
LRC Record No. N-46992

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Pilar Manahan, Fidela Ayuson, Jose Bautista, Mariano Ramos, Dorotea Cruz, Montalban, Rizal; Juan Bautista, Cornelio Bautista, Jose Bautista, Catalina Ayuson, Ceferino Ayuson, Gregorio de Rueda, M. H. del Pilar Street, San Rafael, Montalban, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern: .

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Cornelio Bautista, Montalban, Rizal, thru Atty. Romeo G. Velasquez, 360 David Street, Escolta, Manila, to register and confirm his title to the following properties.

Three (3) parcels of land with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of San Rafael, Municipality of Montalban, Province of Rizal. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-228983). Bounded on the NE., by Lot 2 and Lot 3 (claimed by Fidela Ayuson); on the SE., by properties of Fidela Ayuson and Pilar Manahan; on the S., by the Provincial Road; and on the NW., by properties of Mariano Ramos and Jose Bautista and Lot 2. Point "1" is N. 69 deg. 07 min. E., 1,147.16 meters from BLLM 1, Montalban, Rizal. Area one thousand three hundred seventy four (1,374) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-228983). Bounded on the NE., and NW., by property of Jose Bautista; on the SE., by lot 3, (claimed by Fidela Ayuson) and Lot 1; and on the SW., by Lot 1. Point "1" is N. 69 deg. 19 min. E., 1,172.73 meters from BLLM 1, Montalban, Rizal. Area sixty two (62) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (Lot 3, plan Psu-228983). Bounded on the NE. and SE., by property of Fidela Ayuson; on the SW., by Lot 1; and on the NW., by Lot 2. Point "1" is N. 69 deg. 19 min. E., 1,172.73 meters from BLLM 1, Montalban, Rizal. Area two (2) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held at the Branch XV, 5th Floor, Margarita Building, J. Rizal Street, corner Cardona Street, Municipality of Makati, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 30th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Florelina Castro-Bartolome, Judge of said Court, the 11th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[23, 24]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8783
LRC Record No. N-46999

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of

Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Geronimo or Geronima Gocco, Marcelino Leonardo, Severino de la Cruz and E. C. Paz, Las Piñas, Rizal; Felix G. Landicho, Raclangan and Talon, Las Piñas Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by B. F. Homes Inc., represented by its Vice-President, Roberto C. Sicam, Jr., First B.F. Condominium, Intramuros, Manila, thru De Santos, Balgos & Perez by Atty. Marcial O.T. Balgos, 308-311 Doña Narcisa Building, Paseo de Roxas, Makati, Rizal, to register and confirm its title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-04-002091), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Raclangan & Talon, Municipality of Las Piñas, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Geronimo or Geronima Gocco (before) and B.F. Homes Inc. (now); on the SE. and S., by property of Marcelino Leonardo (before) B.F. Homes Inc. (now); on the SW., by an existing Road; and on the NW., by properties of Geronimo or Geronima Gocco (before) B.F. Homes Inc. (now). Point "1" is S. 15 deg. 45 min. E., 5,786.72 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 299, Parañaque Cadastre. Area fourteen thousand four hundred thirty seven (14,437) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held at Branch XXII, 2nd Floor, Justice Hall Building, Capitol Compound, Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 30th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Nicanor S. Sison, Judge of said Court, the 22nd day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 12th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[23, 24] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No-8401
LRC Record No. N-47049

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-I, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Claro Sandoval, Heirs of Justa Desiderio and Jose Desiderio, San Mateo, Rizal; Remedios Santos, Rosita Santos, c/o Remedios Santos, Gen. Luna St., San Mateo, Rizal; Melanio Santos, Jr., Magdalena, Laguna; Nieves Sandoval, Kambal Road, Guitnangbayan, San Mateo, Rizal; Alfonso Manuel, Maly, San Mateo, Rizal; Dra. Adelaida delos Angeles, Leonora delos Angeles, Josefina delos Angeles and Nany delos Angeles, 279 F. Roman St., San Juan, Rizal; Constancio San Andres, 420 Constancia St., Sampaloc, Manila; Margarita Cruz, Vda. de Valerio, M. H. del Pilar, Guitnangbayan, San Mateo, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Paz Joalingco, 420 Constancia Street, Sampaloc, Manila; thru Atty. Bartolome de Vera, San Mateo, Rizal, to register and confirm her title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-217139), situated in the Barrio of Guinayang, Municipality of San Mateo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE. by a Creek (Dry) and property of Consolacion Santos; on the SE. by properties of Alfonso Manuel and the Heirs of Justa Desiderio; on the SW. by properties of Margarita Cruz Vda. de Valerio and the Heirs of Dionisio Sandoval; and on the NW. by properties of the Heirs of Sixto delos Angeles. Point "1" is N. 51 deg. 08 min. E., 2,058.15 meters from BLLM 1, San Mateo, Rizal. Area three thousand nine hundred forty one (3,941) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 17th day of October, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the

time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Carolina C. Griño-Aquino, Judge of said Court, the 15th day of May, in the the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 26th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief Docket Division

[23, 24]

Kawanihan ng mga Lupain (BUREAU OF LANDS)

INVITATION TO BID

Sealed bids, in duplicate copies, for the following cadastral projects will be received by the Director of Lands in Manila at or before the time on the dates indicated below.

Project No.	Location	Area	Calendar Days to finish work	Date of Opening of Bids
		Entire		June 26, 1975
Cad-495-D	Sta. Fe, Leyte	Municipality	489	10:00 A. M.
Cad-497-D	Babatngon, Leyte	-do-	467	-do-
Cad-504-D	Loay, Bohol	-do-	706	-do-
Cad-508-D	Concepcion, Romblon	-do-	432	-do-
Cad-516-D	Camaligan, Cam. Sur	-do-	307	June 26, 1975 2:00 P. M.
Cad-537-D	San Miguel, Surigao del Sur	-do-	1212	-do-
Cad-538-D	Cajidiocan, Romblon	-do-	630	-do-
Cad-539-D	San Fernando, La Union	-do-	883	-do-
Cad-540-D	Paracelles, Mt. Prov.	-do-	900	-do-
Cad-541-D	Banton, Romblon	-do-	414	June 27, 1975 10:00 A. M.
Cad-542-D	San Fernando, Bu- kidnon	-do-	1034	-do-
Cad-543-D	Dolores, Quezon	-do-	522	-do-
Cad-544-D	Boac, Marinduque	-do-	1243	-do-
Cad-545-D	Consolacion, Cebu	-do-	586	-do-
Cad-546-D	Sta. Cruz, Ilocos Sur	-do-	855	June 27, 1975 2:00 P. M.
Cad-547-D	Subic, Zambales	-do-	639	-do-
Cad-548-D	Sta. Fe, Romblon	-do-	442	-do-
Cad-549-D	Siniloan, Laguna	-do-	506	-do-

Bids on the projects will be opened promptly after the time on the dates indicated above in the Office of the Executive Secretary, Committee on Award and Enforcement of Survey Contracts.

Complete pre-qualification papers must be submitted to the Executive Secretary of the Committee on Award and Enforcement of Survey Contracts, Bureau of Lands, Insular Life Bldg., 6th Floor, Plaza Moraga, Escolta, Manila, not later than the close of office hours on June 13, 1975. Confidential statements, bid forms and other particulars may be obtained from the Executive Secretary of said Committee.

Each bid shall be contained in a sealed envelope which shall be plainly marked and addressed as follows:

"The Director of Lands, Manila

Bid submitted by for
to be opened on, 1975 in the Bureau of
Land, Manila."

(Sgd.) RAMON N. CASANOVA
Director of Lands

Metropolitan Waterworks and Sewerage System

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
METROPOLITAN WATERWORKS AND
SEWERAGE SYSTEM
ARROCEROS STREET, MANILA

May 21, 1975

INVITATION TO BID

Sealed proposals, in quintuplicate, for furnishing and delivering, one (1) piece elbow, BB, 48"φ × 90° and one (1) piece CI reducer BB, 48"φ × 42"φ, specification: C110-64 (AWWA), as per specification, will be received in the Office of the General Manager, % the Chief, Procurement Division, Metropolitan Waterworks and Sewerage System, 176 Arroceros St., Manila until 10:00 o'clock A.M. June 23, 1975, at which time and place said proposals will be opened in the presence of all attending bidders.

Copies of the Circular proposal and Specifications can be obtained from the Office of the Chief, Procurement Division, Metropolitan Waterworks and Sewerage System, 176 Arroceros St., Manila, any time during Office hours.

Last day of pre-qualification: June 16, 1975.

(Sgd.) SERGIO M. ISADA
Acting General Manager

Bayan ng Malabon

(MUNICIPALITY OF MALABON)

[FIRST PUBLICATION]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
PROVINCE OF RIZAL
MUNICIPALITY OF MALABON
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

INVITATION TO PRE-QUALIFY BIDDERS

The Municipality of Malabon will receive pre-qualification forms (Pre C-1 and Pre C-2) duly accomplished from general contractors interested to bid for the construction of the "PROPOSED MALABON CENTRAL MARKET", Malabon, Rizal.

Pre-qualification forms duly accomplished shall be submitted to the Office of the Municipal Engineer, this municipality, not later than 4:00 P.M., June 27, 1975.

Financial Requirements:

Cash	P1,000,000.00
Established credit line	P1,000,000.00

Forms and other information regarding the aforesaid proposed project may be obtained from the Office of the Municipal Engineer starting 13 June 1975.

(Sgd.) MAYNARDO R. ESPIRITU
Mayor

[23-25]

Lupon ng Pamumuhunan
(BOARD OF INVESTMENTS)**[FIRST PUBLICATION]**

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BOARD OF INVESTMENTS
ORTIGAS BUILDING, ORTIGAS AVENUE
PASIG, RIZAL, PHILIPPINES

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Section 4 of Republic Act No. 5455, FIRST NATIONAL CITY OVERSEAS INVESTMENT CORPORATION, an American corporation, with office address at A. Soriano Building, Ayala Avenue, Makati, Rizal, % Atty. Hector Martinez, Siquion-Reyna, Montecillo & Ongsiako, has filed with the Board of Investments an application for a license to establish a Philippine branch to manage, supervise and operate its subsidiaries and affiliates and the subsidiaries and affiliates of FNCB, its parent company.

This application shall be considered as approved and such approval shall be effective only after fifteen (15) days from last date of publication and upon submission of proofs of publication of this notice and compliance with the other requirements of R.A. 5455 and its implementing rules and regulations. This is, further, subject to the following conditions:

- 1) That the authority to manage, supervise and operate its subsidiaries and affiliates shall be limited to those firms in which FNCOIC and FNCB have equity investments of at least 30% to protect;
- 2) That the management contracts entered into or to be entered into by applicant firm pursuant to this authority shall be submitted to the Board of Investments and the Central Bank for review and evaluation;
- 3) That the fees stipulated in its management agreement shall from time to time be subject to review by the Board of Investments and the Central Bank for the purpose of determining whether or not the fees may be maintained or reduced as the financial capacity of its firm will permit;
- 4) That it shall submit the required proof of reciprocity; and

- 5) That it shall submit an annual report of its business activities (using the prescribed BOI Form No. 5032) on or before March 31 of each year.

May 22, 1975, Pasig, Rizal, Philippines.

(Sgd.) ROBERTO C. CONCEPCION, JR.
[23-25] Board Secretary

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BOARD OF INVESTMENTS
ORTIGAS BUILDING, ORTIGAS AVENUE
PASIG, RIZAL, PHILIPPINES

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Section 4 of Republic Act No. 5455, INTERNATIONAL HAM & SAUSAGE MANUFACTURING Co., a 100% Chinese-owned domestic partnership, with office address at No. 6 Sanciango Street, Malabon, Rizal, has filed with the Board of Investments an application for authority to convert its form of business organization from a partnership to a corporation. The business is capitalized at ₱1,101,591.97, Philippine currency.

This application shall be considered as approved and such approval shall be effective only after fifteen (15) days from the last date of publication and upon submission of proofs of publication of this notice and compliance with the other requirements of R.A. 5455 and its implementing rules and regulations. This is, further, subject to the following conditions:

- 1) That applicant firm shall submit proofs of dissolution of the partnership, International Ham & Sausage Manufacturing Co.;
- 2) That it shall not increase its production capacity without prior BOI approval; and
- 3) That it shall submit an annual report of its business activities (using the prescribed BOI Form No. 5032) on or before March 31 of each year.

May 26, 1975, Pasig, Rizal, Philippines.

(Sgd.) ROBERTO C. CONCEPCION, JR.
[23-25] Board Secretary

MGA PAHAYAG NA LEGAL AT OPISYAL

(LEGAL AND OFFICIAL NOTICES)

Hukumang Unang Dulugan

(COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE)

[LAST PUBLICATION]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CAPIZ
ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH IV

CADASTRAL CASE No. 5 LRC (GLRO) CADASTRAL
RECORD No. 337 Lots Nos. 1559 & 1560, both
of Capiz Cadastre.—Reconstitution Case No.
1331

SPS. NICOLAS FUENTES AND RAQUEL A. FUENTES,
Petitioners,

NOTICE OF HEARING

To: Atty. Virgilio N. Jiro, Magallanes Street,
Roxas City; Nemesio Fuentes, McKinley St.,
Roxas City; Francisco Dinglasan, Washington
St., Roxas City; and Juan Asis, Mengpong,
Roxas City; and to whom it may concern:

Whereas, a petition has been filed with this Court under the provisions of Republic Act 26, by Atty. Virgilio N. Jiro, counsel for the herein petitioners for the reconstitution of Original Certificates of Title alleged to have been lost or destroyed on file in the office of the Register of Deeds of Capiz, as well as their duplicate copies as a result of the last world war. The said lots are bounded and described as follows:

"A parcel of land (Lot No. 1559, of the cadastral survey of Capiz), situated at Barrio Mongpong, Municipality of Capiz, Province of Capiz. Bounded on the S., along line 1-2, by Lot 1557; and along lines 2-3-4-5, by Lot 1560; on the W., and N., along lines 5-6-7-8-9-10-11, by Lot 1561; on the N., along line 11-12, by Lot 1608; and on the E., along lines 12-13-14-15-16-1, by Lot 1557, all of Cad-133, Capiz Cadastre. Containing an area of twenty two thousand seven hundred fifty three (22,753) square meters."

"A parcel of land (Lot No. 1560, of the cadastral survey of Capiz, LRC Cad. Rec. No.), situated in the barrio of Mongpong, Municipality of Capiz, Province of Capiz. Bounded on the S., along line 1-2, by Lot 1591; along line 2-3, by Lot 1590; and along lines 3-4-5, by Lot 1589; on the W., along lines 5-6-7, by Lot 1561; on the N., along lines 7-8-9-10, by Lot 1559; and on the E., along line 10-1, by Lot 1557, all of Cad-133, Capiz Cadastre. Containing an area of ten thousand two hundred thirty-nine (10,239) square meters."

Therefore, you are hereby given notice that the said petition has been set for hearing on the 2nd day of October, 1975, at 8:00 o'clock in the morning, in the session hall of Branch IV of this Court. Provincial Capitol in Roxas City, in which date, time and place you should appear and file your objections of claims if you have any to the petition.

Witness, the Honorable Fidencio S. Raz, Judge of this Court, this 4th day of April, 1975.

(Sgd.) ANDRES E. DONATO, JR.

[22, 23] Deputy Clerk of Court

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH I, BIÑAN

GLRO RECORD No. 8375—In Re: Judicial Reconstitution of Transfer Certificate of Title No. (N.A.), Covering Lot No. 1209 of the Santa Rosa Estate.

ISIDRO ENTENA, Petitioner.

NOTICE

To: The Register of Deeds, Calamba, Laguna; Atty. Enrique I. Zaño, Rm-416 Paramount Bldg., Rosario St., Manila; Pablo Carpo, % David Carpo, Sta. Rosa, Laguna; Olimpia Zavalla, % Ramon Zavalla Tiongco, Sta. Rosa, Laguna; The Municipal Mayor, Sta. Rosa, Laguna; Donata Amarna, Sta. Rosa, Laguna, and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, a petition was filed under the provisions of Republic Act No. 26 by the above-named petitioner for the reconstitution of Transfer Certificate of Title No. (N.A.) covering Lot No. 1209 of the Sta. Rosa Estate Subdivision in the name of Mariano Satsatin, married to Remedios Ramirez of Sta. Rosa, Laguna; that the owner's duplicate copy as well as the original of the said title were allegedly destroyed during the past war, covering a parcel of land, more particularly described as follows:

"A parcel of land (Lot 1209 of Sta. Rosa Estate) LRC Rec. No. 8375), situated in the Municipality of Sta. Rosa, Province of Laguna. Bounded on the N., along line 1-2, by Road; on the E., along line 2-3, by Lot 1210; on the S., along line 3-4, by Lot 1269; and on the W., along line 4-1, by Lot 1208, all of Sta.

Rosa Estate. x x x; containing an area of seven hundred twenty eight (728) square meters."

Therefore, you are hereby given notice that the petition is set for hearing on September 30, 1975, at 8:30 A.M., before this Court at Biñan, Laguna, on which date, time and place you should appear to file your claim or objection, if any you have thereto.

Witness, the Hon. Herminio A. Avendaño, Judge of said Court, this 11th day of April, 1975 at Biñan, Laguna.

(Sgd.) VIRGILIO T. MARAMBA
[22, 23] Senior Deputy Clerk of Court

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LAGUNA
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH I, BIÑAN

GLRO RECORD No. 8375—In Re: Judicial Reconstitution of Transfer Certificate of Title No. (N.A.), covering Lot No. 1254 of the Sta. Rosa Estate.

MAURA CATINDIG, Petitioner.

NOTICE

To: The Register of Deeds, Calamba, Laguna; Atty. Enrique I. Zaño, Rm-416 Paramount Bldg., Rosario St., Manila; Antero Lazaga % Batis Lazaga, Sta. Rosa, Laguna; The Municipal Mayor, Sta. Rosa, Laguna; Lazaro Baraquio, % Felipa Laserna, Sta. Rosa, Laguna; The Provincial Governor, Sta. Cruz, Laguna; Constanca Manabat, San Antonio, Biñan, Laguna; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, a petition was filed under the provisions of Republic Act No. 26 by the above-named petitioner for the reconstitution of Transfer Certificate of Title No. (N.A.) covering Lot No. 1254 of the Sta. Rosa Estate Subdivision in the name of the Legal Heirs of Bernardino de Castro, Sta. Rosa, Laguna; that the owner's duplicate copy as well as the original of the said title were allegedly destroyed during the past war, covering a parcel of land, more particularly described as follows:

"A parcel of land (Lot 1254 of the Sta. Rosa Estate, LRC Record No.), situated in the Barrio of Dila, Municipality of Sta. Rosa, Province of Laguna, Island of Luzon. Bounded on the N., along lines 9-1, by Lot 1253, Sta. Rosa Estate; on the E., along line 1-2, by National Road; on the S., along lines 2-3-4, by Lot 1255, line 4-5, by Lot 1295, lines 5-6-7-8, by Lot 1278; and on the W., along line 8-9, by Lot 1277, all of Sta. Rosa Estate. * * * containing an area of one thousand seven hundred forty-eight (1,748) square meters."

Therefore, you are hereby given notice that the petition is set for hearing on September 30, 1975 at 8:30 A.M., before this Court at Biñan, Laguna, on which date, time and place you should appear to file your claim or objection, if any you have thereto.

Witness, the Hon. Herminio A. Avendaño, Judge of said Court, this 11th day of April, 1975 at Biñan, Laguna.

(Sgd.) VIRGILIO T. MARAMBA
[22, 23] Senior Deputy Clerk of Court

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF NEGROS
OCCIDENTAL
TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BRANCH VII—SAN CARLOS CITY

CADASTRAL CASE No. (L.R.C.) GLRO RECORD
No. 447 Lot No. 1373, Calatrava—Reconstitution of Title Entry No. 61
ANACORITA APURADO, Petitioner.

NOTICE

To: Pabian Apurado, Guillermo Laguda, Dominador Laguda, Angelina Broce and Juanita Medina, all of San Carlos City, Neg. Occ., Alfonso Fabros and Andres Barnezo of Calatrava, Neg. Occ., and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, a petition has been filed with this Court, under the provisions of Republic Act No. 26, by petitioner for the reconstitution of the original as well as the owner's duplicate of Original Certificate of Title No. (N.A.), covering Lot No. 1373 of San Carlos Cadastre, situated at Calatrava, Neg. Occ., registered in the names of Hermogenes Apurado and Fermina Gegorcio, described and bounded on the N., by Lot 1372 owned by Guillermo Laguda; on the S., by Lot 1744 of Angelina Broce; on the E., by Lot 1747 of Andres Barnezo and on the W., by Lot 1376-B of Juanita Medina.

Therefore, notice is hereby given that said petition has been set for hearing on September 30, 1975 at 8:30 A.M., before this Court, (Branch VII) in the City of San Carlos, Negros Occidental, on which date, time and place, all persons interested in said lot may appear and state their reasons, if any they have, to the petition.

Witness, the Honorable Jose L. Coscolluela, Jr., Judge of this Court, the 28th day of April, 1975.

(Sgd.) BELINDA A. MENCHACA
[22, 23] Clerk of Court

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF NUEVA
VIZCAYA

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BAYOMBONG

CADASTRAL CASE No. 21 GLRO CADASTRAL RECORD
1115 Lot No. 255, Bagabag Cadastre—In Re
Petition for the Reconstitution of the Original
and Owner's Duplicate of Original Certificate
of Title No. NA Decree No. 598107 Pursuant
to Republic Act No. 26, and the issuance of a
New Title.

PLACIDA A. DADÓY, Petitioner

NOTICE OF HEARING

To: The Commissioner of Land Registration,
Quezon City; the Director of Lands, the Dir-
ector of Forestry and the Solicitor General,
all of Manila; The Register of Deeds, the
Provincial Governor; the Provincial Fiscal, the
District Highway Engineer, all of Bayombong,
Nueva Vizcaya; Atty. Vicente V. Duque,
counsel for the petitioner, Bayombong, Nueva
Vizcaya; Gregorio Sarenas, Alfredo Bascena,
Oscar Honorio, and Catalino Ralutin, all of
Tuao, Bagabag, Nueva Vizcaya; and to all
whom it may concern:

Whereas, under the provision of Republic Act
26, a verified petition has been filed with this Court
by Placida A. Dadoy of Tuao, Bagabag, Nueva
Vizcaya, for the reconstitution of the original and
owner's duplicate of Original Certificate of Title
No. NA Decree No. 598107 having purchased the
same from the registered owners Gregorio Sarena
and Magdalena Conde and her ownership thereto
being evidenced by a confirmation of previous con-
veyance and ownership executed by the registered
owners, alleged to have been lost, covering a par-
cel of land now designated as Lot No. 255 of the
Bagabag Cadastre “* * * bounded on the NE. by
Lot No. 256; on the SE. by the Provincial Road;
on the SW., by Lot No. 254; and on the W. by
the Tullag Creek * * * Containing an area of
one thousand one hundred and three (1,103) square
square meters more or less.

Wherefore, you are hereby given notice that the
petitioner has been set for hearing on the 29th day
of August, 1975 at 8:30 o'clock in the morning,
before Branch II of the Court of First Instance
of Nueva Vizcaya, at Bayombong, Nueva Vizcaya,
on which date, time and place you should appear
and file your claim or objection, if you have any,
to said petition.

Witness, the Honorable Gabriel Dunuan, Judge
of this Court this 16th day of April, 1975.

(Sgd.) TRANQUILINO V. RAMOS
[21-23] Acting Clerk of Court

Komisyon sa Patalaan ng Lupain

(LAND REGISTRATION COMMISSION)

[LAST PUBLICATION]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BATANGAS

Land Registration Case No. N-904
LRC Record No. N-46899

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. IV-2, Batangas City; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, San Pascual, Batangas; Sixta de Magnaye % Juan Mendoza, Lauro Marquez % Epifanio Caraan, Isabel Ilagan % Eleuterio Ilagan, Sergio Ilagan, Leonila Caraan % Epifanio Caraan, Daniel Cueto, Sulificio Enriquez, Florentino Borromeo, Sixto E. Mendoza, Epifanio Enriquez and Epifanio Ilagan, Sambat, San Pascual, Batangas; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Renato G. Enriquez, Sambat, San Pascual, Batangas, to register and confirm his title to the following properties:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-213666), situated in the Barrio of Sambat, Municipality of Bauan, Province of Batangas. Bounded on the N., by property of Sixta de Magnaye; on the SE., by the Provincial Road; on the S., by property of Lauro Marquez; and on the W., by property of Isabel Ilagan. Point "1" is N. 18 deg. 46 min. E., 709.84 meters from BLLM 2, Bauan, Batangas. Area seven hundred ninety-six (796) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-213666), situated in the Barrio of Sambat, Municipality of Bauan, Province of Batangas. Bounded on the N., by property of Lauro Marquez; on the SE., by the Provincial Road; on the S., by property of Sergio Ilagan; and on the W., by properties of Leonila Caraan and Isabel Ilagan. Point "1" is N. 18 deg. 40 min. E., 697.75 meters from BLLM 2, Bauan, Batangas. Area four hundred twenty-five (425) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-253603), situated in the Barrio of Sambat, Municipality of Bauan (now) San Pascual, Province of Batangas. Bounded on the N., and S. by properties of Renato

Enriquez; on the SE., by the Provincial Road; and on the W., by property of Isabel Ilagan. Point "1" is N. 18 deg. 46 min. E., 709.84 meters from BLLM 1, Bauan, Batangas. Area four hundred eighty-seven (487) square meters, more or less.

4. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-253603), situated in the Barrio of Sambat, Municipality of Bauan (now) San Pascual, Province of Batangas. Bounded on the N., by property of Renato Enriquez on the SE., by the Provincial Road; on the S., by property of Daniel Cueto; and on the W., by property of Leonila Caraan. Point "1" is N. 18 deg. 32 min. E., 683.97 meters from BLLM 1, Bauan, Batangas. Area ninety-nine (99) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Batangas, at its session to be held in the City of Batangas, Philippines, on the 22nd day of September, 1975, at 8:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Eduardo R. Bengson, Judge Branch I, of said Court, the 4th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

[22.23]

Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. N-170-V-74
LRC Record No. N-46486

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the

Municipal Council, Martin Darilag, Paz de la Cruz, Simeona Avendano, Paulina Avendano, Roberto Agustin, Rufina Aguinaldo, Heirs of Agapito Lorenzo, Josefina Capalad, Feliciano Roque, Felipe Raymundo, Gualberto Raymundo, Nazario de la Cruz, Heirs of Felimon Marquez, Victorio Mendoza, Heirs of Laureano Marquez, Heirs of Jose Bartolome, Pelagio Roque, Domingo Aguinaldo, David Alcantara, Pio Raymundo, Heirs of Severino Contreras, Bonifacio Avendano, Victor Alcantara, Nazario dela Cruz, Obando, Bulacan; Alfonso Jimenez, Fidel San Luis, Eugenio Agustin, Anacleto Avendaño, Agustin Anastacio, Josefa Capalad, Roberto Agustin, San Pascual, Obando, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Valenzuela (Polo), Bulacan; Venancio Capalad, Lawa, Obando, Bulacan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Asuncion Avendano, Rosario Domingo, Diega Avendano, San Pascual, Obando, Bulacan, assisted by Atty. Simeon D. Canlas, Lawa, Obando, Bulacan, to register and confirm their title to the following properties:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1270, Cad. 338, Polo Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000823), situated in the Barrio of Bilog, Municipality of Polo (Valenzuela), Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by property of Victorio Mendoza, et al.; on the SE., by property of the Heirs of Felimon Marquez; on the SW., by property of Pelagio Roque, et al.; and on the NW., by property of the Heirs of Felimon Marquez. Point "1" is N. 46 deg. 16 min. W., 1,714.73 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 338, Polo Cadastre. Area one thousand one hundred nine (1,109) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 583, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000452), situated in the Barrio of Lawa, Municipality of Obando, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by Lot 4285; on the SE., by property of Paz M. dela Cruz; on the SW., by property of Paulina Avendaño; and on the NW., by property of Martin Darilag. Point "1" is N. 23 deg. 18 min. W., 2,011.82 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre. Area nine hundred fifty-two (952) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (Lot 2793, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000838), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Quibadia, Municipality of Obando, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Domingo Aguinaldo and Pio Raymundo; on the SE., by property of the Heirs of Severino Contreras; on the SW., by a creek; and on the NW., by property of David Alcantara. Point "1" is N. 40 deg. 50 min. W., 1,317.55 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre. Area two hundred fifty-five (255) square meters, more or less.

4. A parcel of land (Lot 810, Obando Cadastre, plan Ap-13260), situated in the Barrio of Quibadia, Municipality of Obando, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Rufina Aguinaldo, et al. and Heirs of Agapito Lorenzo; on the SE., by property of Josefina Capalad; on the SW., by properties of Feliciano Roque, Felipe Raymundo, Gualberto Raymundo and Asuncion Avendaño; and on the NW., by property of Roberto Agustin. Point "1" is N. 30 deg. 25 min. W., 1,143.71 meters from BLLM 1, Obando Cadastre. Area two thousand eight hundred fifty-nine (2,859) square meters, more or less.

5. A parcel of land (Lot 811, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000453), situated in the Barrio of Quibadia, Municipality of Obando, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by property of Bonifacio Avendano; on the SE., by property of Gualberto Raymundo; on the SW., by a creek; and on the NW., by property of Nazario dela Cruz. Point "1" is N. 30 deg. 25 min. W., 1,143.71 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre. Area six hundred eighteen (618) square meters, more or less.

6. A parcel of land (Lot 815, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000453), situated in the Barrio of Quibadia, Municipality of Obando, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by a creek; on the SE., by property of Gualberto Raymundo; on the SW., by Lot 816; and on the NW., by properties of Victor Alcantara and Nazario dela Cruz. Point "1" is N. 36 deg. 19 min. W., 1,154.54 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre. Area four hundred sixty-six (466) square meters, more or less.

7. A parcel of land (Lot 816, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000453), situated in the Barrio of Quibadia, Municipality of Obando, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by Lot 815; on the SE., by property of Gualberto Raymundo; on the SW., by a Provincial Road; and on the NW., by property of Victor Alcantara. Point "1" is N. 36 deg. 19 min. W., 1,154.54 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 302-D, Obando Cadastre. Area thirty seven (37) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Valenzuela, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 16th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Eduardo P. Caguioa, Judge of said Court, the 11th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: **GREGORIO BILOG, JR.**
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: **GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO**
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. N-3317
LRC Record No. N-46700

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, Marilao, Bulacan; Serapio Mendoza, the Heirs of Maximo Angeles, Prudencio de la Pasion, Agapito Hernandez, Marcelino Bonifacio, Emiliano Bonifacio and Pantaleon Francisco, Nagbalon, Marilao, Bulacan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Rosario Bonifacio, Nagbalon, Marilao, Bulacan, assisted by Atty. Felizardo S. M. de Guzman, 6th Floor, Shurdut Bldg., Muralla, Manila, to register and confirm her title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 485, Cad-336, Marilao Cadastre plan Ap-24950) situated in the Barrio of Nagbalon, Municipality of Marilao, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE. and NW., by Roads; on the SE., by property of Prudencio dela Pasion; and on the SW., by properties of the Heirs of Maximo Angeles and Serapio Mendoza. Point "1" is S. 31 deg. 51 min. E., 629.60 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 336, Marilao Cadastre. Area four hundred four (404) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Malolos, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 9th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from

contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Jesus R. De Vega, Judge of said Court, the 7th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: **GREGORIO BILOG, JR.**
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: **GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO**
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF BULACAN

Land Registration Case No. N-184-V-75
LRC Record No. N-46940

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Malolos, Bulacan; the District Land Office No. III-6, Tabang, Guiguinto, Bulacan; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Rita Tobias, Exequiel Abad, Leopoldo Exaltacion, Ireneo Decilio, Gasak, Meycauayan, Bulacan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Benjamin T. Araniego, Gasak, Meycauayan, Bulacan; assisted by Atty. Mamerto A. Abano, Meycauayan, Bulacan, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-166646), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Gasak, Municipality of Meycauayan, Province of Bulacan. Bounded on the NE., by a Callejon; on the SE., by property of Leopoldo Exaltacion; on the SW., by property of Ireneo Decilio; and on the NW., by property of Exequiel Abad. Point "1" is S. 43 deg. 47 min. W., 141.78 meters from BLLM 1, Meycauayan, Bulacan. Area one hundred twenty-nine (129) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, at its session to be held at Branch VIII, Municipality of Valenzuela, Province of Bulacan, Philippines, on the 23rd day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your

default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Eduardo P. Caguioa, Judge of said Court, the 15th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CAMARINES
SUR

Land Registration Case No. N-1537
LRC Record No. N-46896

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the District Land Office No. V-2, Naga City; the Highway District Engineer, Baras, Canaman, Camarines Sur; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Luis Perez, Martin Baduya and Augusto Albao, Milaor, Camarines Sur; Maria Cabit and Gregorio Felin, Capucnasan, Milaor, Camarines Sur; and Elena Carullo, No. 9 Malungay, Project 7, Quezon City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Eustaquio R. Manalo, No. 9, Malungay, Project 7, Quezon City, thru Atty. Pedro D. Servano, Bello Building, Naga City, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 573 Milaor Public Land Subdivision, plan As-05-000003), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Capucnasan, Municipality of Milaor, Province of Camarines Sur. Bounded on the N., NE. and NW., by a River; on the SE. and S., by a Road; on the W., by Lot 1361. Point "1" is N. 75 deg. 47 min. E., 6,268.26 meters from BLLM 1, Pls-780, Milaor, Camarines Sur. Area twenty thousand four hundred ninety-nine (20,499) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Camarines Sur, at its session to be held in the City of Naga, Philippines, on

the 12th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Rafael dela Cruz, Judge of said Court, the 19th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CAVITE

Land Registration Case No. N-1152
LRC Record No. N-46813

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Trece Martires City; the District Land Office No. IV-4, Rosario, Cavite; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, and Teodoro Landas, Bacoor, Cavite; Francisco Morales, Gregoria San Jose, Leopoldo Guinto, Rufino Cuenca, Juan Cuenca, Niog, Bacoor, Cavite; Genoveva Mendoza, Panapaan, Bacoor, Cavite; Julian Reyes, Malicsi, Bacoor, Cavite; Leopoldo Rubio, Digman, Bacoor, Cavite; Mariano Sanchez, Caingin, Bacoor, Cavite; and A. U. Valencia Co., Inc., Antonino Bldg., Manila; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Pablo M. Cuevas, Panapaan, Bacoor, Cavite, thru Atty. Bayani L. Bernardo, 38 San Luis Building, Kalaw-Orosa, Sts. Ermita, Manila, to register and confirm his title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Panapaan, Municipality of Bacoor, Province of Cavite. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-252548). Bounded on the NE. by properties of Teodoro

Landas and Francisco Morales; on the SE. by property of Leopoldo Rubio; on the SW. by property of Mariano Sanchez; and on the NW. by a Barrio Road and Lot 2. Point "1" is N. 71 deg. 09 min. E., 231.67 meters from BLBM 21, Panapaan, Bacoor. Area one thousand twenty-six (1,026) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-252548). Bounded on the NE. by property of Francisco Morales; on the SE. by a Barrio Road; Lot 1 and property of Mariano Sanchez; on the SW. by property of Gregoria San Jose; and on the W. and NW. by property of A. U. Valencia and Co., Inc. Point "1" is N. 62 deg. 52 min. E., 213.80 meters from BLBM 21, Panapaan, Bacoor. Area four hundred sixty-six (466) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Cavite, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Bacoor, Province of Cavite, Philippines, on the 29th day of August, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Ricardo P. Tensuan, Judge of said Court, the 11th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 20th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CAVITE

* Land Registration Case No. TG-247
LRC Record No. N-46919

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Trece Martires City; the District Land Office No. IV-4, Rosario, Cavite; the City Mayor, the City Council, the City Fiscal, the City Treasurer, the City

Engineer, Primitivo Mendoza, Mariano Mendoza, Soledad Gamo, Roberto Tolentino, Miguel Gamo, Soledad de Vino, Magdalena Magsino, Tagaytay City; Antonio Cabral, Barrio Sungay, Tagaytay City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Quirica T. Cabral, Barrio Sungay, Tagaytay City, to register and confirm her title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land, situated in the Barrio of Sungay, City of Tagaytay. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 4290, Tagaytay Cadastre, plan As-231). Bounded on the NE., by property of Roberto Tolentino; on the SE., by the National Road; on the SW., by property of Soledad Gamo; and on the NW., by property of Mariano Mendoza. Point "1" is N. 70 deg. 44 min. E., 4,278.20 meters from BLBM 1, Tagaytay Cadastre. Area seven hundred eighty six (786) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 4295, Tagaytay Cadastre, plan As-231). Bounded on the NE., by property of Mariano Mendoza; on the SE., by properties of Soledad Gamo and Primitivo Mendoza; on the SW., by property of the City Government of Tagaytay; and on the NW., by property of Primitivo Mendoza. Point "1" is N. 70 deg. 16 min. E., 4,245.70 meters from BLBM 1, Tagaytay Cadastre. Area one thousand three hundred forty-five (1,345) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Cavite, at its session to be held in the City of Tagaytay, Philippines, on the 15th day of September, 1975, at 9:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Alfredo B. Concepcion, Judge of said Court, the 8th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CAVITE

Land Registration Case No. N-1163
LRC Record No. N-46922

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, the Director, Bureau of Education, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Trece Martires City; the District Land Office No. IV-4, Rosario, Cavite; the Municipal Mayor, and the Municipal Council, Bacoor, Cavite; Loreto Realica and Purita G. Alvindia, Salinas, Bacoor, Cavite; the Manager, Pan American Insurance Agencies Inc. Suite 402, Martinez Building, 378 Dasmariñas St., Manila; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Pacita San Diego, Salinas, Bacoor, Cavite, assisted by Allas Leynes & Associates, by Atty. Cipriano S. Allas, Suite 402 Martinez Building, 378 Dasmariñas St., Manila, to register and confirm her title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 203 Psu-164199 (Sheet 15), plan Swo-04-000103), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Salinas, Municipality of Bacoor, Province of Cavite. Bounded on the NE., by Lot 208; on the SE., by the School Site; on the SW., by a Road; and on the NW., by Lot 204. Point "1" is S. 13 deg. 32 min. W., 2,183.35 meters from BLLM 1, Bacoor, Cavite. Area six hundred ninety-four (694) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Cavite, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Bacoor, Province of Cavite, Philippines, on the 8th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Ricardo P. Tensuan, Judge of said Court, the 31st day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[22, 23]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF ILOILO

Land Registration Case No. N-761
LRC Record No. N-46909

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. VI-I, Iloilo City; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Ajuy, Iloilo; Lourdes Maravilla, Dioscoro Posadas, Jesus Lindero, Mansueto Durban, Adolfo Doronilla, and Chenny Gonzales, Gubaton, Ajuy, Iloilo; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Victoria Parania Vda. de Sonalan, Commission Civil, Jaro, Iloilo City, thru Atty. Nicolas P. Sonalan, Magdalena Building, J.M. Basa, Iloilo City, to register and confirm her title to the following properties:

Four (4) parcels of land situated in the Barrio of Gubaton, Municipality of Ajuy, Province of Iloilo. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-177721). Bounded on the NE., by property of Lourdes Maravilla; on the E., by property of Dioscoro Posadas; and on the SW., by the Gubaton Creek. Point "1" is S. 74 deg. 20 min. W., 6,541.43 meters from BLLM 2, Ajuy, Iloilo. Area forty five thousand nine hundred twenty-six (45,926) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-177721). Bounded on the N., and NE., by Gubaton Creek; on the E., by property of Adolfo Doronilla; on the SE., by property of Jesus Lindero vs. Adolfo Doronilla; and on the NW., by Lot 4 and the Gubaton Creek. Point "1" is S. 69 deg. 33 min. W., 6,534.29 meters from BLLM 2, Ajuy, Iloilo. Area seventy three thousand four hundred seventeen (73,417) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (Lot 3, plan Psu-177721). Bounded on the NE., E., and SE., by the Gubaton

Creek; on the SW., by property of Jesus Lindero; and on the NW., by property of Mansueto Durban (before) Adolfo Doronilla (now). Point "1" is S. 72 deg. 46 min. W., 6,923.86 meters from BLLM 2, Ajuy, Iloilo. Area forty seven thousand five hundred ninety-six (47,596) square meters, more or less.

4. A parcel of land (Lot 4, plan Psu-177721.) Bounded on the SE., by Lot 2; on the SW., by property of Jesus Lindero; and on the NW., by the Gubaton Creek. Point "1" is S. 71 deg. 26 min. W., 6,840.33 meters from BLLM 2, Ajuy, Iloilo. Area five thousand three hundred ninety-seven (5,397) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Iloilo, at its session to be held at the First Branch, Provincial Capitol Building, City of Iloilo, Philippines, on the 16th day of September 1975, at 8:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Sancho Y. Inserto, Judge of said Court, the 24th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest:
GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LA UNION

Land Registration Case No. N-1514
LRC Record No. N-46870

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. I-1, the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, San Fernando, La Union; Adriana Dumaguin Vda. de Lota, Gaudencio Lacsamana, Cabaroan, San Fernando, La Union; Venancia Valdez, Raymunda Valdez, Antonia R. Duclayan, Francisco Duclayan, Santiago Norte, San Fernando, La Union; Loreto P. Estrada, Golden Homes Sub-

division, Catbangan, San Fernando, La Union; Leocadia C. Flores, Santiago Sur, San Fernando, La Union; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Quintin Lete Balcita, Jr., Golden Homes Subdivision, Catbangan, San Fernando, La Union, thru Atty. Pedro O. Arciaga, San Fernando, La Union, to register and confirm his title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land, situated in the Barrio of Santiago Norte/Sur, Municipality of San Fernando, Province of La Union. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-1-000643). Bounded on the NE. by the Provincial Road; on the E. by property of Raymunda Valdez; on the S. by property of Gaudencio Lacsamana; and on the W. by property of Leocadia G. Flores. Point "1" is S. 87 deg. 14 min. E., 1,704.97 meters from BLLM 1, San Fernando, La Union. Area three hundred fifty-three (353) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-1-000643). Bounded on the N. by property of Antonia R. Duclayan; on the E. by properties of Venancia Valdez, et al. and Raymunda Valdez; on the SW. by the Provincial Road; and on the W. by the Municipal Road. Point "1" is S. 87 deg. 46 min. E., 1,702.12 meters from BLLM 1, San Fernando, La Union. Area three thousand one hundred fifty-seven (3,157) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of La Union, at its session to be held in the Municipality of San Fernando, Province of La Union, Philippines, on the 22nd day of September, 1975, at 8:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Angel A. Daquigan, Judge of said Court, the 25th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th of May, 1975.

Attest:
GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO G. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF LA UNION

Land Registration Case No. N-1510
LRC Record No. N-46953

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. I-1, the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, San Fernando, La Union; Roman Ramos, Jose Galvez, Fermin Martinez, Mauro Dumaguin, Mariano Lubrin, Cesaria Galvez, Juan Caccam, the Heirs of Andres Borromeo and Casimira Ochoco, Santiago Sur, San Fernando, La Union, Tomas Cloma, 2115 Leveriza St., Pasay City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Victoria Galvez Cloma, 2115 Leveriza St., Pasay City thru Ailes, Taganas and Associates, by Atty. Orlando O. Ailes, 419 David St., Manila to register and confirm her title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-200708), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Santiago Sur, Municipality of San Fernando, Province of La Union. Bounded on the N., by properties of Cesaria Galvez and Juan Caccam; on the NE., by properties of Juan Caccam and Mariano Lubrin; on the SE., by properties of Roman Ramos, and Juan Caccam, Jose Galvez and Mauro Dumaguin; on the SW., by properties of Mauro Dumaguin and Fermin Martinez; on the W., by property of Jose Galvez; and on the NW., by property of Mauro Dumaguin. Point "1" is S. 72 deg. 51 min. E., 1,768.03 meters from BLLM 1, San Fernando, La Union. Area fifty three thousand nine hundred fifty-eight (53,958) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of La Union, at its session to be held in the Municipality of San Fernando, Province of La Union, Philippines, on the 3rd day of September, 1975, at 8:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

084978—8

Witness, the Hon. Angel A. Daquigan, Judge of said Court, the 10th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO G. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
CITY COURT OF MANDAUE

Land Registration Case No. N-59
LRC Record No. N-46928

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. VII-1, Cebu City; the City Mayor, the City Council, the City Fiscal, the City Treasurer, the City Engineer, CIM Mission Seminars, Inc. c/o Fr. Andres Nowe Inocencio Cuevas, Adriano Soco, Felipe Perez and Rafael Tickling, Mandaue City; and Placido Dueñas, Dalaguete, Cebu; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the Spouses Orencio Cortez and Gloria J. Cortez, Mandaue City, thru Atty. Michael Y. Mayol, Cebu City; to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 15, plan Psu-93692), with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Estancia, City of Mandaue. Bounded on the E., by Lot 27; on the S., by Lots 11 and 12; on the SW., by Lot 8; and on the NW., by Lots 16 and 30. Point "1" is N. 0 deg. 46 min. E., 1,492.31 meters from BLLM 1, Mandaue City. Area seven thousand ninety-three (7,093) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Mandaue, at its session to be held at Branch III, 2nd Floor, Mandaue Presidencia, City of Mandaue, Philippines, on the 25th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application

will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Conrado D. Seno, Presiding Judge of said Court, the 31st day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[22, 23]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF PAMPANGA

Land Registration Case No. N-1226
LRC Record No. N-46650

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. III-1, San Fernando, Pampanga; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Heirs of Gregorio Siñgian, Heirs of Martin Mercado, Heirs of Gregorio Pineda, Mariano Naguit and Ciriaco Tongol Mercado, Minalin, Pampanga; Anselmo Magat, Cesaria Deang, Leonardo Deang, Bienvenido Deang, Heirs of Baldomera Pineda, Juana Mercado, Pedro Magat, Ludivina David, Arceli Valencia, Aurea C. Vda de Deang, Eduardo Deang, Rustico Deang, Lydia Deang, Virgilio Deang, Sta. Rita, Minalin, Pampanga; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the spouses Antonio de la Cruz and Consolacion Deang, Apalit, Pampanga, to register and confirm their title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Sta. Rita, Municipality of Minalin, Province of Pampanga. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 869, Cad. 379-D, Minalin Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000743). Bounded on the NE. by Lot 870; on the SE. by the Municipal Road; on the SW. by properties of Ludivina David and Arceli Valencia; and on the NW. by

the Provincial Road and property of Juana Mercado. Point "1" is N. 16 deg. 40 min. E., 992.54 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 379-D, Minalin Cadastre. Area eight hundred eighty-eight (888) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 870, Cad. 379-D, Minalin Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000743). Bounded on the NE. by property of Pedro Magat, et al.; on the SE. by the Provincial Road; on the SW. by Lot 869; and on the NW. by property of Juana Mercado. Point "1" is N. 18 deg. 32 min. E., 1,002.31 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 379-D, Minalin Cadastre. Area three hundred eleven (311) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pampanga, at its session to be held at Branch VI, Municipality of San Fernando, Province of Pampanga, Philippines, on the 6th day of October, 1975, at 9:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Isaac S. Puno, Jr., Presiding Judge of said Court, the 31st day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
[22, 23]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF PAMPANGA

Land Registration Case No. N-5
LRC Record No. N-46859

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the District Land Office No. III-1, San Fernando, Pampanga; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, and Arsenio David, Guagua, Pampanga; the Heirs of Maximiano dela Cruz, the Heirs of Maria dela Cruz, Arsenio Puno, Paciencia Carreon and the Heirs of Marcos dela Cruz, San Nico-

las, 2.0, Guagua, Pampanga; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court, by the Spouses Julian Bituin and Fidela Cayanan, San Nicolas, 2.0, Betis, Guagua, Pampanga, to register and confirm their title to the following properties:

A parcel of land (Lot 5608, Cad-376-D, Guagua Cadastre, plan As-03-000196), situated in the Barrio of San Nicolas II, Municipality of Guagua, Province of Pampanga. Bounded on the NE. by property of Arsenio David; on the S. by the Betis River; on the SW. by Lot 5607; and on the NW. by the Provincial Road. Point "1" is N. 51 deg. 34 min. E., 1,736.69 meters from BLIM 1, Cad-376-D, Guagua Cadastre. Area three thousand seven hundred twenty-three (3,723) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pampanga, at its session to be held in the Gusali Katarungan Cervantes Subdivision, Municipality of Guagua, Province of Pampanga, Philippines, on the 22nd day of August, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Felipe V. Buencamino, Presiding Judge of said Court, Branch II, the 17th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 20th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

[22.23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF PAMPANGA

Cadastral Case No. N-7
LRC CAD Record No. N-619

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Diliman, Quezon City; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the District Land Office No. III-I, San Fernando, Pampanga; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council,

the Roman Catholic Church, Emilio Bustos,

Carpio Aguirre, Margarita Agustin, Heirs of Valdez L. Angeles, Leodegario Valdez Angeles, Adela S. Anicete, Monico S. Anicete, Jose S. Anicete, Rosa Anicete, Rosendo S. Anicete, Eleuterio Anicete, Jose Balingit, Heirs of Santiago Baluyot, Bartolome Baluyot, Raymundo Bantug, Heirs of Guillermo Bautista, Cecilia Bernarte, Cecilio Bernarte, Simeon Bonifacio, Adelaida Bustos, Heirs of Braulio Bustos, Heirs of David Bustos, Domingo Bustos, Eleuterio Bustos, Marcelino Bustos, Heirs of Mariano Bustos, Raymundo Cabrera, Valentin Cabrera, Agapito Canlas, Emiliano Cano,

Marcelino Cano and Wife, Norberto Cano, Adriano Caparas, Simon Caparas, Dalmacio Carillo, Catalina Casas, Heirs of Buenaventura Castillo, Urbano Castillo, Benito Castro, Natalio Castro, Romulo Castro, Victor Cayanan, Augusto Cruz, Pascual dela Cruz, Venerando Cruz, Aguida Cunanan, Francisco Cunanan, Herminigilda Cunanan, Socorro M. dela Rosa, Silvano Dizon, Bienvenido Dungo, Policarpio Enriquez, Orlando Flores, Domingo Garcia, Gloria Garcia, Irineo D. Garcia, Juana Garcia, Heirs of Segundo Garcia, Maximo Garcia, Eriberto Gomez, Severino Gomez, E. Cano, Valentin Gomez, Florencia de Gonzales,

Eustiquio Guese, Aguida Guevarra, Juan Guinto, Esteban Gutierrez, Eusebio Gutierrez, Jose Gutierrez, Felix Hernandez, Felix Hernandez, Sr., Victorino Infante, Andrea Isip, Domingo Isip, Heirs of Toribia Isip, Crispulo Lacap, Celia Vda. de Lagman, Domingo Lagman, Expectacion Lagman, Juan Lagman, Heirs of Manuel Lagman, Oscar Lagman, Juliana Laquindanum, Iswaldo Laxina, Eladio Leano, Estrella Lilles, Feliza Lilles, Heirs of Sixto Lintag, Zosimo Lopez, Heirs of Aniceto Lubo, Lourdes Lumung, Venancio Macalino, Cenon Macaspac, Eleuterio de Madrid, Gica Vda. de Madrid,

Gaudencio Magat, Leocadio Magat, Margarita Magat, Dominador Mallari, Domingo L. Mallari, Feliciano Mallari, Felix Mallari, Emilio Mallari, Honorio Mallari, Isabelo Mallari, Juliana Mallari, Lorenzo Mallari, Manuel Mallari, Maria Mallari, Norberto Paras Mallari, Policarpio Mallari, Priscilla Mallari, Segundo Mallari, Sotera Mallari, M. Yanga, T. Mallari, Valentin Mallari, Heirs of Telesforo Manalang, Manuel Manalili, Agapito Manansala, Bandog Mandap, Delfin Manuel, Luciano Marcial, H. Navarro, C. Marcos, Victorino Marilla, Manuel Mendoza, Domingo Meneses, Jesus Mercado,

Paulino de Mesa, Cristobal Musni, F. Lilles, Heirs of M. Musni, Gloria Navarro, Mariano Nicdao, Demetrio Olegario, Leon Pacia, Rainerio Paras, Roman Paras, Eufracio Quimbao, Jose P. Quimbao, Pantina Quimbao, Alejandro Quintu, Juan Ramos, Juan Reyes, Heirs of

Leoncio Reyes, Sofronio Roman, Josefa Vda. de Salanga, Eleuteria Magat Y. Salonga, Jose Salonga, Victoriano Salonga, Alejandro Sangil, Bernabe Santos, Proceso Santos, Marcela Solis, Marcela A. Solis, Lino Sunga, Simplicio Sunga, Venancio Sunga, Filimeno Tejelan, Bantug, Gregorio Tulod, Aurea A. Viray, Feliciano Viray,

Francisco Viray, Gertrudes Yabut, Nicolas Yamot, Monico S. Anicete, Aurea A. Viray, Priscilla Mallari, Simeon Bonifacio, Eufrazio Quiambao, Abelardo U. Guinto, Hermogenes Lobo, Ricardo Garcia, Macabebe, Pampanga; Fortunato Agustin, Emilio Anicete, Juan Anicete, Benito Antonio, Martin Antonio, Heirs of Santiago Antonio, Vicente Antonio, Ricardo Balingit, Dionisio Baluyut, Lourdes Baluyut, Nicolas Bernabe, Isaac Bonifacio, Blas Bungay, Valentino Bulos, Agueda Bungay, Macaria Bungay, Pedro Bungay, Tito Bungay, Herminigildo Cabrera, Marcelo Cabrera, Maximo Cabrera, Aguedro Carillo, E. Viray, N. Carillo,

Benito Castro, Cirilo Castro, Dionisio Castro, Domingo Castro, Magdalena Castro, Cosme Centeno, Heirs of Juana Centeno, Dominador Cruz, Heirs of Juan dela Cruz, Dimas Doria, Lauriano Doria, Consolacion Fajardo, Felina Fajardo, Epifanio Cruz, Proceso de los Santos, Pacifico Quiambao, Manuel Reyes, Mariano Reyes, Domingo Garcia, Pepito Garcia, Benito Gomez, Adelaida Guevarra, Arcadio Guevarra, Leling Guevarra, Roman Guevarra, Cesario Guinto, Diego Guinto, Esteban Guinto, Fernando Guinto, Heirs of Fernando Guinto, Maxima Guinto, Heirs of Nasario Guinto, Jose Gutierrez,

Miguel Gutierrez, Heirs of Rufina Gutierrez, Victor Gutierrez, Anicia Ibay, Arcadio Ibay, Atanacia Ibay, Felisa Vda. de Ibay, Pedro Ibay, Petronila Ibay, Heirs of Dalmacio Isip, Eugenio Isip, Felomena Vda. de Isip, Heirs of Ignacio Isip, Marcelo Isip, Mariano Isip, Pedro Isip, Rosendo Isip, Tomas Isip, Jose Puno, Numeriano Lacanilao, Estanislao Lacap, Pablo Lacap, Atanacia Lagman, Felipe Laquindanum, Herminia Laquindanum, Juliana Laquindanum, Rafael Laquindanum, Ignacio Laxamana, Ponciano Lobo, Alejo Magat, Heirs of Calixto Magat, Esperanza Magat, A. Quiambao, F. Magat, Florentina Magat,

Gaudencio Magat, Heirs of Leoncio Magat, Manuel Magat, Teodora Magat, Atanacio Mallari, Avelino Mallari, Benita Mallari, Benito Mallari, Emiliano Mallari, Encarnacion Mallari, Encarnacion Vda. de Mallari, Heirs of Feliciano Mallari, Felix Mallari, Jose Mallari, Monica Mallari, Ponciano Mallari, Tomasa Mallari, Victorio Mallari, Cesario Manabat, Cirilo Manalang, Melencio Manalang, Apolonio Manansala, Candido Manansala, Domingo Ma-

nansala, Ernesto Manansala, Feliciano Manansala, Felicisimo Manansala, Jose Manansala, Heirs of Mateo Manansala,

Maximiano Manansala, Pablo Manansala, Heirs of Ramon Manansala, Santiago Manansala, Tiburcio Maningat, Zoilo Maniulit, Fernando Manlapaz, Francisco Manlapaz, Heirs of Vicente Manlapaz, Domingo Meneses, Francisco Meneses, Heirs of Francisco Meneses, Pedro Meneses, Antonina Musni, Bienvenido Musni, Blandina Musni, Bonifacio Musni, Celestino Musni, Heirs of Leon Musni, Heirs of Maria Musni, Heirs of Maxima Musni, Simplicio Musni, Heirs of Vicente Musni, Lorianio Nucum, Felomena Vda. de Nunga, Ciriaco Ocampo, Fortunato Ocampo, Isidro Ocampo, Melanio Ocampo, A. Ocampo, Abraham Parungao,

Elpidio Parungao, N. Parungao, J. Mallari, R. Parungao, Galigorio Pineda, Alejandro Puno, Servidio Reyes, Teodoro Reyes, Benito Salonga, Hermogenes Salonga, Victoriano Salonga, Andres Santos, Luis Santos, Melquiades Santos, Nicanor Santos, Venancio Silva, Abad Sonza, Jesus Sonza, Pedrito Sonza, Corazon, Sunga, Fernando Sunga, Rafael Sunga, Emilia Vda. de Tolentino, Lazaro Tolentino, Heirs of Leon Tolentino, Alejandro Viray, Catalina Viray, Angel Viray, Sotero Yabut, Fabiana Yambao, T. Mallari, M. Yanga, Heirs of Remigio Yanga, Juan Yumul, Maria Yumul, Heirs of Ramon Yumul,

Heirs of Severino Yumul, Vicente Yumul, Santa Maria, Macabebe, Pampanga; Mateo Agustin, Ciriaco Anicete, Bernardino Baluyut, Ines Baluyut, Heirs of Pedro Baluyut, Arsenio Banting, Nicolasa Bonifacio, Egmidio Bungay, Felix Bungay, Alfredo Cabana, Cirilo Castro, Crispulo Cortez, Emerenciana Cunanan, Mauricio Cunanan, Pablo Datu, Cesario Ducut, Alejandro Flores, Conrado Flores, Bernardo Flores, Francisco Flores, Jose Flores, Roberto Flores, Emilio Garcia, Juliana Garcia, Ignacio Guinto, F. Ibay, E. Hernandez, Anatalia Vda. de Ibay, Apolonio Ibay,

Benito Ibay, Estefania Ibay, Felix Ibay, Laureano Ibay, Heirs of Maria Ibay, Abelardo Isip, Filomeno Lacap, Alejandro Layug, Elpidio Layug, Francisco Layug, Heirs of Juan Layug, Felisa Lilles, Leonardo Lilles, Venancio Macalino, Bienvenido Magat, Dominador Mallari, Jose Mallari, Valentin Mallari, Hospicio Manansala, Heirs of Paulino Manansala, Pelagia Manansala, Proceso Manansala, Marcos Maudap, Maximo Meneses, Heirs of Policarpio Mercado, Gonzalo Munoz M. Meneses, C. Musni, Ruben Musni, Mariano Micdao, Filomeno Nicodemos, Policarpio Nicodemos, Carmen Puno, Heirs of Feliciano Salonga,

Cipriano Yanga, Fortunato Yanga, Monica Sarmiento, Francisco Yanga, Leonardo Yargas, Miguel Yumul, Margarita Yumul, Heirs of

Vicente Yumul, Santo Niño, Macabebe, Pampanga; Heirs of Benigno Alfonso, Marcelino Alfonso, Ruben Antonio, Felix Arcega, Eugenio Atienza, Evaristo Atienzo, Sixto Atienzo, Benito Balingit, Bonifacio Balingit, Jose Balingit, Maximo Balingit, Agapito Baluyut, Carmelinda Baluyut, Daniel Baluyut, Evaristo Baluyut, Francisco Baluyut, Isidro Baluyut, Diosdado Bamba, Benedicta Banzili, Rosita Bautista, Jacinto Bondoc, Isaac Bonifacio, Felix Bustos, Juan Bustos,

Rosendo S. Anicete, Hospicio Cancio, Marcos P. Cancio, Rufino Cancio, Severino Cancio, Sixta Cancio, Sixto Cancio, Felix Balingit, Apolonia M. Baluyut, Florencio Castillo, Bernardo Cunanan, Fausto Dimarucut, Francisco Guese, Felisa Mallari Ibay, Jacinto Salonga, Heirs of Apolonio Cancio, Valentin Mallari,

Vicente Carlos, Heirs of Buenaventura, Castillo, Frisca Vda. de Castillo, Nicolas Castro, Romulo Castro, Josefina Vda. de Coronado, Fausto Cortez, German Ocamp Cruz, Mariano dela Cruz, Heirs of Mariano dela Cruz, Melchor Cruz, Narciso Dagdag, Caster Dimarucut, Maria Vda. de Dolintan, Cayetano Dula, Candida Garcia,

Geronima M. Garcia, Heirs of Sator Garcia, Alejandro Guese, Benjamin Guese, Heirs of Simeon Guese, Jose Guinto, Manuel Guinto, Severina Guinto, Heirs of Venancio Guinto, Mateo Gutierrez, Alejandra Hernandez, Florencia Intal, Angelina Isip, Eduardo Isip, Melanio Isip, Angelo Lacap, Bienvenido Lagman, Catalina B. Lagman, Domingo Lagman, Eduardo Lagman, Emiliano Lagman, Expectacion Lagman, Heirs of Marcelino Lagman, Marcelo Lagman, Raymundo Lagman, Jr., Santiago Lagman, Sotero Lagman, Lourdes Lilles, Quiterio Liyag, Bienvenido Lobo, Guadalupe Lobo, Moises Lobo, Pedro Lobo, Ramon Lobo,

Hilario Macalino, Monica Macalino, Simplicio Macapagal, Heirs of Juan Macaspac, Melencio Macaspac, Fausta Monich Macatino, Marcela Magat, Estanislao Mallari, Francisco Mallari, Ignacio Mallari, Felomena Manansala, Paulino Manansala, Herninia Musni, Francisco Nunga, Domingo Nuqui, Hestor Nuqui, Pablo Pabustan, Clarita Pacia, Heirs of Escolastica Pacia, Jacinto Pacia, Pedro Pacia, Potenciano Pacia, Antonio Pangan, Fortunato Paywan, Crescencio Perez, Felisa Pineda, Felino Polintan, the Minister, Protestant Chapel, Alfredo Puno, Eugenio Te Puno, Gregorio Reyes, Heirs of Perpetua Reyes,

Ponciano Reyes, Cipriano Salonga, Gregoria Salonga, Jose Salonga, Heirs of Benito Sangil, Bernabe Santos, Igmedio Santos, Felipe Sicat,

Julian Sicat, Ponciano Sicat, Flora Silva, Juan Silva, Lorenzo Silva, Pedro Silvestre, Amado Sonza, Asuncion Sonza, Dalmacio Sonza, Francisca Vda. de Sunga, Francisco Sunga, Pamfilo Sunga, Paula Sunga, Apolinario Tallada, Francisco Tolentino, Melencio Tolentino, Nele Urbina, Bienvenido Usi, Emilio Viray, Feliciano Viray, Gregorio Viray, Marcela Viray, Pedro Viray, Toribia Vda. de Viray, Vitaliano Viray, Felix Vivar,

Alfredo Yabut, Anicia Yamat, Fernando Yalun, Florentina Yamat, Abundio Yambao, Andres N. Yambao, Diosdado Yumang, Emiliano Yumang, Felicisimo Yumang, Olimpico S. Yumang, Silvestre Yumang, Simproso Yumang, Bienvenido Yumul, Bonifacio Yumul, Carolina G. Yumul, Fernando Yumul, Maximo Yumul, Miguel Yumul, Pedro Yumul, Santo Rosario, Macabebe, Pampanga; Victoria Andallo, Juan Anicete, Marina Vda. de B. Antonio, Heirs of Joaquin Austria, Lorenzo Baluyut, Santiago Baluyut, Heirs of Santiago Baluyut, Simeon Bautista, Heirs of Hilaria Bonifacio, Policarpo Bungay, Bernardina Bustos,

Urbano Bustos, Elpidio Cabrera, Eulogio Cabrera, Gervacio Cabrera, Marcelino Cabrera, Monico Cabrera, Severino Cabrera, Valentin Cabrera, Maria Cagungun, Heirs of Apolonio Calma, Heirs of Pedro Calma, Aguida Carillo, Heirs of Emiliano Carillo, Heirs of Florencio Carillo, Maria Carillo, Catalino Carreon, Urbano Castillo, Francisco Castro, Pascual Castro, Juan Cortez, Venancio Ducut, Maximo Estrella, Mauricio Flores, Venancio Gaddi, Teodoro Galaura, Anacleto Garcia, Basilia Garcia, Placido Garcia, Alfonso Gutierrez, Alfredo Gutierrez, Arnulfo Gutierrez,

Conrado Gutierrez, Esteban Gutierrez, Ponciano Gutierrez, Miguel Gutierrez, Primitivo Gutierrez, Simeon Gutierrez, Vicente Gutierrez, Sr., P. de Guzman, M. Guinto, Carlos Ibay, Heirs of Carlos Ibay, Felina Vda. de Ibay, Maria Ibay, Mariano Ibay, Remigio Ibay, the Minister, Iglesia Ni Cristo, Tomas Intal, Ambrosio Isip, Heirs of Agapito Lagman, Heirs of Andres Lagman, Angel Lagman, Domingo Lagman, Eduardo Lagman, Heirs of Gregorio Lagman, Felisa Lagman, Heirs of Isidro Lagman, Jesus Lagman, Julio Lagman, Heirs of Miguel Lagman, Pablo Lagman, Ramon Lagman, Feliciano Laquindanum,

Hermingildo Laquindanum, Agapita Laxa, Nicolas Laxa, Lilies Leonardo, Jesus Lobo, Juanita Lopez, Zosimo Lopez, Romana Macaspac, Santiago Macaspac, Alejandro Magat, Amable Magat, Calixto Magat, Heirs of Gabriel Magat, Leoncia Magat, Reymundo Magat, Simplicio Magat, Anastacia Mallari, Augusto

Mallari, Benito Mallari, Heirs of Dorotea Mallari, Emiliano Mallari, Emilio Mallari, Felix Mallari, Heirs of Fernando Mallari, Florentina Mallari, Jose Mallari, Heirs of Juan Mallari, Juliana Mallari, Maria Mallari, M. Yanga, Tomas Mallari, Emiliano Manalad, Francisco Manalad, Natalia Manalad, Felipe Manalang,

Maria Manalang, Pablo Manalang, Pedro Manalang, Perfecto Manalang, Vicente Mendoza, Heirs of Daniel Meneses, Alfonso Meneses, Maria Meneses, Servillana Meneses, Conrado Bustos, Felix Calma, Fidel Isip, Filomeno Lacap, Pascual Magat, Abelardo Oligario, Simeon Meneses, Enrique Mercado, Felix Musni, Ambrocio Isip, Hermogenes Oligario, Pelagio Oligario, Galigoria Pingol, Paula Pingol, Ignacio Quinto, E. Magat, J. Reyes, Heirs of Lorenzo de los Reyes, Raymunda Reyes, Raymundo Reyes, Mauricio Rizal, Felisa Salonga, Jose Salonga, Victoriano Salonga, Alejo Santos, Domingo Santos,

Nicanor Santos, Domingo Sarmiento, Jose Sarmiento, Heirs of Juan Sarmiento, Maximiano Sarmiento, Monico Sarmiento, Pedro Sarmiento, Policarpio Sarmiento, Rosalina Sarmiento, Policarpio Sayco, Benito Silva, Maxima Silva, Agustin Sonza, Pedro Sonza, Matias Sotto, Felipe Sumbillo, Damian Tallada, Eugenio Viray, Heirs of Juan Viray, Victoriano Yabut, Abraham Yanga, Heirs of Cornelio Yanga, Maximo Yanga, Heirs of Simprosa Yanga, Venancio Yanga, Pelagio Yumul, Juan Yumul, Memento Yumul, Heirs of Paulo Yumul, Telesforo Yumul, San Jose, Macabebe, Pampanga; Pablo Bautista,

Cunigunda Benoza, Melencio Benoza, Agustin G. Blanco, Heirs of Jose Blanco, Arsenio Bonifacio, Jesusa Bungay, Patricio Caparas, Frisca Vda. de Castillo, Benita Guese, Heirs of Feliciano Gutierrez, Heirs of Felix Hernandez, Feliza M. de Ibay, Victoriano Infante, Francisco Isip, Ciriaca Magat, Heirs of Lope Magat, Miguel Magat, Encarnacion de Mallari, Pedro Mandap, Leon Nabong, Hermogenes Navarro, Matea Olegario, Heirs of Fernando Perez, Agapito Pineda, Feliciano Pineda, Vienvenido Poblete, Ramon Reyes, Atanacia Sabado, Dominador Sangil, Magdalena Vda. de Sawal, Bernardo Sunga,

Prospero G. Sunga, Renato Tagle, Vicente Tolentino, Leocadio Tubig, Eleuteria Vda de Viray, Felicisimo Viray, J. T. Silvestre, A. Yabut, Wenceslao Balad, Felix Patiag, Florencio Yabut, Rufina Yalun, Patrocinia Yambao, Heirs of Ambrocio Zabala, Pampanga, Pampanga; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Felipe

Juinico, John Lagman, Reymundo Bantug, Miguel Flores, Delfin Manuel, Eleuterio Bustos, Luciano Marcial, Anastacio Mallari, Cecilio Bernabe, Servando Mandap, Cecilia Vda. de Lagman, Felomeno Lacap, Urbano Castillo and Renato Gonzales, Minalin, Pampanga; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council,

Florencia Sioco de Gonzales, Maria Ignacio Arnedo and Maria Magdalena Arnedo, Apalit, Pampanga; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council and Leonardo Hernandez, Masantol, Pampanga; the Minister, Seventh Day Adventist Church, Eloy Alfonso, Melchor Alfonso, Paulino Arcega Ignacio Avelino, Basilia Vda. de Balatbat, Jose Balingit, Pedro Baluyut, Dominador Bustamante, Marcelo Bamba, Diosdado Bamba, Juana Vda. de Bamba, Heirs of Lorenzo Banzali, Jose Basilio, Bienbenido Bautista, Basilio Benza, Heirs of Mariano Benzoza, Lourdes Bondoc, Heirs of Francisco Bonifacio,

Juan Bungay, Heirs of Florentino Cabebe, Gertrudes Calara, Esteban Cancio, Rustico Cancio, Pedro Calderon, Aurellano Caingal, Hilario Cajanding, Bonifacio Canc, Emiliano Cano, Geronimo Cano, Marcelino Cano & Wife, Heirs of Eptacio Carillo, Isidro Carillo, Urbano Castillo, Basilio C. Cruz, Hermogenes Cruz, Luceria Vda. de dela Cruz, Heirs of Mariano de la Cruz, Heirs of Felix de la Cruz, Domingo Cruz, Esteban Cruz, Eustaquio Cruz, Nicomedes Cruz, Heirs of Venancio Cruz, Francisco Cunanan, Masitas Cunanan, Luciano Cunanan, Benito Duenas, Juana Dizon, Agaton Fabian, Lucas Flores, Leon Flores,

Miguel Galang, Jose Garcia, Lucas Garcia, Heirs of Pedro Garcia, Simeon Garcia, Eriberto Gomez, Leonardo Garcia, Luciano Gonzales, Ambrocio Isip, Heirs of Ambrocio Isip, Catalina Isip, Heirs of Bernabe Isip, Demetria Isip, Fidel Isip, Lourdes Isip, Pedro Isip, Proceso Isip, Heirs of Rufino Isip, Heirs of Augusto Jaime, Heirs of Cornelio Laxamana, Hilarion Laxamana, Salvador C. Lerit, Liberato Lerit, Crisanta Lerit, Eladio Leño, Dolores Lintag, Dativo Lozano, Ambrocio Lozano, Castor Magat, Heirs of Saturnino Magat, Heirs of Simon Magat, Natalio Magat, E. Bustos,

Dominador Mallari, Maximiano Manabat, Modesto Manabat, Salvador Manabat, Bonifacio Manansala, Luis Manansala, Mercedes Manansala, Patricia Manansan, Emerenciana Mendoza, Juan Masangeay, Heirs of Modesto Meneses, Pacifico Meneses, Gaudencio Meneses, Antonio L. Meneses, Felix Mercado, M. Mercado, E. Manabat, Gonzalo Mercado, Simeon Meneses, Silvino Mercado, Heirs of Eligio Montero, Heirs of Rufino Nabong, Eusebio

Mutuc, Heirs of Bonifacio Navarro, Juliana Navarro, Jose Navarro, Simplicio Navarro, Leonila G. Nugui, Hipolita Nugui, Demetrio Oligario, Arsenio Ocampo,

Filomena de Ocampo, Rafael Ocampo, Rosenda Ocampo, Brigida Banzali Pacia, Magdalena Palad, Valentin Pacia, Macario Cunanan, Heirs of Tomas Pacia, Leonardo Pangilinan, Silvino Pangilinan, Heirs of Bruno Paras, Heirs of Antonina Paras, Heirs of Mariano Parungao, Norberto Paras, Nicolas Pineda, Jose Perez, Ireneal Palintan, Bienvenido Punzalan, Cornelio Punzalan, Alejo Quito, Marcos Sabado, Demetria Salonga, Diosdado Salangsang, Nicolas Sabado, Jose Sanchez, Nicolas Sanchez, Leocadio Salonga & Wife, Jacinto Salonga, Ciriaco Sangil, Bernabe Santos, Ignacio M. Santiago, Felix Santos, Gavino Santos,

Jesus Santos, Lazaro Santos, Magdalena Santos, Perfecto Santos, Heirs of Proceso Santos, Felipe Sicat, Elias Sawal, Cirilo Silvestre, Pedro Silvestre Victoriano Siongco, Sergio Silvestre, Generoso Suacillo, Etulio Sunga, Felicisima Sunga, Casimiro Sunga, Domingo Sunga, Florentina Vda. de Sunga, Fernand Sunga, Dominga Sunga, Heirs of Faustino Sunga, Juan Sunga, Mateo Sunga, Pamfilo Sunga, Pilar Sunga, Ponciano Sunga, Manuel Sunga, Santiago Sunga, Agapito Trinidad, Melquiades Trinidad, Esegino Viray, Felix Viray, Isabel Vda. de Viray, Ramon P. Viray, Heirs of Isabelo Wage,

Nicolas Wage, Marcos Wage, Cecilio D. Yambao, Juan Yabut, Sosente Yabut, Jorbe Yambao, Epifanio Yambao, Mateo M. Yambao, Lolong Yambao, Antonio Yumang, Macaria Vda. de Yumang, Felicisimo Yumang, Heirs of Jose Yumang, Marcelo Yumang, Maxima Vda. de Yumul, Esteban Zabala, Elena P. Zabala and Engracio Zabala, San Roque, Macabebe, Pampanga; Antonio Aguila, Heirs of Aquilina Aguilar, Mercedes Aguilar, Francisco Agustin, Ruben Antonio, Alejandro A. Arcega, Heirs of Alejandro Arcega, Guillermo Arcega, Jose Arcega, Raymunda Arcega, Vicente Arcega, Pedro Balingit, Pablo Bautista,

Dominador Bonifacio, Lucia Bonifacio, Francisco Bonifacio, Juan Bungay, Eliseo Bungay, Agapito Bungay, Conrado Bustos, Heirs of Crispino Bustos, Dalmacio Bustos, Heirs of Rufina Bustos, Pedro B. Bustos, Heirs of Proceso Bustos, Emerenciana Bustos, Felix Bustos, M. Cabrera, H. Cabrera, Hermogenes Capulong, Claro Capulong, Vicente Capulong, Miguel Carreon, Nicanor Carreon, Florencio Castro, Heirs of Andres de la Cruz, Fortunato Cortez, Braulio Cruz, Lucio Cruz, Juana de la Cruz, Nicasia Cruz, Heirs of Natalio de la Cruz, Pelagia de la Cruz, Felipe Dizon, Ambrocio Garcia,

Manuel Guanzon, Jr., Pablo Bautista, Anastacio Gutierrez, Felipa Gutierrez, Tomas Intal, Ambrocio Ignacio, Angelina Isip, Fidel Isip, Laprosa Isip, Pablito Isip, Heirs of Francisco Isip, Maximo J. Garcia, Ponciano Isip, Bernabe Juliano, Antonio Juliano, Santiago Lacanlale, Heirs of Pastor Lapira, Heirs of Eleuterio de Leon, Heirs of Pablo Lobo, Pedro Lobo, Heirs of Severo Lobo, Severo Lobo, Pedro R. Lobo, Domingo Lozano, Ramon Lobo, Braulio Lopez, Antonio Macaspac, Abelardo Macalino, Romulo Macalino, Heirs of Higino Macalino, Venancio Macalino, Maria Vda. de Magat, Venancio Malino, Josefa Mallari,

Emilio Manabat, Heirs of Pablo Manabat, Bonifacio Manansala, Diosdado Manansala, Angel Manansala, Gregorio Manansala, Pascual Maniulit, Flaviano Manuguid, Angela Maniulit, Heirs of Gabino Maniulit, Heirs of Victoriano Maniulit, Anastacio Masangcay, Heirs of Juan Masangcay, Anastacia Masangkay, Heirs of Pedro Manuguid, Heirs of Eugenio Meneses, Cristino de Mesa, Gregorio M. Mercado, Antonio Mercado, Jose Mercado, Jose Nabong, Apolonio Nicdao, David Nicdao, Meliten Nicdao, Martin Pacia, Mercedes Pacia, Felimon Pacia, Mateo Pacia, Herminio Pacia, Heirs of Valentin Pacia, Valentin Pacia, Victoria Pacia,

Florentino Paras, Cecilio Parungao, Narciso Parungao, Candido Pasamano, Felino Polintan, Isabelo Quiambao, Rufina Quiambao, Heirs of Pantaleon Ramos, Juana Ramos, Lazaro Ramos, Heirs of Jacinto Salangsang, Nicasio G. Salonga, Heirs of Pedro Sanchez, Heirs of Juan Sanchez, Pascual Sangil, Braulio Sicat, Heirs of Valentin Santos, Gregorio Sicat, Heirs of Juan Sicat, Alberto Sunga, Eligio Sunga, Juan Sunga, Heirs of Natalio Sunga, Tranquilino Sunga, Felipe Tigla, Alejandro Teodoro, Pablo Trinidad, Benigno Viray, Estanislao Viray, Heirs of Esteban Viray,

Cecilio Viray, Demetria Viray, Fernando Viray, Heirs of Hipolito Sicat, Gregorio Viray, Florencio Viray, Alejandro Wage, Olimpio Wage, Agapito Yamat, Lorenzo Yamat and Heirs of Basilio Zabala, San Juan, Macabebe, Pampanga; Leon Agustin, Apolinario Anciete, Juan Anciete, Jose Balingit, Ines Baluyut, Policarpio Bautista, Lino Bernarte, Placido M. Bernarte, Marcelino Benozza, Maximiano Bautista, Simeon B. Bautista, Zacarias Bonifacio, Damaso Caballa, Agapito Calanoc, Victoriano Cancio, Fausto Carillo, Natividad Carillo, Frisca Vda. de Castillo, Abelardo M. Castro, Agustin Castro, Cornelio Castro, Heirs of Leocadio Castro, Benito Canteno,

Cosme Centeno, Heirs of Placido Centeno, Jesus Lobo, Paz Lobo, Fausta Lopez, Liborio Magat, Monica Gutierrez, Domingo Isip, Flo-

rentino Isip, Heirs of Paulino Magat, Mariano Dimarucut, Heirs of Agapito Meneses, Francisco Magat, Heirs of Mauricio Magat, Honorio Meneses, Heirs of Pedro Cortez, Bonifacio de la Cruz, Heirs of Ambrocio de la Cruz, Santiago Centeno, Ceferino de la Cruz, Heirs of Juan de la Cruz, Adriano Cunanan, Pablo Datu, Ramon Dimarucut, Vidal Dimarucut, Aguida Deang, Francisco Dimarucut, Castro Dimarucut, Ignatio Dimarucut, Florencio Dungca, Fernando Dungca, Cornelia Y. Dungca,

Lorenza Enriquez, Heirs of Isabelo Gabriel Felisa Gabriel, Heirs of Sixto Gabriel, Andres Gabriel, Amado Gaddi, Victoria Gabriel, Joaquin Gabriel, Benjamin Gabriel, Heirs of Gregorio Garcia, Moises Garcia, Narciso Guarin, Nicolas Guinto, Heirs of Nicolas Guinto, Olimpia Guinto, Heirs of Brigida Gutierrez, Gaviña Ibay, Heirs of Jose Ibay, Andrea Isip, Amado B. Isip, Estrella Isip, Fortunato Isip, Feliciano R. Isip, Eulogio Isip, Juan Y. Isip, Francisco Isip, Julian Isip, Heirs of Modesto Isip, Gaudencio Isip, Heirs of Segundo Isip, Heirs of Vivencio Q. Isip, Heirs of Irene Lagman,

Alejandra Lakindamum, Leonardo Lilles, Fernando Lilles, Esteban Lilles, Cirilo Lingad, Anatolia Macatuno Quiambao, Jesus E. Magat, Leoncio Magat, Francisco Magat, Jesusa L. Magat, Heirs of Adriano Magat, Eusebio Magat, Eduardo Magat, Augusto Mallari, Victor Mallari, Florencio Manalad, Felix Manansala, Buenaventura Manansala, Heirs of Mateo Manansala, Benito Manansala, Amador Manansala, Heirs of Mateo Manansala, Venancio Manansala, Ulpiano Marucut, Manuel Mendoza, Pacifico Meneses, Andres Meneses, Fortunato Menese, Pablo Mercado, Isidro Musni, Antonio Musni, Fermin Musni, Bernardo Musni, Gonzalo Musni, Cesaria Musni, Heirs of Eugenio Musni,

Jesus Musni, Enrique Nalus, Nicanor Nalus, Lucina Musni, Carmelo Nalus, Anacleto Nicdao, Antonia P. Nicdao, Heirs of Canuto Nicdao, Eleuterio Nicdao, Mariano Nicdao, Pelagio Munga, Francisco Nunga, Hermogenes Ocampo, Heirs of Pedro Ocampo, Alejandro Ocampo, Pedro Palintan, Rufino Palad, Isaias Pangilinan, Ponciano Parianao, Cenon Parungao, Evaristo Pineda, Fortunato Pineda, Heirs of Perfecto Pineda, Godofredo Polintan, Eufrazio Quiambao, Fernando Quiambao, Faustino Quiambao, Arcadio Quiambao, Filomena Quiambao, Jose Quiambao, Domingo Quiambao, Emilia Quiambao, Irene Reyes,

Juanita Quiambao, Vivencio Quiambao, Hilario Quiambao, Mauricio Reyes, Quintin Reyes, Loreto M. Reyes, Heirs of Lorenzo de los Reyes, Antonio Sabado, Artemio Sabado, Heirs of Osmundo Sabado, Segunda Atienza

Vda. de Sarmiento, Heirs of Juan Salonga, the Principal, San Rafael Elementary School, Abelardo Santos, Natalio Santos, Segunda Sarmiento, Marcial P. Sunga, Panfilo Sunga, Heirs of Macario Sunga, Macario Sunga, Bernardo Tolentino, Nicanor Trinidad, Segundo Toledo, Norberto Tolentino, Heirs of Luis Tolentino, Martin Viray, Heirs of Agapito Yumul, Carmen Yumul, Faustino Yumul,

Felimon Yumul, Washington Yumul, Francisco Yumul and Petronila Zorilla, San Rafael, Macabebe, Panpanga; Carpio Aguirre, Deogracias Aguirre, Lourdes Aguirre, Sofia N. Vda. de Aguirre, V. Aguirre, Gregoria Aguirre, Juan Alfonso, Martiniano Aquino, Melencio Atienza, Francisco Atienzo, Heirs of Bernardo Balingit, Bonie Balingit, Eleno Balingit, Heirs of Juan Balingit, Salvador Balingit, Federico Baluyut, Heirs of Maria Baluyut, Leoncio Baluyut, Raymundo Bantug, Heirs of Maximino Basilio, Dorotea G. Bautista, Regina Vda. de Bautista, Mercedes Benozza, Victor Benozza, Emigdio Bondoc, Felimon Bonifacio,

Faustino Bonifacio, Lolita Salonga Bonifacio, Heirs of Cirilo Bungay, Pelagia Vda. de Bungay, Cesario Cabrera, Heirs of Miguel Cancio, Emiliano Cano, Fernando Cano, Heirs of Jacinto Cano, Esteban Cano, Adriano Caparas, Pantaleon Cano, Maximo Caparas, Simon Caparas, Pedro Crisostomo, Jose Cruz, Rosalina Vda. de Cruz, Dominador Dizon, Lorenza Enriquez, Leocadio Flores, Irene Garcia, Heirs of Honorio Garcia, Feliciano Garcia, Lucas Garcia, Jose Garcia, Heirs of Pedro Garcia, Pedro Garcia, Primo C. Garcia Heirs of Fernando C. Guiao,

Eugenio Guevarra, Lorenzo Guinto, Servilano Guinto, Alfredo Gutierrez, Benito C. Gutierrez, Andres Isip, Geronimo Isip, Remegio Lagman, Roman Laxa, Carmen Laxamana, Ignacio Laxamana, Lino Laxamana, Crispin Laxamana, Juan Laxamana, Marcela Lozano, Bartolome Macalino, Magdalena Lucio, Lorenzo Maglalang, Jesus Maglalang, Juliana Mallari, Braulio Manabat, Cenon Manabat, Eligio Manabat, Narciso Manabat, Lucas Mandap, Alejo Manluco, Pablo Mandap, Raymundo Maniacup, Antonio Marcelino, Heirs of Pelagio Mendoza, Heirs of Graciano Nabang, Teofista Vda. de Natinga, Daniel Navarro, Julian Navarro,

Jose Navarro, Melquiades Navarro, Maria Navarro, Macaria Nuqui, Pedro Pabustan, Simplicio Pabustan, Rosendo Palad, Heirs of Julian Paras, Rufino Palad, Aurelio Paras, Abundio Paras, Melencio Panlillo, Luis Paras, Ciriaco Patiag, Felix Patiag, Maria Pineda, Melencio Pingol, Amado Pinlac, Pedro Punzalan, Teodora Ramos, Marina Sabado,

Jacinta Salonga, Anastacio Sanchez, Eulogio Santiago, Heirs of Proceso Santos, Proceso Santos, Santiago Santos, Gaudencio Silva, Norberto Silva, Andres Silvestre, Dorotea Sunga, Heirs of Dorotea Sunga, Jose Sunga, Regina Sunga, Felimon Taylon, Heirs of Zoilo Sunga,

Vicente R. Sunga, Eustaquio Tulud, Bienvenido Viray, Margarita Vda. de Viray, Feliciano Viray, Marina Viray, Heirs of Emiliano Yambao and Mariano Sunga, San Gabriel, Macabebe, Pampanga; Juan Alfonso, Eleuterio Aniciete, Ester Aniciete, Apolonio Balboa, Catalino Balingit, Macario Balingit, Bienvenido Bungay, Emilio Bungay, Dalmacio Bustos, Serapio Bungay, Pedro Bungay, Eleuterio Bustos, Felix Bustos, Vitaliano Bustos, Frisca Vda. de Castillo, Heirs of Basilio Castro, Clodualdo Cunanan, Francisco Cunanan, Felimon Cunanan, Claro Cunanan, Benigno Cunanan,

Luciano Cunanan, Heirs of Marcela Cunanan, Geronimo Cunanan, Rufina Cunanan, Francisco Cunanan, Heirs of Sergio Cunanan, Rita Cunanan, Pablo Datu, Pedro Cunanan, Emilia Dizon, Melencia Espiritu, Melchor Flores, Remigio Flores, Daniel Garcia, Apolonio Garcia, Alfonso Guinto, Alejandro Guinto, Emerenciana Guinto, Julian Guinto, Venancio Guinto, Manuel Guinto, Rafael Guinto, P. Guinto, F. Musni, Rustico Guinto, Antonio Gutierrez, Alejandro Hernandez, Nicolas Ignacio, Heirs of Emilio Isip, Fidel Isip, Maria Isip, Jose Isip, Heirs of Natalia Lobo, Dominador Lobo, Leonardo Lilles, Jesus Lobo,

Venancio Macalino, Angelo Magat, Angel Magat, Bonifacio Magat, Catalina Mallari, Catalino Mallari, Encarnacion Mallari, Josefa Mallari, Maria Mallari, Pedro Mallari, Angelo Manalang, Marcela Medina, Felisa Medina, Jose Mercado, Felixberto Musni, Heirs of Celedonio Musni, Fernando Musni, Leon Nabong, Andrea Nicdao, Marcos Nicdao, Bonifacio Ocampo, Maria Pineda, Francisco Quiambao, Fernando Quiambao, Consolacion Quiambao, Heirs of Melencio Quiambao, Crispina Rivera, Juan Reyes, the Parish Priest, Roman Catholic Church, Filimeno Sabado, Bienvenido Sarmiento, Benita Sarmiento,

Roberto Silva, Heirs of Jacinto Sonza, Angeles Sunga, Alejo Tolentino, Anacleto Tolentino, Escolastica Tolentino, Gregoria Tolentino, Mateo Tolentino, Florencio Trinidad, Placido Trinidad, and Juan Yabut, Santa Lutgarda, Macabebe, Pampanga; Pilar Balingit Vda. de Benozza, Emiliano Cano, Paulino Caparas, Rosalina Vda. de la Cruz, Anacleto Garcia, Pedro Garcia, Valentina Gomez, Heirs of Eriberto Gomez, E. Gonzales, the Parish Priest, Roman Catholic Church, Anastacio Hernandez, Elena Hernandez, Emerenciana Her-

nandez, Fortunato Hernandez, Lourdes Hernandez, Pedro Hernandez, Lope Magat,

Fortunata Maglalang, Fortunato Maglalang, Claudio Maglalang, Lorenzo Maglalang, Camela Manabat, Alejandro Mandap, Heirs of Alejandro Mandap, Pablo Navarro, Manuel Pabustan, Aurelio Pangilinan, Cecilia Pangilinan, Leoncio Paras, Filomeno Reyes, Roberto Silva, Segunda Silvestre, Pelagio Sunga, Pedro Yambao, Saturnino Yumul and Eugenio Zabala, Cadarangtete, Macabebe, Pampanga; Heirs of Eliseo Bondoc, Conrado Bustos, Filomeno Bustos, Dominga Caneio, Eulogio Castillo, Francisco Castillo, Domingo Cunanan, Pablo Datu, Emilia Dizon, Maria Ducut, Leonardo Lilles, Lorenza Enriquez, Lorenzo Enriquez,

Daniel Garcia Sr., Teodora Dizon, Geronima Garcia, Felix Hernandez, Sr., Heirs of Hospicio Hernandez, Eduardo Lagman, Toribia Lagman, Segunda Lagman, Eladio Leño, Leodegario Lilles, Venancio Marcelino, Juan Masangkay, Heirs of Juan Masangkay, Magno Nuqui, Antonio Pacia, Gerundio Pacia, Honorio Pacia, Toribia Pacia, V. Pacia, T. Lagman, Mercedes Pacia, Ufemia Pacia, Maria Pineda, Heirs of Arsenio Santos, Celerina Yumang, Faustino Yumul, Mataginti, Macabebe, Pampanga; Evaristo Vda. de Baluyot, Agustin Blanco, Margarita Bugnay, Maria Cruz, Bienvenido Dungo, Domingo Flores, Higino Gomez, Belen Hernandez,

Lucia B. Isip, Gregorio Limpin, Heirs of Maria Macalino, Maria P. Macalino, Santiago Manansala, Pedro Y. Naluz, Isaias Pangilinan, Antonio Pangan, Sr., Heirs of Manuel Pangan, Heirs of Rafael Panganiban, Heirs of Apolonio Pinlac, Jose M. Quiambao, Arturo Reyes, Heirs of Agapito Sangalang, Bernabe Santos, Zenaida Santos, Feliciano Viray, Jose Viray, Domingo Yabut, Heirs of Lazaro Yambao, Juan Yabut and Asuncion Yambao, Sta. Rita, Macabebe, Pampanga; Leonardo Yabut, Emerenciana Mallari, Jose Balingit, Raymundo Bantug, Isaac Bautista, Arsenio Bonifacio, Dominador Bustos, Heirs of Dalmacio Bustos, Marcelino Bustos, Buenaventura Castillo, Emilio Castillo,

Urbano Castillo, Ramon Cortez, Segundo de la Cruz, Ignacio Dizon, Antonio Flores, Eriberto Gomez, Enia Hernandez, Felix Hernandez, Sr., Felix Hernandez, Felipe Juyco, Apolonio Lacap, Encarnacion Mallari, Maria Mallari, M. Mendoza, F. Guanzon, Juan Paras, Heirs of Arsenio Santos, Felino Sunga, Patricio Sunga, Virgilio Velasco and Jorge Puno, Saplad David, Macabebe, Pampanga; M. Arnedo, R. Polintan, Brigida Bondoc, Gerarda Bondoc, Buenaventura Castillo, Juan dela Cruz, Francisco Isip, Francisco Lacsa, Deo-

gracias Manacop, Zacarias Manacop, Nicolas Manansala, R. Polintan, Maria Palad, Juan Paras, E. Cano, Proceso de los Santos, Heirs of Remigio Sunga, Simplicio Sunga and Jorge Yambao, Tacacan, Macabebe, Pampanga; Jose Bustos, Heirs of Jose Bustos, Aguido Carillo, Pablo Datu, Leocadio Fajardo, Honorato Guinto, Eliodoro Guinto, Venancio Guinto, Heirs of Venancio Guinto, Bibiana Mallari, Augusto Mallari, Catalina Mallari, Domiciano Mallari, Juliana Mallari, Francisco Mallari, Maria Mallari, Heirs of Maria Mallari, Heirs of Segundo Mallari, Valentin Mallari and Regina Salonga, Castuli, Macabebe, Pampanga;

Whereas, a petition has been presented to this Court by the Director of Lands, praying that the titles to the following described lands or the various parcels thereof, be settled and adjudicated:

A parcel of land, with the buildings and improvements thereon, containing an area of 2247.5548 hectares, more or less, divided into 2,074 lots, situated in the Municipality of Macabebe, Province of Pampanga, the same being designated as Macabebe Cadastre, Cad. 378-D, Case 2. Bounded on the N., by the Minalin Cadastre, Cad. 379-D, Case 2, properties of Felipe Juinico, John Lagman, Raymundo Bantug, Miguel Flores, Delfin Manuel, Eleuterio Bustos, Luciano Marcial, Anastacio Mallari, Cecilio Bernabe, Servando Mandap, Cecilia Vda. de Lagman, Filomeno Lacap, Urbano Castillo, Renato Gonzales and the Lamit Creek; on the NE., by the Apatit Cadastre, Cad. 150, Case 2, properties of Florencia Sioco Vda. de Gonzales, Maria Ignacio Arnedo, Maria Magdalena Arnedo, the Lamit Creek, the National Road; on the SE., by the Macabebe Cadastre, Cad 378D, Case 1, Masantol Cadastre, Cad 305-D, Case 1, Masantol Cadastre, Cad 305-D, Case 2, property of Leonardo Hernandez, the National Road, Barrio Road and the Quelumoran River; on the S., by the Masantol Cadastre Cad 305-D, Case 2 and the Quelumoran River; on the SW., by the Macabebe Cadastre Cad 378-D, Case 3, properties of Emilio Bustos and the Roman Catholic Church and the Sumangal River; on the W., by the Macabebe Cadastre, Cad 378-D, Case 3 and the Sumangal River; and on the NW., by the Macabebe Cadastre, Cad 378-D, Case 3, the Minalin Cadastre, Cad 379-D, Case 2, and the Sumangal River, Saguin River, Libutim Creek, the Sapang Matahahib, Sapang Sapinit, Saplad River and the Sampaloc River.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pampanga, at its session to be held at the Old Court Building, in the Municipality of San Fernando, Province

of Pampanga, Philippines, on the 15th day of August, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to present such claims as you may have to said lands or any portion thereof, and to present evidence if any you have, in support of such claims.

And unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the titles to the lands will be adjudicated and determined in accordance with the prayer of the petition and upon the evidence before the Court, and you will be forever barred from contesting such petition or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Lorenzo R. Mosqueda, Judge of said Court, the 20th day of January, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 24th day of March, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

[22, 23]

Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF
PANGASINAN

Land Registration Case No. A-328
LRC Record No. N-46901

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, Lingayen, Pangasinan; the District Land Office No. 1-7, Dagupan City; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Teresita B. Villamayor, the 3rd Pangasinan Highway District Engineer, Leonida Peralta, Alaminos, Pangasinan; Juan Navarro, Dalmacio de Ocampo, Flora C. Casipit, Cesar Casipit, Jose Platon, Heirs of Sixto Navarro, Casburan, Alaminos, Pangasinan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Rodolfo Villamayor, Poblacion, Alaminos, Pangasinan, to register and confirm his title to the following properties:

Two (2) parcels of land, situated in the Poblacion, Municipality of Alaminos, Province of Pangasinan. The boundaries and areas of said parcels are as follows:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 701, Cad. 325-D, Alaminos Cadastre, plan Ap-1-00112). Bounded on the NE. and SE. by the National Road; on the SW. by Lot 587; and on the NW. by property of Cezar Casipit. Point "1" is S. 54 deg. 59 min. E., 484.18 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 325-D, Alaminos Cadastre. Area seventy-four (74) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 587, Cad. 325-D, Alaminos Cadastre, plan Ap-1-00112). Bounded on the NE. by Lot 701; on the SE. by property of Jose Platon; on the SW. by property of the Heirs of Sixto Navarro; and on the NW. by property of Cezar Casipit. Point "1" is S. 54 deg. 59 min. E., 484.18 meters from BLLM 1, Cad. 325-D, Alaminos Cadastre. Area seven hundred thirty-six (736) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pangasinan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Alaminos, Province of Pangasinan, Philippines, on the 29th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Gregorio A. Legaspi, Judge of said Court, the 2nd day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
 By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
 [22, 23]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
 COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF
 PANGASINAN

Land Registration Case No. A-329
 LRC Record No. N-46915

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, Lingayen, Pangasinan; the District Land Office No. I-7, Dagupan City; the 3rd Pangasinan Highway

District Engineer, the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Juan Rausa, Ignacio Rabina, Florencio Rangel, Eugenio Fenix, Anastacio Viray, Vicente Rabina, Teodoro Bialsa, Alejandro Rabina, Simeon Rabina, Ciriaco Rabina, Praxedes Rabina, Alaminos, Pangasinan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the spouses, Rodrigo R. Rausa and Aurora Villamil, Basa Compound, E. Rodriguez, Jr. St., Libis, Quezon City, assisted by Atty. Augusto F. del Rosario, 1132 Pedro Gil, Paco, Manila, to register and confirm their title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-84445), with the improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Lucap, Municipality of Alaminos, Province of Pangasinan. Bounded on the NE., by Lingayen Gulf; on the S., by property of Eugenio Fenix; and on the SW., by properties of Anastacio Viray, Ignacio and Praxedes Rabina, Vicente Rabina, Teodoro Bialsa, Alejandro Rabina, Simeon Rabina, Ciriaco Rabina, Juan Rausa, Praxedes Rabina and Ignacio Rabina; and on the NW., by property of Florencio Rangel. Point "1" is N. 42 deg. 48 min. E., 4,194.60 meters from BLLM 1, Alaminos, Pangasinan thru Psu-34301. Area one hundred ninety six thousand nine hundred eighty (196,980) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pangasinan, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Alaminos, Province of Pangasinan, Philippines, on the 25th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Magno B. Pablo, Judge of said Court, the 25th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
 By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division
 [22 23]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
 COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE
 OF PANGASINAN

Land Registration Case No. D-1437
 LRC Record No. N-46916

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Di-

rector, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, and the 1st Pangasinan Highway District Engineer, Lingayen, Pangasinan; the District Land Office No. 1-7, Dagupan City; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Santiago Campos, Julia de los Santos, Pedro Torio, Mariano Tamayo, Mateo Campos and Esperanza de los Santos, Sta. Barbara, Pangasinan; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Cucufate del Prado, Poblacion, Sta. Barbara, Pangasinan, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-118340), situated in the Barrio of Dalongue, Municipality of Santa Barbara, Province of Pangasinan. Bounded on the NE., by properties of Santiago Campos, Julia de los Santos and Pedro Torio; on the SE., S. and SW., by a Road; and on the NW., by properties of Mateo Campos and Mariano Tamayo. Point "1" is N. 41 deg. 31 min. W., 393.36 meters from BLLM 2, Sta. Barbara, Pangasinan. Area nine thousand seven hundred forty-five (9,745) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Pangasinan, at its session to be held in the City of Dagupan, Philippines, on the 17th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Felipe P. De Vera, Executive Judge of said Court, the 8th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.

Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

Acting Chief, Docket Division

[22, 23]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8775

LR Record No. N-46894

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands,
the Director of Public Works, Manila; the

District Land Office No. IV-I, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Antonio San Pedro, Francisco Raymundo, Pasig Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Taguig, Rizal; Simeon Mag-sajo, Bambang, Pasig Rizal; Heirs of Buena-ventura Esguerra, Heirs of Rufino San Pedro, Heirs of Isidoro Sumulong, Iglesia Pilipina Independiente, Heirs of Patricio Lucas, S. San Pedro, Atilano Panganiban, Amelia Estacio, and Francisco P. Balderrama, Jr., Napindan, Taguig, Rizal; Artemio Castillo, Ususan, Taguig, Rizal; Braulio Estacio, Tecla Lakian, Guillermo Lontoc, Katwiran, Napindan, Taguig, Rizal; Engracia Guillermo, Pedro Magsalin, Gareta, Napindan, Taguig, Rizal; Crisanta Estacio, Laureana Balderrama, Purificacion Lontoc, Arsenio Estacio, Isidoro Magsalin, Remedios Bernabe, Romeo Balderrama, Dionisio Capistrano, Tipas, Taguig, Rizal; Tomas Lasi, Bonifacio Calixto, Segundo Martin, Nagpayong, Pinagbuhatan, Pasig, Rizal; Laureano Pagkalinawan, Ponciano Mendoza, Ibayo Napindan, Taguig Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Remedios Natividad Vda. de Cuaresma, Federico Cuaresma, Ernesto Cuaresma, Pilar Cuaresma, Guillermo Cuaresma, Lorna Cuaresma, Napindan, Taguig, Rizal; and Josefina Cuaresma, Ususan, Taguig, Rizal; to register and confirm their title to the following properties:

1. A parcel of land (Lot 1, plan Psu-206813), situated in the Barrio of Napindan, Municipality of Taguig, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by property of Arsenio Estacio; on the SE., by property of Crisanto Estacio; on the SW., by property of Laureana Balderama; and on the NW., by property of Purificacion Lontoc. Point "1" is N. 60 deg. 12 min. E., 2,239.13 meters from BLLM 1, Taguig, Rizal. Area three thousand four hundred sixty-nine (3,469) square meters, more or less.

2. A parcel of land (Lot 2, plan Psu-206813), situated in the Barrio of Napindan, Municipality of Taguig, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by property of Remedios C. Bernabe; on the SE., by property of Romeo Balderama; on the SW., by property of Dionisio Capistrano; and on the NW., by property of Isidoro Magsalin. Point "1" is N. 71 deg. 39 min. E., 2,637.81 meters from BLLM 1, Taguig, Rizal. Area five thousand nine

hundred sixty-eight (5,968) square meters, more or less.

3. A parcel of land (plan Psu-253105), situated in the Barrio of Pinagbuhatan, Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE. & NW., by property of Simeon Magsajo; on the SE., by property of Antonio L. San Pedro; and on the SW., by the Pasig River. Point "1" is S. 51 deg. 07 minutes E., 2,749.78 meters from BLLM 1, Pasig, Rizal. Area four thousand three hundred sixteen (4,316) square meters, more or less.

4. A parcel of land (plan Psu-253107) with the building and improvements thereon, situated in the Barrio of Ibayo Napindan, Municipality of Taguig, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by property of Francisca Raymundo; on the SE., by property of the Heirs of Rufino San Pedro; on the SW., by property of the Heirs of Buenaventura Esguerra; and on the NW., by properties of the Heirs of Isidoro Sumulong and Francisco P. Balderrama, Jr. Point "1" is S. 53 deg. 40 min. E., 3,084.32 meters from BLLM 1, Pasig, Rizal. Area four thousand eight hundred thirty-four (4,834) square meters, more or less.

5. A parcel of land (plan Psu-222698), situated in the Barrio of Napindan, Municipality of Taguig, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the NE., by Calle Balagtas; on the SE., by property of the Heirs of Patricio Lucas; on the SW., by Calle L. Labao; and on the NW., by Iglesia Filipina. Point "1" is N. 60 deg. 09 min. E., 2,769.70 meters from BLLM 1, Taguig, Rizal. Area two hundred forty seven (247) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held at the Hall of Justice, Provincial Capitol Compound, Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 12th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Emilio V. Salas, Presiding Judge, Branch I of said Court, the 2nd day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO

Acting Chief, Docket Division

[22, 23]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8778
LRC Record No. N-46907

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Antipolo, Rizal; Ireneo Galang and Consuelo Tolentino, Manuel L. Quezon St., Antipolo, Rizal; Sotera Garcia, San Jose St., Antipolo, Rizal; Modesto Patiack, Pilar Almira, Barrio Tanag, Antipolo, Rizal; Singarosa Carigma, Maria Engracio Ambrocio Carigma, Ibayo ng Tanag, Antipolo, Rizal; Pilar Alevira, Sto. Niño St., Antipolo, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Mariano Garcia, No. 33 Sto. Niño St., Antipolo, Rizal, to register and confirm his title to the following property:

A parcel of land (plan Psu-210673), situated in the Barrio of Tanag, Municipality of Antipolo, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the N., by a Callejon; on the SE., by property of Modesto Patiack; on the S., by property of Sotera Garcia; and on the W., by property of Ireneo Galang and Consuelo Tolentino. Point "1" is S. 86 deg. 18 min. E., 1,042.72 meters from BLLM 1, Antipolo, Rizal. Area six thousand ninety-two (6,092) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held at the 5th Floor, Margarita Bldg., J. Rizal Avenue, corner Cardona St., Municipality of Makati, Province Rizal, Philippines, on the 1st day of October, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Leo D. Medialdea, Judge of said Court, the 1st day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8732
LRC Record No. N-46935

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano, San Miguel, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, and the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, Taguig, Rizal; Fortunato Rayos del Sol, 276 Palingon, Tipas, Taguig, Rizal; Andres Tanyag, 352 Palingon, Tipas, Taguig, Rizal; the Heirs of Luis Sta. Ana, 110 Palingon, Tipas, Taguig, Rizal; Marcelino Estacio, 221 Palingon, Tipas, Taguig, Rizal; and Ismael Bonifacio, Tipas, Taguig, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Encarnacion E. Bonifacio, Tipas, Taguig, Rizal; to register and confirm her title to the following property.

A parcel of Land (plan Psu-181141), situated in the Barrio of Napindan, Municipality of Taguig, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the N., by properties of the Heirs of Luis Sta. Ana and Marcelino Estacio; on the E., by property of Fortunato Rayos del Sol; on the S., by property of Andres Tanyag; and on the W., by Mabato Creek; Point "1" is N. 42 deg. 29 min. E., 2,611.68 meters from BLLM 1, Taguig, Rizal. Area twelve thousand three hundred eighty-two (12,382) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal at its session to be held in the Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 11th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded

and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Carolina C. Griño-Aquino, Judge of said Court the 8th day of April, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration
By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8762
LRC Record No. N-46958

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano Street, San Miguel Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer and the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Pasig, Rizal; the Municipal Mayor and the Municipal Council, Morong, Rizal; Leopoldo Santiago, Celestino Santiago, Carmen Santiago, Leon Santiago, Andres Santiago, Nicolas Santiago, Anastacio Tiratira, Apolonia Buenaventura, Valentin de Leon and Juanita Bautista, Lagundi, Morong, Rizal; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by the Iglesia Ni Cristo, represented by Eraño G. Manalo, Executive Minister and Administrator, Corner Central and Commonwealth Avenues, Diliman, Quezon City; thru Tafalla, Cruz & Associates, by Atty. Felix Gagarin, Central & Commonwealth Avenues, Diliman, Quezon City, to register and confirm its title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 5029, Psc-16, Morong Cadastre, plan Ap-04-000356), situated in the Barrio of Lagundi, Municipality of Morong, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the N. by property of Nicolas Santiago; on the E. by property of Valentin de Leon; on the S. by property of Anastacio Tiratira vs. Apolonia Buenaventura; and on the W. by a barrio road. Point "1" is N.

46 deg. 00 min. W., 393.41 from MBM 16, Psc-16 Morong Cadastre. Area five hundred thirty-five (535) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 11th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Celso L. Magsino, Judge of said Court, the 24th day of March, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 5th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF RIZAL

Land Registration Case No. N-8800
LRC Record No. N-47036

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the District Land Office No. IV-1, 757 Gen. Solano Street, San Miguel Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer the General Manager, Laguna Lake Development Authority, the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, Pasig, Rizal; Mariano Cruz, Hilarion Plaza, Elena Plaza, Bo. Santolan, Pasig Rizal; Tulano Plaza, Salvador Plaza, Mangahan, Pasig, Rizal; Vicente Gomez, Maria Cruz, Anita Lazaro, San Roque, Marikina, Rizal; Pacifico Cruz, Magdalena Mina, Santiago Sanchez, Jr., Salome Angeles, Rolando Estanislao, Calumpang, Marikina, Rizal; Adoracion J. Reyes, 189 4th Avenue, Grace Park, Caloocan City; and to all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Agustina Cruz, Florentino C. Paz,

Constancia C. Paz, Marcial C. Paz, Zenaida C. Paz, Calumpang, Marikina, Rizal; Benjamin C. Paz, Alberto C. Paz, Flordeliza C. Paz, San Roque, Marikina, Rizal; Enrique C. Paz, 189 4th Avenue, Grace Park Caloocan City; Ricardo C. Paz, 89 4th Avenue, Grace Park, Caloocan City; assisted by Atty. Diogenes G. Bartolome, 167-A Luna St., Pasig, Rizal, to register and confirm their title to the following properties:

A parcel of land (Lot 3, plan Psu-113409), situated in the Barrio of Santolan, Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal. Bounded on the N. by Lot 4; on the E. by property of Vicente Gomez; on the S. by Lot 2; and on the NW. by property of Mariano Cruz. Point "1" is S. 4 deg. 58 min. E., 2,260.16 meters from BLLM 1, Marikina, Rizal. Area eleven thousand eight hundred forty-one (11,841) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Rizal, at its session to be held in the Municipality of Pasig, Province of Rizal, Philippines, on the 28th day of August, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Pedro C. Navarro, Judge of said Court, the 15th day of May, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 19th day of May, 1975.

Attest: GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
[22, 23] Acting Chief, Docket Division

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF ZAMBALES
AND OLONGAPO CITY

Land Registration Case No. N-133
LRC Record No. N-46560

NOTICE OF INITIAL HEARING

To the Solicitor General, the Director of Lands, the Director of Public Works, Manila; the Director, Bureau of Forest Development, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City; the Hon. Secretary, Department of Agrarian Reform, Elliptical Road, Diliman, Quezon City; the Provincial Governor, the Provincial Fiscal, the Provincial Treasurer, the Provincial Engineer, the Public Works District Engineer, the Highway District Engineer, Iba, Zambales, the District Land Office No. III-4, Olon-

gapo City; the Municipal Mayor, the Municipal Council, and Jesus F. Magsaysay, Heirs of Feliciano R. Fastidio, Castillejos, Zambales; and Feliciano R. Fastidio, Locc, Castillejos, Zambales; and all whom it may concern:

Whereas, an application has been presented to this Court by Jassmag Inc., represented by Aniceta S. Pingol, 7th Floor Ramon Magsaysay Center, Roxas Boulevard, Manila, assisted by Atty. Antonio P. Cacho, Iba, Zambales, to register and confirm its title to the following property:

A parcel of land (Lot 1477 Cad-322-D Castillejos Cadastre, plan Ap-03-000804), situated in the Barrio of Locc, Municipality of Castillejos, Province of Zambales. Bounded on the NE. by a Creek; on the SE., & SW., by Lot 2030 Cad-322-D Castillejos Cadastre; and on the NW. by a Creek. Point "1" is S. 65 deg. 06 min. W., 5,699.74 meters from BLLM 1. Area fifty three thousand eight hundred thirty-four (53,834) square meters, more or less.

You are hereby cited to appear before the Court of First Instance of Zambales, at its session to be held in the City of Olongapo, Philippines, on the 16th day of September, 1975, at 8:30 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause, if any you have, why the prayer of said application shall not be granted; and unless you appear at the time and place aforesaid, your default will be recorded and the said application will be taken as confessed and you will be forever barred from contesting said application or any decree entered thereon.

Witness, the Hon. Domingo D. Panis, Judge of said Court, the 8th day of May, in the year 1975.

Issued at Quezon City, Philippines, this 20th day of May, 1975.

Attest:

GREGORIO BILOG, JR.
Commissioner of Land Registration

By: GREGORIO C. SEMBRANO
Acting Chief, Docket Division

[22, 23]

Kawanihan ng mga Lupain (BUREAU OF LANDS)

[SECOND PUBLICATION]

SALE OF PUBLIC LANDS

Notice is hereby given that the Bureau of Lands at Kidapawan, North Cotabato will sell through oral bidding to the highest bidder at 10:00 o'clock A.M. on July 21, 1975 the tract of land described below:

Location of Land: Kabakan, North Cotabato

Description: Lot No. 95, Ts-266

Area: 300 square meters

Appraised Value of Land: P3.00 per square meter

Value of Existing Improvements: P2,020.00—
house and clearing

Applied for: M.S.A. No. (VIII-5)520 Fortunato Salcedor, Jr.

In order that a person may be entitled to participate in the bidding, he must, before the commencement of the same, make deposit of at least ten percent (10%) of the appraised value of the land. During the bidding, the bidder has to make an additional deposit everytime his bid is raised to complete the 10% of his raised bid; otherwise, such bid as raised shall not be accepted. Only deposit in cash, money order, treasury warrant, certified check, cashier's check or manager's check can be accepted.

The successful bidder if other than the applicant must reimburse the latter of the value of the improvements and the expenses for the publication of the notice of auction.

The right is reserved to reject any or all bids.

Manila, April 18, 1975.

(Sgd.) RAMON N. CASANOVA
[22-27] Director of Lands

[THIRD PUBLICATION]**SALE OF PUBLIC LANDS**

Notice is hereby given that the Bureau of Lands at Baler, Quezon, will sell to the highest qualified bidder at ten o'clock (10:00) A.M. on July 23, 1975, the tract of land covered by Sales Application No. (III-10)124 of GABRIEL AMPONGET

Location: Maria Aurora, Quezon

Description: Lot No. 167, Pls-267

Area: 3.0000 hectares

Appraised value of land: ₱100.00 per hectare

Appraised value of improvements: ₱850.00—
house, coconuts, coffee, etc.

All bids must be sealed and submitted to the Bureau of Lands at Baler, Quezon, on or before the hour and date stated above and plainly marked

“Bid for the land described in Sales Application No. (III-10)124”. Bids must be accompanied with cash, money order, treasury warrant, certified check, cashier's check or manager's check for a sum equivalent to 10% of the bid. When a bid is withdrawn after the highest bidder is determined, the corresponding deposit therefor shall be forfeited to the Government. No bid shall be less than the appraised value of the land. The right is reserved to reject any or all bids.

The successful bidder if other than the applicant must reimburse the latter of the value of the improvements and the expenses for the publication of the notice of auction.

Manila, April 15, 1975.

RAMON N. CASANOVA
Director of Lands

[21-26]

Lungsod ng Batangas

(BATANGAS CITY)

[LAST PUBLICATION]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HIGHWAYS
REGION IV-A SOUTHERN TAGALOG
OFFICE OF THE HIGHWAY DISTRICT ENGINEER
BATANGAS CITY

ADVERTISEMENT

Sealed bids, on the form to be furnished by this Office of the District Engineer, Batangas City will be received until 10:00 a.m. on the 27th day of June, 1975 and then publicly opened for the construction of Lemery-Tagaytay Road, Batangas.

Pre-qualification statements should be submitted in person at the Office of the District Engineer, Batangas City on or before the following deadline:

Pre C-1	June 20, 1975
Pre C-2	June 20, 1975

Financing Requirements:

Credit Line P360,000.00

Full particulars relative to bid bond, Department Order No. 201 (Except paragraph VIII-5, Immediate Rebidding) series of 1958, its amendments Department Orders No. 317 and 521, series of 1961) other prerequisite conditions, Plans and Specifications and the Proposal Book may be obtained at the Office mentioned above by any prospective bidders upon request. Prospective bidders should submit their license from the Philippine Licensing Board.

(Sgd.) ALFREDO P. TORRES
Highway District Engineer

[21-23]

Lupon ng Pamumuhunan (BOARD OF INVESTMENTS)

[SECOND PUBLICATION]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BOARD OF INVESTMENTS
ORTIGAS BUILDING, ORTIGAS AVENUE
PASIG, RIZAL, PHILIPPINES D-721

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Section 4 of Republic Act No. 5455, TE LIONG UN, a citizen of the Republic of China, with office address at Manila Textile Market, Soler Street, Manila, has filed with the Board of Investments an application for a license to engage in the wholesale of general merchandise particularly textile products. The business is capitalized at P63,702.57, Philippine currency, and is actually a continuation of an existing business licensed under the name of his deceased father.

This application shall be considered as approved and such approval shall be effective only after fifteen (15) days from the last date of publication and upon submission of proofs of publication of this notice and compliance with the other requirements of R.A. 5455 and its implementing rules and regulations. This is, further, subject to the following conditions:

- 1) That he shall not expand into another line of business without prior Board approval; and
- 2) That he shall submit an annual report of his business activities on or before March 31 of each year using the prescribed BOI Form No. 5032.

April 7, 1975, Pasig, Rizal, Philippines.

(Sgd.) ROBERTO C. CONCEPCION, JR.
[22-24] Board Secretary

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BOARD OF INVESTMENTS
ORTIGAS BUILDING, ORTIGAS AVENUE
PASIG, RIZAL, PHILIPPINES D-721

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Section 3 of Republic Act No. 5455 and Section 2, Rule III, of the Basic Rules and Regulations to Implement the Intent and Provisions of the said Act, W.A. CHITTICK AND COMPANY, a corporation existing under the laws of the Philippines, with business address at Rufino Building, Ayala Avenue, Makati, Rizal, and engaged in electrical, safety and fire protection business, has filed with the Board of Investments an application to accept the permissible investment of James T. Hodge, in the total amount of P217,480.00, Philippine currency.

This application shall be considered as approved and such approval shall be effective only after fifteen (15) days from the last date of publication and upon submission of proofs of publication of this notice and compliance with the other requirements of R.A. 5455 and its implementing rules and regulations. This is, further, subject to the following conditions:

- 1) That the applicant shall not increase its capital stock or expand in other lines of business activities without securing prior BOI authority; and
- 2) That it shall submit an annual report of its business activities (using BOI Form No. 5032) on or before March 31 of each year.

April 16, 1975, Pasig, Rizal, Philippines.

(Sgd.) ROBERTO C. CONCEPCION, JR.
[22-24] Board Secretary

PRICE LIST OF PUBLIC DOCUMENTS

(Now available at the Government Printing Office)

PHILIPPINE REPORTS

	Government Printing Office	By Mail		Government Printing Office	By Mail
Volume 75	P47.00	P49.85			
Volume 81	43.30	46.80	Volume IX—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 973-1201 (Paper Cover)	P11.00	P12.50
Volume 82	43.30	46.80			
Volume 84	47.00	49.80	The above three volumes of Public Laws & Resolutions (Republic Acts Nos. 674- 1201) (Cloth bound)	47.70	50.00
Volume 85	47.00	49.80			
Volume 86	36.10	39.05	Volume X—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 1202-1411 (Paper cover)	11.00	12.50
Volume 87	47.00	49.80			
Volume 88	47.00	49.80	Volume XI—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 1412-1612 (Paper cover)	11.00	12.50
Volume 89	47.00	49.80			
Volume 90	54.20	57.40	Volume XII—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 1613-2049 (Paper cover)	11.50	12.50
Volume 91	55.90	58.65			
Volume 92	57.75	60.70	The three above volumes of Public Laws & Resolutions (Republic Acts Nos. 1202- 2049 combined in one book) (Cloth bound)	49.00	53.20
Volume 93	67.15	69.15			
Volume 94	67.15	69.15	Volume XIII—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 2050-2093 (Paper cover)	7.25	9.85
Volume 95	59.55	61.75			
Volume 96	60.65	62.85	Volume XIV—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 2094-2616 (Paper cover)	18.15	19.50
Volume 97	64.95	67.10			
Volume 98	64.95	67.10	Volume XV—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 2617-3020 (Paper cover)	18.25	19.50
Volume 99	67.15	69.45			
Volume 100	67.15	69.45	The above three volumes of Public Laws & Resolutions (Republic Acts Nos. 2030- 3020) combined in one book, (Cloth bound)	70.35	74.80
Volume 101	71.55	73.75			
Volume 102	64.95	67.10			
Volume 103	68.70	70.40			
Volume 104	68.70	70.40			
Volume 105	77.15	79.20			
Volume 106	77.75	81.50			
Volume 107	79.40	81.80			
Volume 108	83.10	86.30			
Volume 109	83.10	86.30			
Volume 110	83.10	86.30			
Volume 111	83.90	86.75			
Volume 112	83.90	86.75			
Volume 113	83.90	86.75			
Volume 114	83.90	86.75			

LAWS AND RESOLUTIONS

Volume I—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 1-89 (Paper cover) ..	P13.40	P15.00	Volume XVI—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 3451-3511 (Paper cover)	27.20	29.65
Volume II—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 90-197 (Paper cover) ..	15.20	17.00	Volume XVII—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 3021-3450 (Paper cover)	5.20	6.50
The above three Volumes of Public Laws & Resolutions (Republic Acts Nos. 1-342) combined in one book, (Cloth bound)			Volume XVIII—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 3513 to 3846 (Paper cover)	20.15	23.15
Volume IV—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 343-421 (Paper cover) ..	24.75	26.80	The above three volumes of Public Laws & Resolutions (Republic Acts Nos. 3021- 3845) (Cloth Cover)	79.40	83.70
Volume VI—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 591-673 (Paper cover) ..	7.45	9.20	Volume XIX—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 3847-4172 (Paper cover)	18.80	21.10
Volume VII—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 674-833 (Paper cover) ..	9.95	12.50	Volume XX—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 4173-4641 (Paper cover)	37.95	40.60
Volume VIII—Containing Republic Acts Nos. 834-972 (Paper cover) ..	11.00	12.50			

Government
Printing
Office By Mail

Government
Printing
Office By Mail

CODE RULES

Civil Code of the Philippines (Cloth bound)	P18.15	P19.50
Civil Code of the Philippines (Paper cover)	9.25	10.55
Code of Crime (Paper cover)		
Revised Penal Code (Paper cover) ..	5.15	7.50
National Internal Revenue Code (As amended up to September 1969) (Paper cover)	19.40	25.20
National Internal Revenue Code (Paper cover—Old)	16.30	18.60
Revenue Regulations No. V-8—A Collection at Source of Income Tax on Wages	2.00	2.45
Election Code of 1971, Republic Act No. 6388	3.90	5.90
Rules of Court in the Philippines Effective January 1, 1964	14.45	17.40
Rules of Practice in the Philippines Patent Office in Patents	6.40	8.15
Rules of Practice in the Philippines Patent Office in Trademarks	4.95	6.10
Rules of Practice in the Philippines Patent Office in Copyrights	3.70	5.05

MANUALS, SCHOOL TEXTBOOKS & OTHER
* PUBLICATIONS

Old or New Constitution of the Philippines	2.50	2.60
Saligang Batas ng Pilipinas	2.75	3.30
National Language—English Vo- cabulary	2.90	3.55
Balarila ng Wikang Pambansa (Paper cover)	7.20	8.70
Government Manual, 1950 (Paper cover)	10.95	12.25
Discursos de Malolos y Poesias Filipino en Español	10.10	10.95
Filipinas Su Glorioso—Pasado	10.10	10.95
Auditing Requirement for Typical Government Disbursements	1.05	1.37
Revised Barrio Accounting System ..	1.89	2.35
National Accounting & Auditing Manual Volume I	8.05	9.55
National Accounting & Auditing Manual Volume II	14.45	15.95
National Accounting & Auditing Manual Volume III	5.35	6.50
Manual on Pre-Audit of Govern- ment Disbursement	4.35	5.15
Appellate Courts Reports, Volume VIII (Cloth bound)	47.05	60.85
Biografia de Rizal by Dr. Rafael Palma (Paper cover)	14.45	15.95
Official Directory—1970	18.55	20.20

Salary Data of the Philippines .	P8.05	9.20
Forestry Administrative Order II (Revised)	2.70	3.85
Volume Table For Round Tim- ber—Bulletin No. 12		
Logarithmic Table (Extract from American Practical Navigator) ..	9.10	10.40

REPUBLIC ACTS

No. 409 "Revised Charter of the City of Manila"	1.60	2.85
No. 509 "Price Administration Board"40	.60
No. 594 "Amendment to certain sections of the National Internal Revenue Code relating to priv- ilege taxes on business"75	1.05
No. 602 "An Act to establish a Minimum Wage Law & other purposes"75	1.05
No. 637 "Amendments to Patent Law"75	1.05
No. 660 "Reorganizing the GSIS & providing for Retirement under the Pension Plan"75	1.05
No. 800 "Amendment of Republic Act No. 307"75	1.05
No. 875 "An Act to promote In- dustrial Peace & for other pur- poses" (Magna Carta of Labor) ..	.75	1.05
No. 897 "Amending Republic Act No. 304 (Back Pay Law)40	.65
No. 1355 "Laurel-Langley Agree- ment"	1.10	1.45
Compilation of Retirement Laws (Republic Acts Nos. 660, 1616, 4968, 5969)	2.60	3.65
Compilation of Civil Service Laws (Republic Acts Nos. 2260, 6040 and Civil Service Rules)	3.90	5.95
Workmens Compensation Act No. 3428	2.35	4.30
No. 3512 "An Act creating a Fisheries Commission defining its powers, duties & etc.75	1.05
No. 3590—"Barrio Charter75	1.05
No. 3844 "Agricultural Land Reform Code	1.95	2.65
No. 4119—"Workmens Compensa- tion Act (Amendment)55	1.05
No. 4136—"Land Transportation Commission (LTC)	1.45	1.75
No. 4670 "Magna Carta for Public School Teachers"55	1.00
No. 4864 "Police Act of 1969	1.45	1.75
No. 4968 "New Retirement Law" ..	.90	1.10

REPUBLIC ACTS

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

	Government Printing Office	By Mail	Year	Volume	Number
No. 5166 "Accountancy Act of 1967"90	1.20	1968	64	1, 3, to 20, 22 to 27
No. 5185 "Decentralization Act of 1967"90	1.20	1969	65	33-35, 37, 39-40, 45-47, 51
No. 5186 "Investment Incentives Act"	1.45	1.75	1970	66	1-14, 16-35, 39-52
No. 5490 "Port Entry Mariveles Bataan"75	1.00	1971	67	1 to 52, 48 No stock
No. 5969 "Amendatory Act applicable to former members of the GSIS who had retired on or before 1951"75	1.00	1972	68	1 to 52; 40, 41, 50, 52 No stock
No. 6040 "An Act to amend certain section of R.A. No. 2260 known as Civil Service Act of 1959"75	1.00	1973	69	1 to 53
No. 6110 "Omnibus Tax Law"	3.60	6.20	1974	70	1 to 52; 27 No stock
No. 6111 "The Phil. Medicare Commission"80	1.05	1975	71	1 to present
No. 6125 "Stabilization Tax on Consignments Abroad80	1.05	NOTE: Latest Publications now available at the Government Printing Office, Port Area, Manila.		
No. 6126 "Regulate Rental for two (2) years etc."75	1.00	Published in the Official Gazette are Presidential Decrees, Letters of Instructions and General Orders beginning with Volume 68, Number 39 to date.		
No. 6129 "An Act amending further the Minimum Wage Law by increasing the Minimum Wage, establishing a Wage Commission, and for other purposes"	1.45	2.25	Separate reprints of the following Decrees are also available:—		
No. 6359 "An Act to regulate Rentals for two years"80	1.05	Presidential Decree No. 34, Revised Tariff and Customs Code	18.70	23.15
No. 6361 "Creating the Price Control Council	1.00	1.35	Presidential Decree No. 69 "Amending Certain Sections of the National Internal Revenue Code"	1.65	2.15
No. 6362 "Public School Teachers Salary Standardization Act80	1.05	Presidential Decree No. 71, Amending Rep. Act. No. 337 the General Banking Act55	.90
No. 6389 "Amendment of Rep. Act No. 3844	1.45	2.25	The Local Tax Code	2.75	8.30
No. 6390 "To accelerate the Implementation of the Agrarian Reform Program Account Fund)"65	1.00	Rules of Workmens Compensation Commission90	1.90
No. 6414 "An Act increasing the minimum limit of Salaries of Municipal Officers	1.00	1.35	Presidential Decree No. 230 "Amending the Tariff and Custom Code; Creating Title III in Book 1—Export Tariff35	.65
No. 6446 "RE-minimum and maximum salaries allowed for Civil Service eligible"40	.65	Presidential Decree No. 442, new Labor Code of the Philippines with amendments	11.00	12.00
No. 6552 "Protection for Real Estate buyers"35	.65	Rules and Regulations implementing the Labor Code of the Philippines	14.20	15.20
			Compilation of Presidential Decrees, Letter of Instructions, General Orders & etc.: Volume I	—	—
			Driver's Manual	5.00	6.00

NOTE: Stocks & prices maybe changed from time to time.

Official Gazette



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

The *Official Gazette* is published weekly—every Monday.

All articles and materials intended for the week must be received at the Government Printing Office not later than Tuesday morning.

The Editorial Office is located at the Presidential Press Staff, Department of Public Information, Malacañang.

ELPIDIO DE PERALTA, *Editor*

GENEROSO PAZ, *Senior Deskman*

The Publishing Office, which also attends to subscription, circulation, and claims, is the Government Printing Office at Boston Street, Port Area, Manila.

MANUEL L. AGUSTIN, *Director of Printing*

CHARITO A. MANGUBAT—*Acting Chief, Official Gazette Section*

TEL. NOS. 22-24-85 (GTS); 49-15-23; 48-34-29 (PLDT)

SUBSCRIPTION RATES EFFECTIVE AUGUST 1, 1974

Subscription rates are for issues of the current year and the year immediately preceding. All other issues can be purchased as back numbers.

	Philippines	Foreign Countries (In U.S. dollars)
One Year	P96.00	\$60.00
Six Months	50.00	36.30
Three Months	27.00	25.00
Current number (per issue)	2.50	2.80
Back numbers (per issue)	3.00	3.50

Subscriptions are payable strictly in advance by certified check, official check, draft, Post Office money order, or cash in Philippines or United States currency and remitted to the Government Printing Office by registered mail. Stamps are not accepted in payment for subscription or advertisement.

All letters and payments of subscription should be addressed to the Director of Printing.

Claims for missing numbers must be made *immediately* upon receipt of the following number.

RATES OF PUBLICATION (As of August 1, 1974)

1. Trademarks with plate minimum of 10 square inches in one publication	P45.00
2. Notices pertaining to Dams in four publications	95.00
3. Notices pertaining to water rights in four publications	155.00
4. Notices of Hearing in Land Registration cases in two publications:	
a. For one lot	110.00
b. For every additional lot	30.00
5. Bureau of Lands Notices in six publications:	
a. For lease of Public Lands (one lot)	220.00
b. For sale of Public Lands (one lot)	180.00
c. For every additional lot leased or sold	75.00
6. All other publications of legal notices, patents, copyrights, invitation to bid; notices of application in mining leases, notices of application with the Board of Investments and other similar notices of advertisements:	
a. For the first publication per line	1.20
b. For each subsequent publication, per line65
7. Certificate of publication (true copy)	5.00